```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 1 of 139
              SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Mr. Chairman, would
  7
  8
     you recognize me for that motion at this time?
  9
              SEN. FRASER: Mr. Chairman --
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Before we do that, we do
  10
  11
      have a motion in writing that Sen. Huffman intends to
      introduce with the record, so why don't we do that first
      and then we'll do everything else. And it would be my
     suggestion to -- and what I had hoped to do was finish
  14
  15 the testimony or at least the question and answers on
  16 the bill and then start at that point in time putting
      evidence into the record. So if that's suitable with
  18
      everyone, it just makes a little more sense to me to
      keep it in order that way.
  19
  20
              SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you,
  21 Mr. Chairman.
              And then I would like to ask my colleague,
  23
     the author of the bill, to yield.
  24
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay.
  25
              SEN. FRASER: Mr. Chairman, before we --
  0031
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Fraser, why
  1
     don't we approach the chair; approach.
              (Off-the-record discussion at bench)
  3
  4
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The Chairman recognizes
  5
     Senator Huffman for motion in writing.
  6
              SEN. HUFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
  7
              At this time I move that the entire record
  8
     and transcripts of the hearing related to Senate Bill
  9
     362 heard by the Committee of the Whole during the 81st
  10 Legislative session be included in the record and would
      move that it marked as Exhibit No. 1.
  11
              Exhibit No. 1 includes all the invited.
  12
      public and written testimony, in addition to all of the
  13
      exhibits submitted by the members during the hearing on
  15 Senate Bill 362. The previous testimony and debate on
      Senate Bill 362 is relevant, because then and now the
  17
      objective is to create legislation that protects the
  18
      integrity and reliability of the electoral process.
              It includes 870 pages of transcribed
  19
  20 testimony. There were 13 invited witnesses plus two
      resource witnesses, 36 public witnesses and 29 written
      articles presented. So it includes all the exhibits as
      well, submitted by members during the 81st legislative
  24
      session on the Committee of the Whole, which totals 55
  25 total exhibits.
  0032
  1
              At this time I move for introduction of
     Exhibit No. 1 into the Committee of the Whole's records.
  3
              (Exhibit No. 1 marked)
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Members, you've heard
  4
     the motion. Is there any objection to the motion?
              SEN. DAVIS: Question.
  6
  7
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Davis, do you
     have a question?
  8
                                                      TX 00000904
                                                     JA 000903
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 2 of 139
9
           SEN. DAVIS: Yes.
10
            Senator Huffman, during the debate on the
11 Senate floor last session, a number of questions could
12 not be answered by some of the resource witnesses at the
   moment that they were asked; and, instead, there was a
14 follow-up. For example, the Secretary of State's office
   and the Attorney General's office wrote follow-up
   answers to some of the questions that they were not
   prepared to ask during the hearing. Does your motion in
   writing include the inclusion of those written responses
   that were provided to the Senate after the hearing took
20
   place?
            SEN. HUFFMAN: I am not advised on that,
21
22 but I would certainly have no objection and would move
   for all of that to be included in the record, because I
   think it would certainly make it, you know, more
25 complete and certainly would be relevant.
0033
           The record has been certified by Patsy
1
   Spaw, the Secretary of the Senate, and so we might check
   with her to see if that was done. If not, we could
   certainly make sure that it was placed in Exhibit No. 1
5
   as part of the record.
6
           SEN. DAVIS: Thank you. I would
7
   appreciate that.
8
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: I suggest that it be
9
   Exhibit 1A, if there are additional information, so that
   it can be kept separate from what you are going to
   introduce in your motion in writing as Exhibit 1.
11
12
            SEN. HUFFMAN: Yes, sir.
13
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Is there any
   objection to Exhibit 1 being included in the record?
14
            All right. The Chair hears none. Exhibit
15
   1 will be included in the record.
16
17
            (Exhibit No. 1 admitted)
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: All right. Sen. Van de
18
19 Putte.
20
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you,
21
   Mr. Chairman.
22
            QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR
23
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Would the gentleman
   yield, the author of the bill yield?
24
25
            SEN. FRASER: I would yield.
0034
1
           SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you. Thank you,
   Mr. Chairman.
3
           And thank you, Senator Fraser.
           Senator Fraser, this is kind of like a
4
5 dance where we have another song, another round, and so
   we find ourselves with another year and this version of
6
7
   the voter identification bill. And I wanted to ask you
   a few questions.
8
9
           Given the fact that the bill that was
  debated during the 81st Legislature was a different
                                                    TX 00000905
                                                   JA 000904
```

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 3 of 139 bill, can you tell me the model for the bill that was in 11 the 81st Legislature and the differences in what you 13 have proposed in this legislative session? 14 SEN. FRASER: Well, if you don't mind, the 15 bill before us today is Senate Bill 14, and I will probably spend my time talking about that bill. The 16 bill you're addressing, obviously, didn't get through 17 the process. So I'm going to be addressing the comments 18 19 on Senate Bill 14 which is before us. So I would be 20 glad to describe it, if you would like. 21 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, my question has 22 to deal with -- I understand that since last we met, there are two years and different court cases. And the bill that was before this body last legislative session was modeled on a Georgia law and used the template. And 0035 1 I understand it, this year's model is fashioned after the Indiana law? 3 SEN. FRASER: And I think you actually 4 have made the point that I was going to make. Two years have passed. Since that time, we've had, you know, obviously, the confirmation by the Supreme Court on the 6 7 photo ID and then also the preclearance of the Georgia 8 bill by Dale Jays (phonetic). 9 So looking at, you know, the experience of 10 the bill in place, the simplicity of the photo ID, we chose to go with that. And as you will remember, the 11 12 recommendation by President Carter and Secretary of 13 State Baker was, you know, the national photo ID, and 14 that's what we're attempting to implement. 15 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you. 16 Mr. Chairman.

16 Mr. Chairman. 17 And a

12

Carter-Baker Commission, they felt very strongly about encouraging the maximum participation in voter and suggested the type of strategies that we're using. But the addendum for both gentlemen and the members of the commission were that they, as I recall, and entered into the record during last legislative session, was that the conclusion of the commission was that we should not implement the type of photo identification until you had 0036

And a few other questions. With the

universal registration, and I believe that was one. But
 given that or not, what I really wanted to ask you is - SEN. FRASER: Hold on a second. I'm
 sorry. I disagree with that. That is not what the
 commission said. And if you would like to correct that,
 but I disagree.
 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: The State of Georgia

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: The State of Georgia
s is under two sections of the Voting Rights Act, as is
Texas. Is that correct?
SEN. FRASER: They are a Section 5 voting
rights state like Texas.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And to your knowledge,

TX 00000906

JA 000905

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 4 of 139
13 is the State of Indiana subject to Section 5 of the
   Voting Rights Act?
14
15
            SEN. FRASER: To my knowledge, they are
16 not.
17
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So Indiana would have
18
   a different burden of proof under a legal document and a
19
   legal challenge than the State of Georgia?
20
            (Brief pause)
21
            SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I was asking for
   some data. Would you reask the question, please.
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: The State of Indiana,
23
   which your bill is modeled after, without two alternate
24
25 forms of identification; whereas, the Georgia bill that
0037
   we talked about last legislative session had two --
1
   certainly had a photo identification, but if the voter
   was unable to produce a photo identification, they could
   produce for the election judges two forms of
5
  identification without, and it was utility bill and --
   in fact, the things that you struck here.
6
7
           But in Indiana that requirement is not
8 there, so we went with the Indiana bill. But my
   question is, Indiana is not subject to Section 5 of the
10 Voting Rights Act. So their legal hurdle to the
11
   Department of Justice challenge is very different than
12
   what happened in the State of Georgia. Is that correct?
13
            SEN. FRASER: The Indiana law has been
14
   approved by the U.S. Supreme Court, the Georgia law was
   precleared by the Department of Justice, and both of
15
16
   those have gone through that challenge.
17
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Senator Fraser, when
   this legislature passes the voter identification bill --
19
   and there is no doubt that this bill will pass -- it
20
   will have to proceed to the Department of Justice for
   clearance?
21
22
            SEN. FRASER: As a Section 5 state, we are
   subject to Section 5 rules.
23
24
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So, yes, it will
25 proceed to the Department of Justice?
0038
            SEN. FRASER: We are a Section 5 voter
1
   rights state, and we will be subject to those laws.
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And do you have any
3
   concerns that a Section 5 state as Texas would offer to
5
   the Department of Justice a voter identification bill
6
   that mirrors a non-Section 5 state rather than something
7
   that has already been upheld in the Georgia law, a
8
   Section 5 state?
9
            SEN. FRASER: We are offering a bill that
10
   has been approved by the U.S. Supreme Court. And the
    parameters that the Supreme Court set, we meet all of
12
   those tests.
13
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: However, in the
   Indiana court and in the Supreme Court case on Indiana,
                                                     TX_00000907
                                                    JA 000906
```

file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 5 of 139 15 what they said was, the undue burden was -- did not be demonstrative because they did not have the level of minority voters, that was never a check point, because 17 18 they did not have to go through the Department of 19 Justice. Is that correct? 20 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I'm having 21 equipment failure here. Just a second. 22 (Brief pause) 23 SEN. FRASER: Senator, I'm sorry. I'm 24 asking for data, backup data, because the information that you're addressing, my information doesn't agree 0039 with that, is that the Georgia law that I have in front of me said it is a photo ID. Do you have something that 3 shows differently? 4 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Yes. In the Georgia 5 bill, you have to have a photo ID. However --SEN. FRASER: I realize you're saying 6 7 that, but do you have -- you know, do you --SEN. VAN de PUTTE: The bill that you 8 introduced last year had the two alternate forms of ID, 10 which was exactly the Georgia bill. We used the model 11 of the Georgia bill. 12 SEN. FRASER: And that bill is not before us today; Senate Bill 14 is before us. 13 14 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: That's correct. And 15 so my question is --16 SEN. FRASER: And I would ask you, did you 17 vote for that bill last year? 18 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: No, sir, I didn't. 19 SEN. FRASER: Okay. 20 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: But my question is, do 21 you have any concerns that we will offer to the 22 Department of Justice a bill, a voter identification bill that is modeled after a state law that does not have to go through Section 5, rather than a Georgia 25 model which already has been proven and has been 0040 1 affirmed, both in the court case and the Department of Justice? That was my question. Do you have any concern that we will have done all of this debate and work, and certainly to ensure the ballot security, only to be shut 5 down at the Department of Justice, because we are a Section 5 state and what we're offering in your bill is 7 not something that has been approved by the Department of Justice? 8 9 SEN. FRASER: I have no concern about

- 10 Senate Bill 14, both going before the U.S. Supreme Court
- 11 or going before the Department of Justice.
- 12 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you, Senator
- 13 Fraser. I wanted to ask a little bit of your thinking.
- 14 And in the bill that you have before us, the student
- 15 identifications were omitted from your list of
- 16 acceptable documentation. And could you give me the

TX\_00000908

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 6 of 139 rationale why a student photo identification is not 17 18 acceptable form of identification? 19 SEN. FRASER: The types of identification 20 we've included are one from a government entity that 21 would identify that person as who they are, that they say they are, they're a valid voter and a citizen of the United States, and these are the ones that we have 24 suggested that would be acceptable. 25 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So the rationale for 0041 not having student identification cards on the list, 1 since you omitted them, is because they aren't issued by a governmental entity? 4 SEN. FRASER: I didn't say that. 5 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: I'm sorry. Can you 6 repeat your answer. 7 SEN. FRASER: I said I did not say that. 8 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So why were the student identifications -- you explained that the student identifications were omitted from the list of 10 acceptable documentation, because it was not a 11 12 government entity. 13 SEN. FRASER: The four types of 14 identification that we are offering up we believe are 15 less confusing, they're simpler for both voters and election voters. Everyone knows what they look like. 17 There is a standardization of those, and they all look 18 alike and it would be less confusing for the systems who 19 are accepting the voter IT. 20 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And, Senator Fraser, 21 one of the provisions in your bill also omits birth certificates from the list of acceptable forms of identification, even though that does come from government entities. And so why is it that birth 25 certificates were omitted? 0042 1 SEN. FRASER: This is requiring a photo ID, current photo ID. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Senator Fraser, are 3 there any provisions in the bill to accommodate a voter 5 that has a different address on their photo identification and their voter registration card? 6 SEN. FRASER: The Secretary of State is 7 8 here as a resource witness, and I'm sure they will be 9 glad to answer that. 10 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: No, I'm not asking the 11 difference. I'm asking, is there any provision in Senate Bill 14? 12 13 SEN. FRASER: It is not addressed, because 14 that is taken care of by the Secretary of State, that we don't address that in the bill. That would be by an 15 interpretation of rule of the Secretary of State. They 16 17 will be here, and you can ask them that question. 18 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So also you would

TX\_00000909 JA 000908

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 7 of 139 19 prefer that we ask the Secretary of State what sort of provision, since your bill is silent on different last 20 21 names? 22 SEN. FRASER: Again, that's a question 23 that --24 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So, for example, women 25 that got married? 0043 1 SEN. FRASER: We've actually got two different -- you know, kind of an overlap here. We've got the Department of Public Safety that I believe 3 Senator Williams is going to be answering questions, because that's his area. And then we also have the Secretary of State available as a resource that I think you can ask that question. 8 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Senator Fraser, under Senate Bill 14, your voters can cast a provisional 10 ballot. Under the Indiana bill, that is set at a 10-day cure. Why is it that you chose a six-day cure? 11 SEN. FRASER: And you'll remember, the 12 13 Georgia law is only 48 hours, two days. They went 10 14 days; the Georgia law went two days. We decided that 15 six days should be sufficient to come back. 16 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And as I understand 17 it, the Georgia law does have a 48, but they can use two alternate forms of ID which are not in your bill. So 18 19 what sort of --20 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. You know, you 21 keep saying that. You need to pull up the data to show 22 me that, please. 23 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So to prove their provisional ballot is correct and the six-day cure, what 25 documentation does your bill have that is acceptable? 0044 1 SEN. FRASER: Photo ID. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So only a photo identification. So they would have to --4 SEN. FRASER: The acceptable photo IDs 5 that are outlined in the bill would be an acceptable form, yes 6 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Senator Fraser, do you 8 know right now in the State of Texas, we're able to cast 9 provisional ballots? That's correct, isn't it? 10 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. Ask that again. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Current election law 11 12 allows Texas voters to cast a provisional ballot. Is 13 that correct? 14 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. That is another question I think you should ask the Secretary of State. It is my belief that, but I'm sorry, I don't want to 16 answer that. You can, if you don't mind, ask the 17 18 Secretary of State. 19 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you, Senator. 20 Since it's based on Indiana law, do you believe that the TX\_00000910 JA 000909

TX 00000910

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 8 of 139

- 21 State of Texas has a greater minority population than
- 22 the State of Indiana?
- SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised.
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: To your knowledge,
- 25 have any studies been done to determine if there has 0045
- 1 been, under current Texas voter laws, any impact that it
- 2 would have on affected class of Latino and
- 3 African-American voters?
- 4 SEN. FRASER: The bill that I'm laying out
- 5 today is a model that has been approved by the U.S.
- 6 Supreme Court, it has been precleared by the Department
- 7 of Justice in Georgia. It will deter fraud. We're
- 8 providing free access of cards. And, yes, we believe
- 9 this will protect confidence in election in making sure
- 10 only eligible voters are counted.
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Senator Fraser, on the
- 12 availability of free identification cards, is there a
- 13 means test, or what sort of proof do citizens have to
- 14 give to the Department of Public Safety to be able to
- 15 get a free identification card under your bill?
- SEN. FRASER: The Department of Public
- 17 Safety is here as a resources witness. Senator Williams
- 18 is also here. That's his area of expertise. If you
- 19 have a question about that, if you would like, I will
- 20 yield to Senator Williams now or you can wait and ask
- 21 the DPS when it comes up.
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, right now the
- 23 DPS I don't think gives free IDs. But in your bill,
- 24 what sort of process or documentation can voters use to
- 25 get a free identification card, in your bill? What are 0046
- 1 the --
- 2 SEN. FRASER: If you would like I can
- 3 yield to Senator Williams or we can wait and have the
- 4 DPS. Our instruction is the bill, is that they will
- 5 issue an ID card and they will not charge. That is very
- 6 clear to the DPS. And if you want to ask how that will
- 7 be done, they will be coming up, and you will be able to
- 8 ask that question. Or if you would like for me to yield
- 9 to Senator Williams, we'll let him answer that.
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: No, Senator. Thank
- 11 you. I appreciate this is just a different bill from
- 12 last legislative session, and I was trying to get at
- 13 least some of your thinking of why you went with a
- 14 different bill than last year, a more restrictive, a far
- 15 more restrictive bill than what we debated last
- 16 legislative session. And I look forward to the
- 17 questions, I look forward to the testimony today, but I
- 18 don't have any other further questions.
- And I'm sure some of my colleagues have
- 20 questions, both of the author of the bill and any of the
- 21 other senators that have certain sections that they have
- 22 got expertise on.

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 9 of 139
23
            But thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I
24 don't have any other further questions.
25
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Watson?
0047
1
            SEN. WATSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2
           Will the senator yield for a couple of
3
   questions? Oh, I'm sorry.
            SEN. FRASER: One second, please. Are you
4
5
   wanting me to yield?
            SEN. WATSON: Yes --
6
7
            SEN. FRASER: Hold on a second, please.
8
            SEN. WATSON: -- if you don't mind.
9
            (Brief pause)
10
            (Senator Whitmire speaking without mic)
            SEN. FRASER: Do you have the floor now?
11
12
            (Senator Whitmire speaking without mic)
13
            SEN. FRASER: No, you're asking questions
14
   over here.
15
            (Senator Whitmire speaking without mic)
            SEN. FRASER: Making sure I get the
16
17
   answers correct.
18
            I will yield now.
19
            SEN. WATSON: Thank you, Chairman Fraser.
20
            I want, if you don't mind, to ask about
21
   the fiscal note for just a second. The fiscal note that
   was attached to your bill, Senate Bill 14, indicates
   that the fiscal implication to the state is anticipated
24
   to be $2 million. Is that correct?
25
            SEN. FRASER: Could you hold one second.
0048
1
            SEN. WATSON: Sure.
2
            SEN. FRASER: I need to pull the data
3
   here.
4
           (Brief pause)
           SEN. FRASER: Senator, I was just
5
  verifying. We spent a lot of time last night talking
   about this. I think you're aware that the HAVA funds
7
  that come from the federal government, which I believe
   are Help America Vote Institute, I guess it is, Help
10 America Vote, the HAVA, there are funds that come to
11 every state to the secretary of state. We have a fund
12 that is setting in the Secretary of State's office that
13
   would be more than sufficient to handle this.
14
            In other states like Indiana and Georgia,
15 the HAVA funds have been used before. We have requested
16 that those funds be available for this. They advised us
   back, until the passage of the bill, they can't approve
18 the funds. But the assumption is that those funds are
   before the Secretary of State, and they will be here at
   some point. You can ask them about those funds, the
   parameters, but it is our belief that the HAVA funds
   will be available for this and would offset the fiscal
23
   note.
24
            SEN. WATSON: I appreciate that answer.
                                                    TX_00000912
                                                   JA 000911
```

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 10 of 139

- 25 My question was, it's a \$2 million fiscal note. Right? 0049
- SEN. FRASER: Right now the fiscal note
- 2 that was delivered is \$2 million, yes.
- 3 SEN. WATSON: Okay. And that's what I 4 really wanted to ask about. And I'll talk about the
- 5 fact that y'all want to take some federal funds, here in
- 6 a second. But first of all, last session when we were
- 7 talking about the fiscal note, my memory was and is,
- 8 that at the beginning of the session when you filed the
- 9 bill last session, there was a zero fiscal note, and
- 10 then that got changed to the same as it is right now, a
- 11 \$2 million fiscal note for voter awareness, and it was
- 12 exclusively for voter awareness in the second fiscal
- 13 note.
- 14 Can you tell me what analysis has gone
- 15 into coming up with how much money should be spent on
- 16 voter awareness and voter education regarding this bill,
- 17 in order to get to that \$2 million?
- 18 SEN. FRASER: I think the analysis on this
- 19 comes from the Secretary of State's office, and I'm sure
- 20 they will be glad to answer your question. Two years
- 21 ago before we started, we advised them that we needed
- 22 voter education. If you remember in the bill, we
- 23 discussed in that when we were discussing that, that we
- 24 needed to have an appropriation for that.
- 25 I think Senator Ogden stood up and talked 0050
- 1 about the fact that they would be willing to make sure
- 2 that there was money there. Since then, we have been
- 3 made aware that the Secretary of State not only I think
- 4 has a plan for doing that but also a plan for requesting
- 5 the funds from HAVA.
- 6 SEN. WATSON: Well, I'll ask about that.
- 7 So, then, let me ask you another question. You
- 8 indicated in your opening comments that -- and I've read
- 9 your legislation -- under this bill, everyone gets a
- 10 free identification card if they come in and ask for a
- 11 free identification card, they show a voter registration
- 12 card and/or they apply for a registration card. That
- 13 \$2 million that you've just talked about doesn't include
- 14 the cost, any of the cost for providing these free
- 15 identification cards, does it?
- SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I was doing
- 17 something else. Would you ask that last question again,
- 18 please.
- 19 SEN. WATSON: Does the \$2 million in the
- 20 fiscal note include any of the cost of providing free
- 21 identification cards?
- 22 SEN. FRASER: To my knowledge, it does
- 23 not.
- SEN. WATSON: And, in fact, there is no
- 25 means test and your bill forbids DPS from collecting a
- 0051

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 11 of 139 fee. If any eligible voter comes in or submits a registration application, they can then avoid what is the typical \$15 fee? SEN. FRASER: Senator, have you seen the numbers that have been collected by DPS on the number of eligible voters that have registered since 2006, the ones that registered with a driver's license or a

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

17 18

19

20

21

22

0052 1 ca

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

25 that? 0053

with that?

that question.

16 I've got your question right.

Williams

driver's license and a social security card that

identified the number of people registering --

SEN. FRASER: -- that already had

SEN. WATSON: Then why don't we talk about

specific numbers. With you talking about those numbers,

you're probably aware that in 2007, House Bill 218 was

would be \$1.3 million per biennium or \$4 million every

SEN. FRASER: Senator, you're getting into

SEN. WATSON: Senator, if you would answer

six years out of the highway fund. Were you familiar

an area that's outside of my area of expertise. We have the person that's in charge of that. You've got two

choices. Either you can ask that question of DPS as a

Williams right now and he can answer your question.

SEN. FRASER: I now yield to Senator

SEN. WILLIAMS: I just want to be sure

SEN. WILLIAMS: I'm not familiar with

House Bill 218. But, you know, I take what you're

SEN. WATSON: Sure. Since we're talking

resource when it comes up, or I will yield to Senator

about numbers here -- and I'm trying to get a feel for what the cost of this is -- in House Bill 218 in the 20 2007 -- the 80th legislative session, there was a bill filed that dealt with the provision of identification cards. And in that one, the LBB indicated the fiscal note would be \$1.3 million or \$4 million every year coming out of the highway fund. Are you familiar with

offered. It was referred to the committee, the Senate Committee on State Affairs. And in that one, which was HB 218, DPS talked specifically about identification

cards and it put a fiscal note, it believed that it

12 identification? So the question you're asking is, the
13 universe we're talking about we believe is very, very,
14 very small. In fact, the Carter Commission, after the
15 implementation in both Indiana and Georgia, and actually
16 Mississippi they looked at, they found that only 1.2
17 percent of people did not have, already have a photo ID

SEN. WATSON: Yes.

available, so the universe of this, so the

question you're asking --

TX 00000914

```
3
   saying --
4
            SEN. WATSON: Sure. Okay.
5
            SEN. WILLIAMS: -- at value.
6
            SEN. WATSON: And since I anticipate that
7
   there would be deferral to you on the next question,
8
   too, let me just go ahead and ask that. Last session,
9
   in the 81st session, there was a bill by -- it was HB
10 2335 that indicated, similar to what Senate Bill 14 does
   not, that there couldn't be a fee charged for issuing a
12 document that someone might use as proof of their
   identification for purposes of voting. In the fiscal
   note there, the LBB singled out DPS identification
    cards, which is what we're talking about here, and
    assumed that if everyone used those, the number they
    came up in that fiscal note was $47 million over five
18
    years. Are you familiar with that one?
19
             SEN. WILLIAMS: I'm not familiar with
20 that --
21
            SEN. WATSON: Okay.
22
            SEN. WILLIAMS: -- particular bill. But
23
    what I can tell you is that the cost to the Department
    of Public Safety for issuing an ID card is about $1.67.
24
   It's a very small amount of money. So $47 million
0054
1
   sounds -- that's a lot of IDs at a buck 67 apiece. And
   so what I would say is that when I discussed this with
3
   the Department of Public Safety recently -- and they'll
   be here to testify about this in detail more -- I think
5
  that it would be difficult for them to determine now how
   many people might take advantage of the free ID card. I
7
   think it's probably not possible for them to estimate
8
   that.
9
            But the cost, I think we're all pretty
    comfortable that it would be fairly negligible. When
    you look at the universe of registered voters, which is
    somewhere around 13 million people, I think, and you've
13
    got about 15 million people that have either a driver's
   license -- and I can get you the exact numbers. I have
    them here -- there are a lot of people that already --
15
16
             SEN. WATSON: Right.
             SEN. WILLIAMS: -- have state ID cards.
17
    And a lot of the folks that don't have those would be
18
19
    using a mail-in ballot, and there is no requirement to
20
   present any kind of photo identification for a mail-in
21
   ballot, and this legislative doesn't touch that. So we
    think that the chances that there's going to be somebody
23
    who is going to want to avail themself, there will be
    some, but it's going to be a very small number.
24
25
            SEN. WATSON: Of course, what I'm
0055
   attempting to do is not engage in that as I vote no
   this. What I've tried to go is go back and find out
   what the LBB, which we rely upon for fiscal notes, has
   actually said about these sorts of things, with previous
                                                      TX_00000915
```

JA 000914

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 13 of 139 legislation that has addressed this, as opposed to speculation. 7 SEN. WILLIAMS: And, Senator Watson, I 8 understand, and there are a lot of things -- I'm not 9 familiar with those bills. And what I would tell you is 10 that each -- the LBB comes up with their methodology based on what each bill's requirements are. And not being familiar with that --12 13 SEN. WATSON: Sure. SEN. WILLIAMS: -- I can't tell you what 14 15 the difference between that and this is. But we did specifically sit down and talk to DPS, and they really don't expect that this is going to be any big burden on 18 the agency that they're not going to be able to handle. 19 SEN. WATSON: Thank you for your answer. 20 SEN. WILLIAMS: Yes. 21 SEN. WATSON: I have a couple more questions for Senator Fraser, if that would be all 23 right. 24 SEN. FRASER: I'm back with you. SEN. WATSON: Okay. Great! Thank you, 25 0056 1 Senator. 2 Would the HAVA money that -- first of all, 3 you're familiar that in the base budget that the Senate has but out, the \$2 million for this biennium for voter 5 education has been explicitly cut. You're familiar with 6 that. Right? 7 SEN. FRASER: I don't think the word 8 "explicitly cut," I don't think it's been addressed. 9 SEN. WATSON: Well, it's been struck through in the base budget. Did you know that? 10 SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. 11 12 SEN. WATSON: Okay. Are you also familiar 13 that in this budget it calls for a \$358 million cut to 14 the DPS budget? 15 SEN. FRASER: Again, I'm not on Finance; 16 I'm not sure you're on Finance. And so, no, I haven't -- the base bill is the starting point of 17 18 discussion, so I'm not advised. SEN. WATSON: All right. So you're not 19 20 advised whether, out of that 9.5 percent of the cut comes in regulatory and the licensing area for DPS? 21 22 SEN. FRASER: Well, and as you know, as we 23 start the session, that's a draft budget as a starting point. We're a long ways from that being concluded. So 25 the answer is no, I'm not aware. 0057 1 SEN. WATSON: Thank you very much. 2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 SEN. ELTIFE: Senator Whitmire, what purpose do you rise? 5 SEN. WHITMIRE: Will the gentleman yield?

TX\_00000916 JA 000915

SEN. ELTIFE: Senator Fraser yield?

6

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 14 of 139
7
            SEN. FRASER: Be glad to.
8
            SEN. WHITMIRE: Senator Fraser, a couple
9
   of questions about the implementation of your
10 legislation if it passes. First off, I have to make
   this observation: Have you ever seen the gallery so
   empty when the Legislature is considering something
13 that's been given such a high billing as Senator Duncan
14 was making yesterday when he asked us to go to Committee
15 of the Whole? I mean, how timely this was and how
16 critical it was? The Governor has made it an emergency,
    and I don't think I've -- I don't know if there's 20
18
   people in the gallery. If it's so important, can you
19
    explain to me why the gallery is empty --
20
            SEN. FRASER: I am not advised.
            SEN. WHITMIRE: -- based on --
21
22
            SEN. FRASER: I'm concentrating on the
23
   action on the floor rather than looking up and seeing
24
    who is in the gallery.
25
            SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, but it's an
0058
   indication, if the public is really concerned,
   particularly based on your polling data, which I'm sure
   you would join with, we don't govern in the state by
   polls normally, do we?
            SEN. FRASER: Well, other than I find it
  interesting, whenever they asked the people of your
7
   district that you represent --
8
            SEN. WHITMIRE: Sure.
            SEN. FRASER: -- of whether they're in
9
10
   favor, the polls continue to show that the public, both
    Republican and Democrat --
11
12
            SEN. WHITMIRE: Well --
13
            SEN. FRASER: -- you say, "Will you
14
   support a person voting with a photo ID?"
15
            SEN. WHITMIRE: And did you include in
16 that question and would you be for it if it would
17
   disenfranchise senior citizens, students or others? You
   and I know it's all in how you ask the question. In
19
   fact, the way you're stating it, I'm surprised you
   didn't get 100 percent. If you ask people, "Are you
20
    against vote fraud?" I would assume you would get
21
22
   100 percent.
23
            SEN. FRASER: Here's the question --
24 here's the question --
            SEN. WHITMIRE: It's the unintended
25
0059
1
   consequences that we're concerned about.
2
            SEN. FRASER: "Do you favor or oppose
   requiring a photo ID before a person is allowed to
   vote?" Pretty straightforward.
5
            SEN. WHITMIRE: I'm surprised you didn't
   get 100 percent if you include "and stop fraud." It's
7
   when you add into it, "if it meant disenfranchising
   senior citizens," and then I think you would have a
                                                    TX 00000917
                                                   JA 000916
```

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 15 of 139 significant drop. 9 10 The bottom line is, Senator Fraser, and 11 we'll have -- and let's have this ballot: Would you concede that we're all, all 31 of us are against 13 election fraud? 14 SEN. FRASER: I will not concede that 15 until after the vote, and we're assuming the ones that 16 vote for it are --17 SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, let me go ahead and speak for the 12 of us that are probably going to vote 18 "No." We're all against election fraud. And I would 20 suggest we've actually seen an election process since we took this up two years ago. Let's look at the most 22 recent election. What fraudulent activity this past 23 November are you so concerned about? I think it's the 24 election -- and maybe I should be more concerned. 25 If you look at the election results, it 0060 was an overwhelming victorious day for Republicans in 1 November. You replaced 34 Democrats in the house. Now, are you suggesting there was significant fraud on that 4 election day? 5 SEN. FRASER: Senator, all we're trying to 6 do with this bill is that when you walk into the polling place and represent that you are John Whitmire --8 SEN. WHITMIRE: Sure. 9 SEN. FRASER: -- that you can prove you 10 are who you say you are before you vote, it's a very simple concept. 11 12 SEN. WHITMIRE: Except, Senator Fraser, 13 the unintended consequences that you're going to disenfranchise people that have not been able to acquire these cards, and that's what I want to spend a few moments on. Walk me through a real life example of how a senior citizen in my district is going to acquire that 17 card. Do they do it by mail? Do they have to do it in 19 person? What's the process? 20 SEN. FRASER: Senior citizens over --SEN. WHITMIRE: Give me a real life. 21 Don't say, "We're going to provide it." Let's break 23 down what an 86-year-old lady in my district, never been 24 required to have one, how is she going to get her card? 25 SEN. FRASER: She would vote under current 0061 law because she's exempt. 2 SEN. WHITMIRE: You've given her an 3 exemption. Does she have to prove, that day, her age? I mean, Troy --5 SEN. FRASER: You can ask that question of the Secretary of State. But I'm assuming --6 7 SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, you're the author. And let me just tell you, like I said, we're all against 9 fraud. As elected officials, it's in our own personal

TX\_00000918 JA 000917

self-interest to have honest elections with the highest

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 16 of 139 11 integrity. We're doing it for the people that we 12 represent as well. So that's not the issue, are we for 13 or against fraud? It's the implementation, it's the 14 disenfranchisement, Troy, that we're fighting for and 15 what we've been fighting for, for the last couple of 16 years. Tell me how we're going to address the unintended consequences of someone not being able to vote on election day, because I know you don't want 18 19 that. And I --20 SEN. FRASER: I was sent down here by the 21 people of my district to represent their views. The polling of my district shows that it's almost 90 percent of the people in favor of it. 24 SEN. WHITMIRE: Okay. 25 SEN. FRASER: The district that you 0062 represent, I think if you poll in that district -- and I 1 have used some polling that shows close to the same number -- that say that when they're asked, "Do you 4 think you should have to show a photo ID?" and they say 5 yes. 6 SEN. WHITMIRE: And my --7 SEN. FRASER: So my answer is, we need to 8 pass this, because the people in our district --9 SEN. WHITMIRE: Well --10 SEN. FRASER: -- believe that they should 11 show a photo ID. 12 SEN. WHITMIRE: First of all, I don't 13 govern by poll. And if I was at a town hall meeting and 14 I walked through, after they've said they're for voter 15 ID, then I start talking about the implementation of it, 16 they start being just as concerned as I am. So I want 17 to know how people are going to acquire these cards. 18 Forget the 86-year-old. Let's go to a 56-year-old person. How do they acquire the card? Are you familiar 20 in Houston it takes two to three hours to get a driver's license at the DPS office? SEN. FRASER: John, I was about to ask 23 you, you know, ask you your age, but I know your age. 24 We're both 61. A 61-year-old person in our age group, 25 is it going to be a real problem for you and I to drive 0063 1 down to the DPS to get --2 SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, see, that's what's so sad about this discussion. You're not putting 3 yourself in the shoes of someone who doesn't have the 5 means that you and I have, they have to depend on someone else for transportation. They may not have any 6 7 resources. How is a 56-year-old person in Houston, 8 Texas, going to acquire this card --9 SEN. FRASER: We are not changing --SEN. WHITMIRE: -- no driver's license. 10 11 SEN. FRASER: We are not changing the

TX\_00000919 JA 000918

12 mail-in ballot. And if someone has a reason that they

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 17 of 139 13 need to vote by mail --14 SEN. WHITMIRE: On a mail-in ballot, how 15 do you prove -- that's early voting. How do you verify 16 who you are in that instance? 17 SEN. FRASER: I'm sure the Secretary of 18 State would be glad to answer that. 19 SEN. WHITMIRE: Okay. But that's not what 20 we're talking about. We're talking about on election day, a person in Houston wants to vote, how do they 21 acquire the voter ID, photo ID? SEN. FRASER: The DPS and the Secretary of 23 State will both be here, and I'm sure they will be glad 24 25 to answer that question. 0064 1 SEN. WHITMIRE: Troy, you're proposing 2 this. And before we go forward, I would like to know, do you have to go to the DPS office? Do you order it by 4 mail? That's a critical concern of all of us that are 5 voting "No" against this bill. And I don't --SEN. FRASER: Senator, did --6 7 SEN. WHITMIRE: -- think you want to 8 disenfranchise anybody, but I'm afraid that there's 9 unintended consequences that you have not envisioned. 10 SEN. FRASER: Did another senator advise 11 you of what you had to do to go down to the DPS office to get your driver's license? 12 13 SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, we're not talking 14 about me. We're fortunate; you and I are fortunate. We 15 probably don't have to wait in lines. In Houston. 16 Texas ---17 SEN. FRASER: There are 15 million drivers in Texas. Of the 31 Senate districts, I think that would mean there's about 500,000, I believe, in my 19 district. And I don't think I've got a one of them that 21 I instructed on how to go down and get a photo ID. 22 SEN. WHITMIRE: Okay. Well, let me just 23 tell you about the DPS operations in Harris County. A working person cannot go by and get their license 25 renewed on their lunch hour, before work or after work, 0065 because literally it's a two to three-hour wait. So how 1 do you add this new group of participants that have to show up at a DPS office to get a voter ID. 3 4 SEN. FRASER: We have someone coming from 5 the DPS. I think you can ask that question or 6 I'll yield to Senator Williams. 7 SEN. WHITMIRE: I'm not sure if they're --I think you as the sponsor ought to explain that. 9 SEN. FRASER: The bill that I'm laying out 10 is very clear, that it complies with the Supreme Court ramification and it also has been cleared by the Department of Justice. 12 13 SEN. WHITMIRE: Okay. So you don't know. 14 Is that your answer? TX 00000920 JA 000919

TX 00000920

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 18 of 139
15
            SEN. FRASER: I said we've got resource
16 witnesses that are coming. I'm not an expert in that
    area. We do have an expert coming, and they'll be glad
17
18
   to answer your question.
19
            SEN. WHITMIRE: The DPS folks will have to
20 publicly say at Gessner and I-10 or at Tacoma and 290,
21 two sites in my district -- and I complained and asked
   for more resources -- it's a two- to three-hour wait,
   Governor Dewhurst, to get your driver's license renewed.
24 So you can't even go over there on your lunch hour and
25 get a driver's license, and now you want the folks to go
0066
   over there and, I assume, wait in line to get a voter
2
   ID.
3
           Let me ask you another question about the
4
   education that you're going to provide. Is it going to
   be done in bilingual materials with a --
            SEN. FRASER: I'm sure the Secretary of
6
7
   State will be glad to answer that question.
            SEN. WHITMIRE: Well, you're the sponsor.
8
9
            SEN. FRASER: And as the sponsor, I
10 invited the Secretary of State as a resource witness, to
   make sure we have someone that knows the answer to that
12
   particular question.
13
            SEN. WHITMIRE: One also is, your bill
14 provides same-day registration. Now, according to you,
15
   you're going to have a fail-safe system that you'll know
16 who is showing up to vote. Are you open to the idea
17 that someone who has gotten motivated in the last 30
   days, maybe the days just leading up to the election,
   with this secure form of ID can show up on election day,
19
   prove who they are and ask to vote?
21
            SEN. FRASER: The bill does not provide
   for same-day registration.
23
            SEN. WHITMIRE: I'm sorry. What?
24
            SEN. FRASER: The bill does not provide
25 for same-day registration.
0067
            SEN. WHITMIRE: Would you be amenable to
1
   us proposing it and --
            SEN. FRASER: The bill does not provide,
   as I -- I filed the bill, and the bill does not provide
5
   for same-day registration.
6
            SEN. WHITMIRE: Okay. Thank you for your
7
   answers.
8
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Uresti.
9
            SEN. URESTI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10
            Would the gentleman yield for some
11
    questions?
12
            SEN. FRASER: I would love to yield.
13
            SEN. URESTI: Thank you, Senator Fraser.
14 I want to ask you a few questions, kind of to follow on
   what Dean Whitmire asked you specifically regarding the
16 DPS offices. And I don't know if they're here yet or
                                                    TX 00000921
                                                   JA 000920
```

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 19 of 139

- 17 not. But particularly about my district, you know how
- 18 large it is. It goes from San Antonio all the way to
- 19 El Paso, and it has 23 counties, as I'm sure you're
- 20 aware, Senator Fraser.
- And one of the concerns that I have is
- 22 that between here and El Paso -- and you may know this.
- 23 If not, I would like to let you know and the other
- 24 members know -- well, let me ask you this: Do you know
- 25 how many of my 23 counties do not have a DPS office? 0068
- 1 SEN. FRASER: Senator, you know, the start
- 2 of your description of this, I'm very familiar with the
- 3 district, because I used to represent a lot of it. And
- 4 that area between -- going out toward El Paso, I've had
- 5 that when I was a state rep. It was in my state
- 6 representative district. And then part of your other
- 7 district was when I was a senator. So, yes, I'm very
- 8 familiar with it.
- 9 The answer to your question that you're
- 10 asking about driver's license location, we'll have
- 11 somebody from DPS here, and I'm sure they'll be glad to
- 12 answer that question for you.
- SEN. URESTI: Well, in the meantime,
- 14 Senator Fraser, let me let you and the members know.
- 15 There are eight counties in my district out of the 23
- 16 that do not have a DPS office. Loving County has no
- 17 office, Crockett County, Hudspeth County, Jeff Davis
- 18 County, Kinney County, Real County -- we had some good
- 19 folks here yesterday representing Real County -- and
- 20 Terrell County have their offices temporarily closed.
- 21 And, Senator Fraser, do you know how many people live in
- 22 those counties? There are 47,000 people that live in
- 23 those counties in my district that don't have a DPS
- 24 office.
- SEN. FRASER: Do you know how many in 0069
- 1 those counties drive that have a license?
- 2 SEN. URESTI: No, I don't. Do you know,
- 3 Senator?

17

- 4 SEN. FRASER: I don't, no. I have been
- 5 out in those counties and I see people driving. I'm
- 6 assuming they have a driver's license.
- 7 SEN. URESTI: Well, it makes it even more
- 8 difficult if they don't have a driver's license and they
- 9 need to get a driver's license or a photo ID to vote.
- 10 How are they going to drive long distances in order to
- 11 retrieve that -- or obtain that ID?
- SEN. FRASER: Again, the data we've been
- 13 shown is that people registered to vote -- and I guess I
- 14 would like to look in your area -- but about 90 percent
- 15 of the people that are coming in show their driver's
- 16 license when they register to vote.
  - You know, yes, there's -- it looks like
- 18 there's a lot of people or, you know, 47,000, but I'm

TX\_00000922 JA 000921

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 20 of 139 assuming that the bulk of those, probably a lot of them 20 have IDs. SEN. URESTI: Well, that's an assumption, 22 Senator Fraser, that you're making that I don't have the luxury of making on behalf of those 47,000 people. But in addition to that, Senator Fraser and members, there's another 70,000, another 70,000 constituents in my 0070 district that have access to only partial or sporadic

1 service; for example, Senator Fraser, the first Tuesday of each month from 9:00 to 4:00. So they have one day a month, members, to go and get an ID, and that's between

the hours of 9:00 to 4:00.

21

6

7

8

13

14

Well, if you can't get off of work that one month -- that one Tuesday and that's the only day it's open, what are my constituents supposed to do, Senator Fraser?

10 SEN. FRASER: I think that's probably a question you would want to ask the DPS. Or, if you 11 would like, I will yield to Senator Williams. 12

SEN. URESTI: But this isn't their bill; this is your bill, Senator Fraser.

15 SEN. FRASER: And that's the reason I bring in, you know, knowledgeable witnesses, expert 16 17 witnesses that can answer these questions. We have someone from DPS that will be here. Or Senator 18

19 Williams, that's in the area of his committee.

20 SEN. URESTI: So they're going to answer 21 my question as to what should my constituents do if they 22 can't get off of work that one Tuesday of the month in 23 order to get their ID to vote? That's what you're 24 saying, they're going to answer that question? 25 SEN. FRASER: You'll just have to ask

1 them.

0071

SEN. URESTI: This is your bill, Senator Fraser. I'm asking you, because I need to go back to my district and tell them that they have to get a photo ID 5 in order to vote. And their first question to me is going to be, "Well, Senator Uresti, you know that our 6 7 DPS offices are closed," or "We have no DPS office in 8 our county," or "It's only open on one Tuesday a month." 9 What am I supposed to do, Sen. Uresti"? SEN. FRASER: Again, the DPS will be here.

10 11 You can outline the problem, and you can outline the 12 problem with Senator Williams, and you're free to ask 13 them those questions.

14 SEN. URESTI: Sen. Fraser, in addition to 15 those counties that have no DPS offices, many of my constituents in several other counties are going to have to travel long distances in order to get an ID. For 17 example, my constituents in Crockett County, Ozona, will have to travel 163 miles round trip to San Angelo to get 20 to the nearest DPS office. And if you live in Sanderson

TX\_00000923

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 21 of 139

- 21 in Terrell County, you will have to travel 170 miles
- 22 round trip to get to Fort Stockton. If you live in
- 23 Sierra Blanca in Hudspeth County, you have to travel
- 24 176 miles to get to El Paso in order to get to the DPS
- 25 office. Did you know that, Sen. Fraser? 0072
- 1 SEN. FRASER: I'm very aware of that,
- 2 that, you know, the district I represented, there were
  - bus routes that were 80 to 90 miles each way for kids to
- 4 attend public school, because the people lived out in
- 5 the country.
- 6 SEN. URESTI: And would you agree with me,
- 7 then, that that's going to be a challenge for those
- 8 folks?
- 9 SEN. FRASER: We're not changing the early
- 10 voting mail-in ballot rules, and that will still be an
- 11 option for people.
- 12 SEN. URESTI: So they don't need an ID to
- 13 vote by mail?
- 14 SEN. FRASER: By mail? Again, you can ask
- 15 the Secretary of State. We're not addressing the
- 16 mail-in ballots. The Secretary of State will be here.
- 17 Someone from their office, you can ask that question.
- SEN. URESTI: Well, let me just mention a
- 19 few more of my counties. If you live in Van Horn in
- 20 Culberson County, you have to travel 200 miles round
- 21 trip to Marfa, which is the nearest DPS office. If you 22 live in Pecos, which is in Reeves County, you have to
- 23 travel 143 miles to Fort Stockton. If you live in
- 24 Rocksprings in Edwards County, it's 152 miles round trip
- 25 to Del Rio, Sen. Fraser. And finally, if you live in 0073
  - 1 Medina, which is in Hondo, if you live in Hondo, which
- 2 is in Medina County, you have to travel 84 miles.
- 3 And so again my question; Sen. Fraser --
- 4 if you can't answer it, just let me know -- what am I
- 5 supposed to tell my constituents -- because this is your
- 6 bill; it's not my bill -- how are they supposed to get
- 7 their Texas ID if their DPS office is --
- 8 SEN. FRASER: Senator, if I were you, when
- 9 the DPS comes up, I would ask them questions and say,
- 10 "Is there a way that we could do something like a
- 11 temporary van coming through to accommodate those
- 12 people?" And if I were the senator from that area, that
- 13 probably would be a question I would ask the DPS. But
- 14 again, they're coming forward, and that's a question I
- 15 think that is appropriate of the DPS of, you know, "How
- 16 do we make sure that we accommodate those people?"
- 17 SEN. URESTI: Well, it's a great
- 18 suggestion, Senator Fraser. But what if DPS says, "We
- 19 can't do that. It's not in the budget, the \$2 million
- 20 that we're being allocated"? So then what do I tell my
- 21 constituents?
- SEN. FRASER: Well, you're assuming the

TX\_00000924

- answer before you ask the question of the DPS. 23
- 24 SEN. URESTI: Well, you're assuming that

25 they're going to say that they will be able to do it. 0074

- 1 SEN. FRASER: No. I'm assuming that the DPS is going to come up and you'll have the opportunity
- to ask them. SEN. URESTI: Okay. So then let's assume 4 5 the DPS spokesperson says, "Great idea that Senator
- Fraser has. We can do that," there's going to be a cost 7 associated with that. Isn't that correct? That's not
- 8 included in the fiscal note of \$2 million?
- 9 SEN. FRASER: Again, I'm not advised, I 10 think the DPS could advise you on that, or
- Sen. Williams. 11

3

14

9

12 SEN. URESTI: Do we know when they're

13 going to be available to answer or --

SEN. FRASER: I think they're on hand.

- 15 And as soon as we complete these questioning, I think
- 16 we'll going to bring -- you know, as soon as we start
- 17 the -- well, I think that the plan -- I'm not speaking
- 18 for the Chair, but I believe we're going to allow
- questions from members, then we're going to have invited
- 20 guests. And then once we start the public testimony,
- 21 they would be ready to come up, and I think they'll
- answer any questions you've got.
- 23 SEN. URESTI: Senator Fraser, let me ask
- 24 you a few more questions, if I may, please. And I want
- 25 to be clear. So as I understand it, in order to vote 0075
- 1 with your bill, if your bill passes, you can have a
- voter registration card and a Texas ID or a driver's
- license, and you're able to vote with both of those
- 4 documents. Correct?
- 5 SEN. FRASER: Actually, you don't -- if 6
- you go in and you're on the voter roll and you have a 7 driver's license, they'll allow you to vote, because I
- 8 know that's -- you know, I do that now.
  - SEN. URESTI: So you don't need your voter
- registration card, is my real question? If you have a
- valid Texas ID or a valid Texas driver's license, then 11
- 12 you do not need --
- 13 SEN. FRASER: I think probably if you'll
- 14 ask the Secretary of State. But my understanding is
- 15 that you just have to identify yourself with a photo.
- And if you're on the voter roll and you're at the
- 17 correct voting location, you live in that precinct and
- you're on that roll and you show them your ID, I believe 18 19 you'll be allowed to vote.
- 20 SEN. URESTI: And that's my question, but
- I want to be specific about it. So if I have a valid
- photo ID or a valid Texas driver's license and I'm on
- the rolls, then I do not need a voter registration card.
- 24 Correct?

20 that were asked, that you asked me last year, the 21 answers. And I guess if you're concerned about that, maybe you should get that deposition and you read it and that way you can feel more comfortable about what was 24 asked and what was answered. Have you read the 25 deposition?

0078

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 24 of 139

SEN. GALLEGOS: There will be plenty of 1 time for that. But I'm just asking you, as the author 3 of the bill. You know, I mean, you are laying it out, and you're trying to explain it. And you're asking us 4 5 to ask resource witnesses on questions, especially the questions that Senator Uresti had. And it concerns me 7 that before we even, you know, lay it out and go forward

with a bill, that the people that are here listening, at 8

9 least they have the right to -- they leave, they have

10 the right to know these questions, especially those

questions that Senator Uresti just got through asking

you. And it concerns me that we cannot get answers at

the time that the bill is laid out, before we even go

forward with the witnesses. And that just concerns me,

that we're not getting answers.

SEN. FRASER: I think you can take a lot 17 of comfort in the fact that we will not ask you to vote 18 for the bill until we bring up an expert witness and you will be allowed to ask those question and get the answer you're looking for.

SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, I mean, we did that 22 two years ago. And some of the questions that the box that Senator Huffman had still doesn't have answers in that box that she had that's going to be introduced as 25 Exhibit No. 1.

0079

11

16

19 20

21

24

1 SEN. FRASER: Have you read all the data that was in the box?

3 SEN. GALLEGOS: I have not read it; I have not read it. But, you know, I would think that, 5 especially some of the questions that I asked and I'm fixing to ask you, you know, that if those answers 7 aren't in that box that Senator Huffman introduced as Exhibit No. 1. I just want to make a point that it concerns me that these questions these senators have about their districts are not being answered. I just

12 And on another question, Senator, on the fiscal note -- and I know that Senator Watson brought it 13 up -- it says that it's \$2 million to implement. Now, here is my concern on that, is that Texas is ranked 15 16 No. 2 nationally in this country as far as population. Missouri is ranked 19th. Yet, the numbers that I'm 17 looking at on the costs that the Secretary of the State of Missouri on implementing -- and Missouri only has 19 5.9; we have 25 million -- Missouri has 5.9 in 21 population, and the Secretary of the State of Missouri

is going it's going to cost \$6 million just to implement

their voter ID program, and that's just the first year.

The second year, another \$4 million. 24

wanted to make that point.

25 Now, with only 5.9 in population, and I'm 0080

looking at Texas that has 25 million, now, what kind of

methodology is the Secretary of State using in Missouri

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 25 of 139

- as opposed to the Secretary of State in Texas? To me,
- 4 that math -- you know, I'm not an expert in math, but I
- 5 can tell the difference between 5.9 and 25 million to
- 6 implement a voter ID bill, you know, that obviously
- 7 there's something wrong here in the numbers. Can you
- 8 tell me the difference in 6 million for Missouri and
- 9 2 million in implementing the cost of voter ID in Texas?
- 10 SEN. FRASER: I'm not a citizen of
- 11 Missouri, so we don't have access to that information.
- 12 And you and I have been in the Legislature a long time,
- and you're very aware that your fiscal note -- whenever
- 4 you file a bill, you get a fiscal note with a bill, they
- 15 look at the cost, and this is the cost that's been
- 16 estimated.
- 17 SEN. GALLEGOS: You know, Senator, I'm
- 18 concerned here that this number that has been laid out
- 19 in this bill -- you know, and we do have -- and I don't
- 20 know if the rules if we have the Ogden amendment on this
- 21 bill where you're looking at one number and then all of
- 22 a sudden, before we start implementing the bill, it's
- 23 going to cost us \$30 million to implement the bill by
- 24 the numbers -- if we use the formula being used by
- 25 Missouri that has only 5.9 in population. Now, that 0081
- 1 really concerns me. \$30 million, Senator Watson could
- 2 use that here and stop the closure of those Austin
- 3 Independent School District schools that are being
- 4 closed. They could use that \$30 million that I see as
- 5 opposed to what I'm seeing as the formula in math that
- 6 Missouri used.
- Now, it concerns me that the fiscal note
- 8 that's laid out in this bill is misleading, according to
- 9 the other states that are using more money and less
- 10 population to implement their voter ID bill. That
- 11 concerns me, Senator. And, I mean, is there somebody
- 12 that can answer that question for me, why it costs so
- 13 little on a state that has 25 million in population as
- 14 opposed to another state that has 5 million and it's
- 15 triple the cost?
- You know, I mean, that concerns me, and
- 17 that should concern you, when you're given a number, and
- 18 we're telling the people in the audience here, the
- 19 taxpayers, it's only going to cost us \$2 million. And
- 20 we have 25 million in population; Missouri only has
- 21 5.9 million, and it's costing them \$6 million to
- 22 implement voter ID. Now, you know, that really concerns
- 23 me. And I don't want to mislead the public in any form
- 24 or fashion that it's only going to cost us \$2 million to
- 25 upstart voter ID when that is a misleading number. And 0082
- 1 that concerns me, Senator, and it should you. If this
- 2 number is misleading, now who can answer that question
- 3 for me?
- 4 SEN. FRASER: I think you're very aware of

TX\_00000928

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 26 of 139

- the fact that this number comes from LBB. I believe
- they probably called the Secretary of State and asked
- for that number. So if you have a concern about it, 7
- 8 probably you should ask the LBB and/or the Secretary of
- 9 State. I believe the Secretary of State is going to
- 10 tell you there are HAVA funds that they're requesting
- that would possibly even eliminate that \$2 million. 11
- 12 SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, I mean, I heard you
- 13 tell Senator Watson about the HAVA funds. I'm just
- 14 saying on straight-up, straight-up implementation, that
- \$2 million as opposed to \$6 million in Missouri, you
- know, that's without HAVA funds, too. I'm saying that
- when you come down to it, if that number -- if, when the
- 18 implementation starts, instead of \$2 million it's
- 19 \$30 million, then, you know, I'm concerned.
- 20 I believe that the Ogden amendment should 21 go on there and say, you know, if it's going to be over,
- over what you're showing on the fiscal note, that it
- shouldn't be implemented if it's going to cost that type
- 24 of money. That's a lot of money; that's a lot of money
- 25 to implement voter ID when you're just saying -- well, 0083
- 1 not you -- but the fiscal note on this bill is saying
- only two million bucks. Now, you know, that just
- 3 concerns me, Senator. And I guess I'll ask that
- 4 question when the proper resource witness comes up.
- 5 Senator Fraser, the other question I had
- 6 was similar to Senator Uresti's question. Now, two
- years ago, I put maps up on one of my amendments where
- the City of Houston has no DPS offices within the 610
- 9 loop. The City of Fort Worth, I believe -- let me see
- here. Let me look at my notes here.
- 11 The City of Fort Worth I think doesn't
- 12 have any either inside -- what is that loop? 82,
- 182? -- 81. And Dallas, Senator West, only has one --
- only has one inside the city, only has one DPS center
- 15 inside the city. And it concerns me, if we're going to
- 16 mandate Texans to get a photo ID and you have no place
- 17 to send them to, especially inside the loop and
- especially those without transportation, and if they
- 19 can't get to it on a bus route, to one of the DPS
- 20 centers --
- 21 SEN. FRASER: Senator, if you have
- evidence that someone in your district has the inability
- 23 to get a driver's license, I wish you would bring that
- 24 forward.
- 25 SEN. GALLEGOS: I'm talking about your 0084
- bill that mandates a photo ID. And if we're going to
- mandate Texans, then we should at least allow them the
- 3 opportunity to have places where they can get it, where
- they don't have to travel 150 miles, like Senator Uresti
- just said. That's my concern, especially the elderly
- that don't have any and they're going to have to get a

TX 00000929

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 27 of 139

- photo ID, that that person is going to have to travel
- 150 miles, even from their house inside the loop, those
- 9 people that don't have cars and they have to do public
- 10 transportation.
- 11 Now, I'm looking at the map in the City of
- 12 Houston, the bus route where it takes them three buses
- just to get close to a DPS center from anywhere inside
- the 610 loop. That really concerns me, Senator, on 14
- 15 this, and hopefully that -- Senator Fraser?
- SEN. FRASER: I'm with you. 16
- 17 SEN. GALLEGOS: Hopefully that you will
- 18 look at it and maybe in some of our amendments will take
- that into consideration. I'm just telling you, you
- 20 know, what's in Houston, not in Horseshoe Bay where you
- 21 live. And, you know, that is really a problem that we
- have, especially those of us that represent minority
- communities like Senator Uresti and me and others on
- this floor.
- 25 There is another issue, Senator Fraser,

## 0085

11

19

- that I wanted to ask you. On driver's license, you
- know, it says on a driver's license that's -- on a
- 3 driver's license that's pulled from somebody for
- 4 whatever reason, DPS gives you a temporary, and that
- 5 temporary is good for about 40 days or in some cases
- when they've been stopped for a DWI or anything but 6
- 7 still have not gone through the legal process, they are
- 8 given a paper temporary license, and it says on that
- 9 paper that this is used for identification purposes.
- 10 Now, I guess my question to you would be that if that is pulled -- and there's several thousands
- of drivers, of Texans, that are using this paper ID
- right now -- that if a driver's license is pulled for
- whatever reason, that that DPS certification, paper
- temporary license can be used as an ID to go vote. 15
- 16 SEN. FRASER: Senator, if you don't mind,
- 17 I'm going to yield to Senator Williams on that question.
- 18 If you don't mind, he'll answer that question for you.
  - SEN. WILLIAMS: Senator Gallegos, I had a
- 20 similar question of what you have as I visited with the
- Department of Public Safety about this. And, in fact, 21
- it had been a while since I had renewed my license. And
- they now issue -- these temporary licenses actually have
- 24 a photo on the license, and it would be valid under
- 25 Sen. Fraser's bill as identification if you went to 0086
- 1 vote.
- 2 And, you know, in more detail, we could
- get the Department of Public Safety to give you some
- more detail on that. But now the temporary licenses
- 5 actually have a photo on the paper license that you're
- 6 referring to.
- 7 SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, Senator Williams,
- I'm showing that 98,000 drivers right now have temporary

TX 00000930

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 28 of 139
9 licenses without photo IDs.
10
            SEN. WILLIAMS: Well, you know, I'm not
   advised about that. I think we ought to get the
11
12
   Department of Public Safety --
13
            SEN. GALLEGOS: Well, I agree.
14
            SEN. WILLIAMS: I'm told that these, you
   know, temporary licenses you used to get when you were
   in the process of renewing your licenses now have your
16
   ID on them, your photo.
17
18
            SEN. GALLEGOS: Senator Williams, I
19 understand what you just told me. But, you know, I've
20 known some folks that have had their license pulled and
   have not gone through the process, and there is no photo
22 ID. All they're given is the sheet of paper that I have
23 right here that they're driving with, 98,184 that are
24
   driving with this paper right here, no photo ID.
25
            And it says -- it says here -- well, I'm
0087
1
   not going to read it to you. Just trust me; you can
   read it yourself. It says that this would be used for
3
   identification purposes.
4
            SEN. WILLIAMS: Well, thank you, Senator
5
   Gallegos. And I'm glad that you raised this issue, and
   we ought to ask the Department of Public Safety to clear
6
7
   it up for us. Thank you.
8
            SEN. GALLEGOS: That's why I brought it
9
   up, Senator Williams and Senator Fraser. That's being
10 done on temporary suspended license, no photo ID. But
   on the face of this sheet that DPS has given out, it
11
12 says that this is for identification purposes. I just
   wanted to point that out. I do have an amendment that I
   hope you will take, Senator, that alleviates almost
15
   100,000 that we know of right now.
16
            SEN. FRASER: Have you turned that
    amendment in? If you get the amendments in so we get a
17
18
    chance to look at them --
19
            SEN. GALLEGOS: Sure.
20
            SEN. FRASER: -- I think there's a better
21
    chance for, you know, us to understand what you're
   trying to do. So if you have an amendment, I would ask
23
   you to turn it in.
24
            SEN. GALLEGOS: Sure.
25
            Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
0088
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Davis.
1
2
            SEN. DAVIS: Senator Fraser, will you
3
   yield for some questions, please?
            SEN. FRASER: If you will allow me one
4
5
   second to get some better headsets on.
            SEN. DAVIS: I was going to ask you if you
6
7
   could hear me.
8
           (Laughter)
9
           (Brief pause)
10
            SEN. FRASER: I will now yield.
                                                    TX 00000931
                                                   JA 000930
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 29 of 139
  11
               SEN. DAVIS: Can you hear me okay, Senator
  12 Fraser?
  13
              SEN. FRASER: Right now I am.
  14
               SEN. DAVIS: All right. A couple of
  15
      questions for you. You've talked earlier this morning
      about both the Supreme Court opinion in the Indiana case
  16
      and also the Justice Department review of Georgia. Are
  17
      you aware that in each of those, there were particular
      instances that made the acceptance of those particular
  20
      laws different than yours might be interpreted by those
      same bodies?
  22
               SEN. FRASER: If you don't mind, we've
      got, you know -- Senator Huffman, I think, is prepared
      to, you know, answer legal questions. If you've got a
      question about a -- do you have specific examples --
  0089
  1
              SEN. DAVIS: Well, I would --
              SEN. FRASER: -- that you would like to --
     and we also, I believe, are going to have someone from
     Indiana here this afternoon, and we're also going to
  4
     have an invited -- an attorney that will address that.
     So if you have specific questions about that, that might
  6
  7
     be the appropriate place.
  8
              SEN. DAVIS: Well, I'll read to you from
     those in a moment. But let's start just by talking
      about what's required on the Texas voter registration
      application right now. Right now a person may put their
      driver's license number or their social security number
      on their registration application to become a voter in
  14
      the State of Texas. Correct?
  15
               SEN. FRASER: You've got the data. And I
  16
      think probably the best person to ask, and that's the
  17
      Secretary of State.
  18
               SEN. DAVIS: Well, I have it right here.
  19
      And there are some people who can't provide that
      information, and there's another opportunity for that
      person to attest to whom they are, to attest to the fact
  22 that they're a legal citizen and not a felon who would
      be prevented from voting. And I'm sure the Secretary of
      State probably has a number that shows to us -- and we
  25 will ask for this on the record today -- how many people
  0090
     fill out Section No. 9, the attestation clause, versus
     the people who are able to fill out Section 8, and
     what's the gulf between that. Are you aware what the
  3
  4
     gulf is between those two numbers?
  5
              SEN. FRASER: I believe I know the section
     you're talking about, but I actually would prefer you
  7
     ask that of the Secretary of State's office.
  8
              SEN. DAVIS: Okay. But I'm asking you.
  9
     Are you aware -- under your bill that you're proposing,
     are you aware of what the gulf is, the gap is between
  10
      those two numbers, the people who are able to provide
  12 their driver's license or social security number versus
                                                       TX_00000932
                                                       JA 000931
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 30 of 139 those that fill out the attestation clause, because they don't have either? 14 15 SEN. FRASER: When you ask the Secretary 16 of State that question, I will be listening very 17 carefully to make sure that I hear what they say. SEN. DAVIS: And would you agree that it's 18 19 probably the case that if I fill out Section 9, the attestation clause, because I can't fill out Section 8 20 with either a social security number or my driver's 22 license number, that I will probably be impacted by a bill that's going to require what your bill requires in order for me to vote? 24 25 SEN. FRASER: Again, that would be a good 0091 second of the Secretary of State. 1 SEN. DAVIS: Well, I'm asking you as the bill's author. Are you concerned that there will be an impact to those people who currently cannot fill out Section 8 but can only fill out the attestation clause 5 in Section 9? 6 7 SEN. FRASER: And again, you're making a 8 reference to Section 8 that -- you know, I'm sorry. I don't -- I'm not -- I don't know what you're referring 10 to. The Secretary of State is the expert in that area. 11 And when you ask that question, I'll be listening and 12 will, you know, listen to the response. 13 SEN. DAVIS: Earlier you talked about the 14 Executive Director from the Carter-Baker Commission, and you cited a statistic, that only 1.2 percent of Americans would be affected by a requirement that a photo ID be required. Correct? 17 18 SEN. FRASER: I did make that reference, 19 yes. 20 SEN. DAVIS: Are you aware that that was limited to a study of only three states, and Texas was 21 not one of them? 23 SEN. FRASER: Yes, because at that time 24 the Carter-Baker was looking at the states that had 25 issued a photo ID. 0092 SEN. DAVIS: And would you agree that it 1 may be the case that if I live in one of those three states and it's easier for me to get a driver's license in that state, then I may have a lower percentage of citizens who don't have a photo ID than another state might have where it's more difficult to get a driver's

3 5 6 7 license? 8 SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. 9 SEN. DAVIS: Are you aware that even in those states, in the 1.2 percentage number, there was a 10 disparate impact that was found on elderly and women and 11 12 African-Americans in terms of people who actually had 13 the eligible photo ID that's counted in that percentage?

SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised.

14

TX\_00000933 JA 000932

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 31 of 139 15 SEN. DAVIS: Does it concern you at all 16 that the bill that we are looking at today, the bill that you filed, might have a disparate impact on women, 17 minorities and senior citizens, possibly disabled people 18 19 in the State of Texas? 20 SEN. FRASER: The bill that we're filing 21 today I believe will be approved by the U.S. Supreme Court, and also the bill in Georgia was precleared by the Justice Department. So I believe our bill will 23 24 comply with both of those. 25 SEN. DAVIS: Okay. Well, I'm going to 0093 read to you from the Supreme Court opinion, the U.S. Supreme Court opinion when it was reviewing the Indiana 3 law. They acknowledged that there is evidence 4 in the record, in fact, of which we may take judicial notice that indicates that a somewhat heavier burden may 6 7 be placed on a limited number of persons by virtue of 8 the photo ID requirement. They include elderly persons born out of state, persons who, because of economic or other personal limitations, may find it difficult either 10 to secure a copy of their birth certificate or to 12 assemble the other required documentation to obtain a 13 state-issued ID, homeless persons and persons with a 14 religious objection to being photographed. 15 "If we assume, as the evidence suggests, 16 that some members of these classes were registered 17 voters when the Indiana law was enacted, the new identification requirement may have imposed a special burden on their right to vote. The severity of that 19 burden is, of course, mitigated by the fact that if 20 eligible voters without photo ID may cast provisional 21 ballots, that will ultimately be counted." 23 Are you aware that in the State of 24 Indiana, I can cast a provisional ballot, and the 25 Supreme Court made its decision in terms of whether the 0094 1 burden was constitutionally acceptable, based on the fact in Indiana, I can cast a provisional ballot, and if 3 I attest to the fact that I'm unable to pay for the cost of getting the underlying documents to receive a photo 5 ID, that I do not, in voting my provisional ballot, have 6 to show a photo ID? 7 SEN. FRASER: Senator, my observation is that what you've read from the Supreme Court opinion is 8 9 a portion of it, but it's a snippet. And it also continues to say that these do not present an undue 10 11 burden for the person to vote. 12 SEN. DAVIS: That's correct. They said 13 they did not believe that it created a constitutionally prohibited burden, based on the fact that voters in the 14 15 State of Indiana have the opportunity to vote a provisional ballot even if they don't have a photo ID, TX 00000934

JA 000934

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 32 of 139

if they can show that they were unable to get one, 17 either because of their circumstances as an elderly 19 person or because they're indigent. Does your bill provide a special exception for people under those 20 21 circumstances to vote a provisional ballot? 22 SEN. FRASER: The bill that I'm moving 23 forward I believe will be approved by the U.S. Supreme 24 Court and will be precleared by the Department of 25 Justice. 0095 1 SEN. DAVIS: Okay. Let's look at the things that are required in your bill in terms of a photo ID. And I appreciate what you said earlier. I 3 think it's true. I think if you ask anybody on the street that you might walk up to at this moment in time whether they think it's a good idea for someone to show 6 a photo ID in order to vote, they would probably agree. 8 What they might not understand in agreeing with that, though, are what the requirements are going to be in the State of Texas in order for them to comply with that 10 particular requirement, and they also might not 11 12 appreciate the challenge and the difficulty that some 13 people may have in supplying that. 14 SEN. FRASER: Senator, this is not rocket 15 science. The people of your district understand very clearly that when they walk into that voting booth, they 17 have to show a photo ID proving they are who they say 18 they are. The people in Fort Worth, that area, I have 19 the polling data -- I believe the number is about --20 around 90 percent. And of that, that's Republicans and Democrats. So I believe the people that elected you, 21 22 sent you down here, have said, "We believe that when you go in to vote, you should show identification to prove you are who you say you are." It's a very, very simple 25 concept. 0096 1 SEN. DAVIS: Are you aware that in the Indiana law and also in the Georgia law, people are 3 allowed to come and vote with a state-issued student ID if they're attending a state university? 5 SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. 6 SEN. DAVIS: And your bill does not allow 7 that kind of a photo ID to be used. Is that correct? 8 SEN. FRASER: We have four forms of ID 9 that we have laid out as acceptable. Those are all 10 recognized acceptable forms of identification that we 11 have recommended. 12 SEN. DAVIS: And it does not include that, for the record. Are you also aware that in the Indiana law and in the Georgia law, the ID can be expired and 14 15 still be utilized, but under the requirements in your 16 bill, that cannot occur? 17

18 is that someone should have a valid ID that has not TX\_00000935 JA 000934

SEN. FRASER: You know, I think our belief

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 33 of 139 expired. "Expired" implies it is not valid, and we in 19 20 Texas believe you should have a valid ID. 21 SEN. DAVIS: What will I do if my driver's 22 license expires the day before I go to vote and I'm not 23 aware of it until I show up at the polling place? 24 SEN. FRASER: And I would ask you, what 25 would happen if you were driving to the polling place 0097 1 with an invalid driver's license? What would happen? 2 SEN. DAVIS: I would get a ticket, but I 3 wouldn't be denied my constitutional right to vote as a legal citizen of the United States. 4 5 SEN. FRASER: You would not be denied your right to vote. Under this law and under this bill, as you know, if you walk in with an invalid driver's 8 license, you would be allowed to vote. It would be a provision vote, and you would be allowed six days to go 10 back to the place that issues driver's license, get a 11 valid license and come back, and your vote would be 12 counted. 13 SEN. DAVIS: Well, we had a conversation 14 about that earlier in terms of how difficult and challenging -- for some people it actually is -- to be able to comply with that requirement. But let me ask 17 you for a moment, if I bring in a state-issued Texas driver's license and it expired 30 days ago or 60 days 18 19 ago or a year ago, how does that fail to prove that I'm 20 the person on the card, simply because it has expired? 21 SEN. FRASER: Well, I would ask you the 22 same question. If your driver's license expired 30 days 23 ago, is it acceptable to the patrolman that just stopped 24 you? It's expired. 25 SEN. DAVIS: I'm asking you the question. 0098 1 The reason that we are advocating or you are advocating for photo ID is so that the person who is receiving my 3 ballot can verify that I am the person casting it. 4 Correct? 5 SEN. FRASER: Yes. 6 SEN. DAVIS: And if my driver's license is 7 expired but it's a state-issued driver's license and it has my name and it has my picture on it and my name 8 9 matches what's on the registrar's -- the precinct rolls, 10 how does that fail to prove that I'm who I am? 11

11 SEN. FRASER: I think we go back to the 12 word "valid," do you have a valid Texas driver's

13 license?14 SEN. DAVI

SEN. DAVIS: How does it fail to prove

15 that I am who I am?

SEN. FRASER: You don't have a valid Texas

17 driver's license.

16

SEN. DAVIS: And as I said earlier, in

19 Georgia and in Indiana, under the laws that were deemed

20 acceptable by the Supreme Court and the courts in

TX\_00000936 JA 000935

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 34 of 139

- 21 Georgia received preclearance by the Department of
- 22 Justice, each of those allows some acceptance of expired
- 23 IDs.

6

- I want to talk a little bit about how
- 25 difficult if is, because I really think every one of us 0099
- 1 in this room needs to appreciate the burden that people
- 2 have when they're being asked to supply some of the
- 3 documentation that's required in your bill. And I've
- 4 put together a little chart that I just want to go over
- 5 very quickly. I won't belabor the point.
  - Can you bring it closer over here, Dan, so
- 7 I can actually point at it?
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 Now, each of us, whether we're in the
- 10 Senate or the House of Representatives in the State of
- 11 Texas, we each bring unique backgrounds and perspectives
- 12 to the table. And because of our unique backgrounds and
- 13 perspectives, we're able to represent people in ways
- 14 that hopefully contribute to a better understanding for
- 15 each of us in terms of how we can best serve them.
- 16 Senator Fraser, I came from a fairly
- 17 challenged background before I arrived on the floor of
- 18 the Texas Senate. I had the opportunity to receive an
- 19 incredible education that ultimately allowed me the
- 20 privilege of standing here and having a conversation
- 21 with you today. But there was a time when I was
- 22 indigent, there was a time when I was a single mother
- 23 and I was working a full-time job during the day in
- 24 Dallas, from which I had to leave my house at 6 o'clock
- 25 in the morning every morning to arrive at, and I worked 0100
  - 1 a part-time job four nights a week waiting tables.
- 2 If I had been required during that point
- 3 in time to show some of the ID requirements that are
- 4 being proposed under your bill, I have to admit to you
- 5 that I would have been quite challenged in being able to
- 6 accomplish it. I had gotten divorced, so my name was
- 7 different on my state ID than was on the registration
- 8 rolls. And so because of that, I would have had to go
- 9 through the process of trying to get a new state ID.
- 10 And, honestly, with my schedule, it would have been
- 11 fairly impossible for me to achieve it.
- I think it's pretty easy for us to stand
- 13 on the Senate floor where we are today and the shoes
- 14 we're in today and say, "Why should that be a problem?"
- 15 But for people who have to take time off of work and for
- 16 whom that's an unaffordable idea, it can be a very, very
- 17 real problem.
- The other issue, in trying to receive a
- 19 state ID in the State of Texas is, it's almost a
- 20 circular process. In order to get the state ID, you
- 21 have to have underlying ID that provide you with the
- 22 opportunity to get that ID. And I know we're talking

TX\_00000937

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 35 of 139

- right now in the State of Texas about giving free ID to 23
- people who come in to the Department of Motor Vehicles
- 25 and ask for that ID, based on the fact that they want to 0101

1 vote.

2 But if I can't provide underlying documentation, I'm going to have to go get that underlying documentation, and it's going to cost me 4

money, and I'm concerned about that person. I'm

5

concerned that if I need a birth certificate in the

7 State of Texas, it's going to cost me \$23. I'm also

concerned that I might have a really hard time getting 8

that birth certificate. And if you look to see what you

can show in order to get it, you see the circularity of

the problem. You can show a driver's license or you can

show a state ID. Well, the reason I need the birth

certificate is so I can get my driver's license or my

14 state ID.

1

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

15 In order for me to get a birth 16 certificate, I can show a social security card as one of 17 my underlying two documents that are required. But in order to have a social security card, I've got to have a driver's license or a state ID, so it puts me right back 20 at my original problem. To get my driver's license or my state ID, I might be able to use a passport. But in order to use my passport, I'm going to have to have a birth certificate, but I couldn't get my birth 24 certificate because I didn't have a driver's license or a state ID to get my birth certificate. 0102

You see the problem? It's not just the problem of the time one has to take off of work in order to comply with this requirement, it's not just a problem of how much money it costs. Sometimes it can be a problem of almost a near impossibility for a person to be able to provide the underlying documentation in order for them to go and vote.

And my concern about that is, we will disparately impact persons who find greater challenges in fulfilling the underlying documentation requirements; and, yet, we haven't provided anywhere in the bill, as was done in Indiana, a provisional opportunity for someone to come and cast a ballot and say that they were 14 unable to comply with the requirements for a photo ID. 15 Why is that?

16 SEN. FRASER: Senator, I appreciate the 17 story you just gave. And I would advise you of the other 31 members here. There's a lot of people that can 18 19 tell like stories. When I was 16 and working on a potato picker in California or when I was 17 working 20 picking cucumbers in Rising Star or when I was 18, picking cotton in West Texas, I figured out a way to have time after work to go get a driver's license, because I really wanted one. I worked that into the

> TX\_00000938 JA 000937

25 schedule, as I think a lot of people do. 0103

I think what I would ask you is to give evidence, either in Indiana or Georgia, of a single

3 person that has come forward and said that they were

denied their ability to vote because of these

5 provisions, because in my knowledge, there has not been

6 a single person that came forward.

SEN. DAVIS: And again, you know, when you

8 turn to those two laws, they actually provide some

9 exceptions that are not provided in your bill, and so

10 the instances in which people were excluded or

prohibited from exercising their constitutional right to

2 vote won't have been challenged in the same was as being

3 proposed for the State of Texas under this particular

14 bill.

7

I want to ask you a question about what

16 happens, as a woman, if I come in to vote and I have my

17 state ID, and the name on my state ID is different than

18 my name on the registrar's certificate, because I've

19 either married or divorced. What will happen in that

20 situation?

SEN. FRASER: The question has already

22 been asked twice. We will have someone here from the

23 Secretary of State and the DPS that can answer that

24 question for you.

SEN. DAVIS: Okay. Back to the fiscal

0104

4

14

1 note, Senator Fraser. The fiscal note --

2 SEN. FRASER: We've also talked about that

3 a couple of times.

SEN. DAVIS: Yes, we did, but I want to

ask this question. The fiscal note, of course,

described the methodology under which the \$2 million

7 figure was compiled, and it specifically states that it

8 left out the cost for training poll workers and election

9 officers. It specifically states that it left out any

10 cost for coordinating voter registration drives. It

1 specifically states that it left out the costs of

12 providing the ID cards, all of that because it is an

13 unknown number.

SEN. FRASER: Well, you're making an

15 assumption, and this amount was brought forward by LBB

16 after they talked to the secretary of the State. The

17 Secretary of State, I think, they can answer that

18 question. But I disagree that it's unknown. I believe

19 the Secretary of State and LBB knew exactly what they

20 were doing when they brought it forward, because that's

21 their job.

SEN. DAVIS: Well, it literally says that.

23 It says, "The fiscal impact of the revenue loss from the

24 prohibition of DPS to collect a fee is unknown because

25 it is not known how many people would make such a

0105

22

TX\_00000939 JA 000938

```
request."
1
2
            SEN. FRASER: And that is a correct
3
   statement.
4
            SEN. DAVIS: And it also says that the
5
   cost of coordinating voter registration drives or other
   activities designed to expand registration is also
6
7
   unknown, and it also says that the cost for
   responsibilities, the training for people who would be
8
9
   responsible for implementing this is unknown.
            Now, if I file a bill this session and I'm
10
   challenged, based on the fiscal impact of the bill,
    clearly this session more than any other will be very,
   very concerned about that. And the LBB has put a
   statement on it that they really don't know what the
   cost is, but intuitively we understand there's going to
   be a cost. We'll probably have a conversation about
16
17
   that. Right?
18
             SEN. FRASER: And I think the conversation
   you should have should be the Secretary of State in
19
   discussing the HAVA funds that the federal government
20
21
   has provided to both Indiana and Georgia for the
   implementation of their law that we believe will be
23
   approved for that, but it has not been approved, because
   HAVA has clearly said the bill has to be passed before
25 they could pass judgment on whether those funds could be
0106
   used. That amount of money is setting in the Secretary
1
   of State's office now, and I think that would be a good
3
   question to ask them.
4
            SEN. DAVIS: Let me ask a question about
5
   the bill itself. I'm a little confused about a section.
   This is on Page 5. I'm reading from Section 8,
   Subsection (a). "If the voter's address is omitted from
8
   the precinct list under Section 18.005(c), the officer
9
   shall ask the voter if the voter's residence, if listed,
   on ID presented by the voter under Section 63.001(b) is
11
    current and whether the voter has changed residence
   within the county." What if the answer is "No," what is
13
   the election worker to do at that point?
14
            SEN. FRASER: That's a perfect question to
15
   ask the Secretary of State.
            SEN. DAVIS: It's your bill, though,
16
    Senator Fraser, and the language is here. And there is
17
18
   no guidance for someone -- if we were to vote on a bill
19
   like this, how are we to know how a situation like that
20
   would be handled if it's not addressed in the bill?
21
            SEN. FRASER: Senator, I'm sure you're
   aware through -- the past session, you were here.
    You're reading current law. There is one change there
   where we insert "presented by the voter under Section
```

1 ID. But basically that is current law, and I think it would be a good thing to ask the Secretary of State.

0107

25 63.001," which is the description I think of the photo

TX\_00000940 JA 000939

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 38 of 139

Everything you've read is current law. 4 SEN. DAVIS: Well, it's not current law, 5 because it changes it from the difference being on the voter registration certificate versus being on the 7 person's ID. What I'm concerned about is that if I come in with an ID and my address has changed and I have the 9 correct address on the precinct list that's different 10 than what's on my ID, that a poll worker might actually reject my opportunity to vote, because the address on my 12 ID is showing differently than is showing on the precinct list. 14 SEN. FRASER: And the good thing about 15 that is, these HAVA funds that we're going to request 16 will also train poll workers to make sure they 17 understand it. The ruling would be made by the Secretary of State, and they will train them how to do 19 that, and I feel very comfortable that you would get to 20 vote. 21 SEN. DAVIS: Well, I'm glad you feel very 22 comfortable, Senator Fraser. I remain very, very concerned about the number of people under the very 24 severe restrictions that are imposed by the bill you 25 have proposed. I'm very concerned about the number of 0108 1 people who may be impacted by it. And I understand and agree with you, that assuring that voter fraud is not occurring is very, very important, and it's a conversation we should be having and a cure we should 5 all attempt to find. 6 But in the process, I'm very afraid that 7 we're going to wind up disenfranchising people who currently are legal citizens in the State of Texas who 8 have the legal opportunity to vote and are going to be 9 denied the right for that right under your bill as it's proposed today. 11 12 SEN. FRASER: And I believe our bill will 13 be approved by the U.S. Supreme Court and approved in Section 5 by the Department of Justice. 14 15 Thank you. 16 SEN. DAVIS: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator West. 18 SEN. WEST: Thank you very much, 19 Mr. Chairman. I would like to ask the author a couple 20 of questions. 21 Senator Fraser, good morning, sir. 22 SEN. FRASER: I think we commented about 23 the Barry White voice last year. I was reading the deposition. 24 25 SEN. WEST: That was actually Billy Ocean, 0109 which both of us like. 2 SEN. FRASER: Both of us do like. I 3 agree.

4

TX 00000941 JA 000940

SEN. WEST: Now, we're not going to have

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 39 of 139 any unfunded mandates on counties, are we? This bill would not occasion any unfunded mandates on counties. 7 SEN. FRASER: This bill? 8 SEN. WEST: Yes, this bill that you're 9 proposing. The counties will not have to pick up any of 10 this cost -- is that correct -- because that would be an unfunded mandate? And I know you are not for unfunded mandates. Right? 12 13 SEN. FRASER: I am not for -- I'm opposed 14 to unfunded mandate, but I'm not advised of whether it would be --SEN. WEST: So you can tell counties, you 16 17 can tell all county officials in the sound of my voice 18 and your voice that there will be no unfunded mandates 19 in this bill and counties will not have to spend any 20 money that they don't have right now to implement this 21 particular bill. Correct? 22 SEN. FRASER: I had my largest county, 23 Bell County, in my office last week, and I told Judge Burrows at that time that I'm opposed to unfunded 25 mandate and, you know, we'll do everything we can to 0110 1 keep them off the counties. 2 SEN. WEST: So you're telling county 3 officials there are no unfunded mandates coming from this bill? 5 SEN. FRASER: I didn't say that. 6 SEN. WEST: So there may be unfunded mandates coming from this bill? 8 SEN. FRASER: Not advised. 9 SEN. WEST: So let me back up. And I want to pursue this just a minute now. You philosophically are not for unfunded mandates. Right? 11 12 SEN. FRASER: That's a correct statement. 13 SEN. WEST: That is a correct statement. 14 And you, by your action in previous legislatures, have made certain that you have not passed any bills that 15 would provide for unfunded mandates on the counties. 17 Right? 18 SEN. FRASER: I have made an effort not to 19 vote, if possible. 20 SEN. WEST: Okay. Now, in this particular 21 bill, it is your objective to make certain that there are no unfunded mandates on any county in this entire 23 state. Is that correct? 24 SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. 25 SEN. WEST: You're not advised as to what 0111 1 your objective is? SEN. FRASER: No. My philosophy is that I do everything I can trying to keep any unfunded mandates. I'm not advised of how they would be 5 impacted. 6 SEN. WEST: All right. So you can't tell TX 00000942

JA 000942

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 40 of 139 county officials that there are not unfunded mandates in 8 this bill? 9 SEN. FRASER: Well, as you know, a lot of 10 times there's unintended consequences, and we don't know 11 until it's passed, the impact. SEN. WEST: It was your bill, though. 12 13 This is your bill. You don't know --14 SEN. FRASER: My bill says that --15 SEN. WEST: I'm just --16 SEN. FRASER: -- when you walk into the --17 SEN. WEST: I'm just trying to find out 18 whether or not county officials are going to have to pick up any of the cost in terms of putting this bill 20 into effect. You tell me. Tell the county officials 21 that there are no unfunded mandates in this bill. Tell 22 them. 23 SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. 24 SEN. WEST: So what you're telling county 25 officials, that you're not advised as to whether or not 0112 1 there is any unfunded mandates in this bill. Correct? 2 SEN. FRASER: I'm sure that there's 3 probably an expert witness coming. You probably can ask a question. Someone, or someone may be coming to 4 5 testify about that, but --6 SEN. WEST: All right. Let's talk about 7 expert witnesses. Did you ask for the fiscal note in 8 this bill? 9 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry? 10 SEN. WEST: Did you ask for the fiscal analysis in this bill -- the fiscal note? 11 12 SEN. FRASER: No. I think the committee 13 chairman did. I believe the -- there is a fiscal note requested. I did not request it. 15 SEN. WEST: Did you review the fiscal 16 note? 17 SEN. FRASER: The fiscal note was handed 18 to me. I read the fiscal note. I guess reviewing it, 19 yes, I read it. 20 SEN. WEST: Okay. Go to the local government impact section of it, Page 2 of 3, down at 21 22 the bottom. 23 SEN. FRASER: Yes. 24 SEN. WEST: Okay. Second paragraph, 25 "According to Texas Association of Counties, Tarrant 0113 1 County anticipated a one-time cost to reprint provisional balloting materials and provides new 3 notices, of \$8,000. Bexar County stated that due to 4 limited space on current registration certificate, large 5 cards would be necessary, resulting in additional costs for cards, printing and postage of \$381,000," et cetera. 6 7 Is that a cost that is going to be picked up by the state or is that going to be a cost that's TX\_00000943 JA 000942

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 41 of 139 9 going to be occasioned by the counties? 10 SEN. FRASER: Senator, you're on the 11 Finance Committee. You helped with proposing the draft 12 bill, and then you will be voting on the bill coming out 13 of the committee that you send to us, so I think you 14 would be better to answer that. My job is to pass the 15 bill. The implementation of the bill, then, and the cost will have to be considered by the Finance Committee. 17 18 SEN. WEST: So let me make sure that I 19 understand this, then. The answer to that question is, you don't know. So if we don't appropriate that money -- that being the Legislature doesn't appropriate that money -- then that's an unfunded mandate. Correct? 23 SEN. FRASER: My job is to bring the bill 24 forward, put it before the membership, advise what the 25 bill will do. And then if there's a fiscal impact --0114 SEN. WEST: Advise what the bill will do? 1 2 SEN. FRASER: The bill is going --SEN. WEST: Is that your job? Didn't you just say part of your job is to advise what it will do? 5 SEN. FRASER: Yes. What it's going to do is that when you walk into --6 7 (Simultaneous discussion) 8 SEN. WEST: So I'm asking you --9 SEN. FRASER: -- in Oak Cliff and want to 10 vote, you're going to have to show your smiling face --SEN. WEST: And I'm asking what it will 11 12 do. I'm asking what it will do in terms of unfunded mandates right now. 13 14 SEN. FRASER: Not advised about unfunded 15 mandates. 16 SEN. WEST: Not advised. So where will 17 the counties get this money under the local impact --18 (Simultaneous discussion) 19 SEN. FRASER: And I think that's going to 20 be your responsibility as a member of Finance. 21 SEN. WEST: Do you know -- then let me ask 22 this question. Do you know where the county will get the money from, counties will get that money from? Under the local government impact, do you know where the 25 counties will get that money from? 0115 1 SEN. FRASER: You're asking me a question. No, I do not know --3 SEN. WEST: Okay. Thank you. Now, as it relates to -- this bill, plus the costs that we don't 5 know, you've said repeatedly that it's going to cost at least \$2 million. And we know, based on the fiscal 6 7 note, that there's still some undetermined cost. SEN. FRASER: I have not said one time 8 9 that it's going to cost \$2 million. I've said there is a fiscal note that has been projected, but there are

TX\_00000944 JA 000943

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 42 of 139 dollars in the HAVA fund, federal funds, that are 11 setting in the Secretary of State's office that far exceed that number. And I think the Secretary of State 14 probably will let us know what that is. So there is a pot of money there that we believe will help offset some of the associated expenses. I do not believe the cost 16 17 will be \$2 million. 18 SEN. WEST: Now, the HAVA funds, is that 19 general revenue or is that federal funds? SEN. FRASER: Federal funds. 20 21 SEN. WEST: Okay. 22 SEN. FRASER: And I believe I'm right, but 23 again, I would ask that question of the Secretary of 24 State if I were you. 25 SEN. WEST: Okay. Well, as it relates to 0116 general revenue, now, as I understand and as I've used 1 the term "general revenue" over the last 17 years I've been here -- and maybe Senator Ogden or someone else on the Finance Committee can correct me if I'm wrong --4 general revenue basically means state funds -- right --5 6 monies that we get from state --7 SEN. FRASER: You are the member of 8 Finance. 9 SEN. WEST: Well, let me -- general 10 revenue -- okay. Well, then, take my word for it; 11 that's what it means. It means monies that we receive 12 from tax revenues in the State of Texas, not HAVA funds 13 but revenues from taxes and revenues that are -- and 14 sources of revenues that we get from citizens in the 15 State of Texas. And that's what this deals with, it is 16 specifically general revenue-related funds, not HAVA 17 funds. HAVA funds are federal funds. So let's make sure -- in terms of my questions, that's the distinction 19 that I'm making. 20 SEN. FRASER: Well, the distinction you're not making is that if the HAVA funds are not available, yes, there would be a cost to the state. But if HAVA 23 funds are available, it would offset that cost to the 24 state. 25 SEN. WEST: Where do you see that in this 0117 fiscal note? 2 SEN. FRASER: It's not in that. That's 3 conversation ---4 SEN. WEST: Then how are you making that 5 statement, if it's not in this fiscal note? There's nothing in the fiscal note that says that. 6 7 SEN. FRASER: Mr. Chairman? 8 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Fraser. 9 SEN. FRASER: Could I please enter into 10 the record -- this is information coming that is addressing the questions he's talked about addressing 12 HAVA. I would like to have this added as an exhibit,

TX\_00000945 JA 000944

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 43 of 139 13 please. 14 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Bring it forward to the 15 Secretary, if you would, and we'll need to --SEN. WEST: May we approach on it, Your 16 17 Honor -- Your Honor -- may we approach on it, Mr. Chairman? 18 19 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: You may. 20 (Brief pause) 21 SEN. FRASER: Mr. President? 22 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Fraser, if you'll hold on just a minute. I'm going to allow -we're already premarked a couple of exhibits. And so 25 just in order to keep the record flowing correctly, I'm 0118 1 going to recognize Sen. Van de Putte at this point to introduce a motion in writing. 3 Senator Van de Putte. 4 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you, 5 Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Chairman, and the bill 6 author, to yield so that I can move that all actions 7 taken by the Senate on the 81st Legislature on Senate 8 Bill 362, as contained in the official Senate Journal, be included in the record as Exhibit 2. The Senate 10 Journal excerpts shall include motions, remarks, written 11 responses, exhibits and any other material directly 12 related to Senate Bill 362. 13 Mr. Chairman, I move this motion in 14 writing. 15 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Members, you've heard 16 the motion. Is there any objection? 17 The Chair hears none. Exhibit 2 will be 18 received into the record. 19 (Exhibit No. 2 marked and admitted) CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Now, Senator Fraser, 20 21 you're recognized on Exhibit 3, I believe. 22 SEN. FRASER: And, members, just to 23 clarify, what we're entering here is the answer to the 24 question that we've been discussing. It is a letter 25 from the Secretary of State, Hope Andrade, saying that 0119 1 the \$2 million we're discussing, there is sufficient HAVA funds allocated to voter education and poll worker training that would cover this expense that is 3 4 available. 5 Also, in addition to your question, we 6 have been advised by other counties saying they do not 7 expect more than a nominal cost for counties, existing staff and resources should be sufficient to implement 9 the new law. 10 And I would request this be entered into 11 the record. CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Members, Senator Fraser 12 13 sends up Exhibit No. 3. It will be received into the 14 record.

TX\_00000946 JA 000945

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 44 of 139
15
            (Exhibit No. 3 marked and admitted)
16
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Fraser, you
17
   still have the floor. Senator West, Senator has yielded
18
   to you for questions.
19
            And before we do that, before we do that,
20 let me make an announcement. We typically adjourn 30
   minutes ahead of session in order to allow the sergeants
   and secretary to prepare for the Senate session. So at
23
   10:30, I'll recognize a member on a motion to rise and
24 report progress. So if you can watch the clock. It
25 doesn't mean we're going to cut you off, it just means
0120
   at that point in time, we'll have to cease until we
   finish the Senate session.
            SEN. WEST: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3
4
            Senator Fraser?
5
            SEN. FRASER: Yes.
6
            SEN. WEST: Okay. So you've admitted this
7
   as part of the record. So these are federal funds and
   not general revenue. Is that correct?
8
9
            SEN. FRASER: No. Those are federal
   funds, as I understand it, yes.
10
            SEN. WEST: It's not general revenue?
11
12
            SEN. FRASER: Yes.
            SEN. WEST: Okay. And the certainty of it
13
   is still up in the air. Based on this document from the
15
    Secretary of State, they still have to confirm that the
   funds can, in fact, be used for this particular purpose?
16
17
            SEN. FRASER: That is correct, and that's
18 what I advised earlier, is that HAVA has said until the
   passage of the bill, they would not rule, but the funds
19
   have been used before in Indiana and Georgia, and it is
   expected that we will be able to use them here.
21
22
            SEN. WEST: Okay. Now, you had made
   mention also that you've talked to some other counties
   and that there won't be any unfunded mandates on those
25
   counties?
0121
            SEN. FRASER: You didn't read the rest of
1
   the fiscal note, is that Comal County reported the costs
3
   associated with the provision would be absorbed within
   existing revenues. You gave one example, but I think
5
   most of the counties expect this to be a nominal cost
6
   and that they have existing staff and resources --
7
            SEN. WEST: And then --
8
            SEN. FRASER: To handle this.
9
            SEN. WEST: I'm sorry. You said most of
   the counties. You've given examples of three. You said
10
11
    most of the counties. Is --
            SEN. FRASER: Do you have evidence from
12
13
   others? I --
            SEN. WEST: There's 254 counties, and
14
15
   you've just made a statement that most of the counties
   have said they can absorb it within their normal --
                                                    TX 00000947
                                                   JA 000946
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 45 of 139
  17
              SEN. FRASER: I said I do not expect it to
  18 be more than a nominal cost.
  19
              SEN. WEST: But otherwise -- now Bexar
  20 County is saying it's going to be over $380,000. That's
  21
      not a nominal cost, is it?
  22
              SEN. FRASER: Well, I guess that's
  23
      something you should consider in the Finance Committee.
  24
      They have a huge budget, and in --
  25
              SEN. WEST: Who has a huge budget?
  0122
  1
              SEN. FRASER: The large counties.
  2
              SEN. WEST: I'm sorry?
  3
              SEN. FRASER: The large counties.
  4
              SEN. WEST: They have huge budgets?
              SEN. FRASER: Yes. And you will have to
  6
     make that decision.
  7
              SEN. WEST: They don't have budget
  8
     shortfalls in large counties?
  9
              SEN. FRASER: If I were you, then I would
  10
      discuss that with the chairman --
  11
              SEN. WEST: But the reality is, the
  12 reality is, is that if -- and I won't belabor the
      point -- the reality is, if those counties will have to
  14 fund this out of existing revenue from their budgets,
  15 it's going to be an unfunded mandate on them if the
      state does not appropriate the money. Is that correct?
  16
  17
              SEN. FRASER: Yes. It is expected that it
  18 will be a nominal cost for counties. Existing staff and
  19
      resources should be sufficient to implement the new law.
  20
              SEN. WEST: And where are you getting that
  21
      from?
  22
              SEN. FRASER: From the sheet here. If
      you'll follow, Comal County reported the cost associated
      with the provision of the bill should be absorbed within
  25
      existing revenues.
  0123
  1
              SEN. WEST: But that's Comal County.
    That's not Travis County, that's not Harris County,
     that's not Bell County or any of the other counties.
  3
     That's Comal County. Comal County is not indicative of
     all of the counties in the State of Texas, is it?
  5
              SEN. FRASER: I think what you should do,
  6
  7
     then, is get 254 counties, if you'll call them all and
  8
     get that number and --
  9
              SEN. WEST: Okay. Well, I mean, it's your
  10 bill.
  11
              (Simultaneous discussion)
  12
              SEN. FRASER: -- Finance.
  13
              SEN. WEST: And the reality is, if it's an
      unfunded mandate, you're responsible for it if this bill
  14
      passes. Now, let me ask you this: The $2 million, the
     $2 million that you're talking about, if it does not
      come from HAVA funds, then it's going to have to come
  18 from general revenue. Is that correct?
                                                       TX 00000948
                                                      JA 000947
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 46 of 139
  19
              SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. I'm not a
  20 member of Finance; you are. And I think that would be a
      decision of Finance.
  22
               SEN. WEST: Let's talk about just sections
  23
      of the bill. Specifically, the issue concerning -- and
      I think you and Senator Davis have gone over this. And
  25 I'm on page, in Section 7 of the bill, specifically (c)
  0124
  1
     and (d). Let me know when you're with me on it.
  2
              SEN. FRASER: What page are you on?
  3
              SEN. WEST: I'm in Section 7 of the bill.
              SEN. FRASER: That's Section 11.
  4
  5
              Six, 7. Okay. Got it. Okay.
              SEN. WEST: Okay. As relates to -- let's
     talk about the election officer. Now, what's the
     definition of the election officer?
  8
  9
              SEN. FRASER: That would be a good
  10
      question to the Secretary of State.
              SEN. WEST: So you don't know what an
  11
  12
      election officer is?
  13
               SEN. FRASER: I've got a witness, you
  14 know, an expert witness coming in that -- you know, I
      think I do, but it would be improper for me to answer.
      I've got an expert person you can ask.
  16
  17
               SEN. WEST: Let me ask this: Did you rely
     on the Secretary of State's office in helping to draft
  18
  19
      this bill?
  20
               SEN. FRASER: We have had a lot of
  21
      discussion with the Secretary of State's office over the
      last three years in the process of drafting bills.
  23
               SEN. WEST: So you don't know what an
  24
      election officer is?
  25
               SEN. FRASER: I didn't say I don't know
  0125
     what the election officer is. But the Secretary of
  1
     State is coming, and it would be improper for me to
  3
     answer that if we have an expert witness that can answer
     it, you know, for sure.
  5
              SEN. WEST: So it would be improper for
     you to answer what an election officer is?
              SEN. FRASER: No. We've got an expert
  8
     witness that would be the better person to ask.
  9
              SEN. WEST: Okay. In terms of what an
  10 election officer is in your bill. Okay.
  11
               As it relates to Section (d), you say
  12 that, "If the voter's name is on the precinct list of
  13 registered voters and the voter's identity can be
  14 verified from the documentation presented under
      Subsection (b), the voter shall be accepted for voting."
     But if, indeed -- and the election officer is to make
  17
      that determination. Is that correct?
  18
               SEN. FRASER: Again, that's a great
  19
      question to ask the Secretary of State's office.
  20
               SEN. WEST: How does your bill work? Tell
                                                       TX_00000949
                                                      JA 000948
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 47 of 139 us how your bill works. 21 22 SEN. FRASER: You know, it's a --23 (Simultaneous discussion) 24 SEN. WEST: I mean, would that be a great 25 question to ask the Secretary of State? 0126 1 SEN. FRASER: It's a great concept. You 2 walk in in Oak Cliff to vote. And if you're in the right precinct and your name is on the list and you pull out your driver's license and you show it to them and 5 your smiling face on your driver's license matches 6 7 SEN. WEST: Well, let me --8 SEN. FRASER: -- I think they're going to hand you a ballot and allow you to vote. 10 SEN. WEST: Then let me ask you this: My last name is spelled W-e-s-t. Suppose there's some 11 typographical error where they spelled it W-e-s, but 12 13 it's me. I have an ID, but my name is misspelled. What happens then? I have to vote a provisional ballot? 14 15 SEN. FRASER: I think that would be a good 16 question for the Secretary of State, because I think 17 they will cover that in the training with the election 18 officials you're discussing. 19 SEN. WEST: What is your, intent, Senator? 20 SEN. FRASER: My intent is that the 21 Secretary of State would make a ruling on that. 22 SEN. WEST: Under those circumstances, 23 what would be your intent, as the author of this bill? If my name is W-e-s-t but there is a typographical error 25 someplace and it's W-e-s, what is the intent. Give the 0127 1 record your intent as the author of this bill. SEN. FRASER: My intent, as the author of the bill, is that I'm going to give the authorization to 3 the Secretary Of State to make a ruling and train the 5 poll workers so that it would be clear that they're 6 allowing the proper person to vote. SEN. WEST: They're allowing the proper person to vote. So in that circumstance, would it be up 9 to the election officer there to determine whether I'm 10 the same person --11 SEN. FRASER: I think it would be up to 12 the Secretary of State --13 SEN. WEST: Let me finish; let me finish. 14 -- whose last name is W-e-s, but my 15 identification says W-e-s-t, and I'm presenting that, it 16 would be up to that election worker. Right? 17 SEN. FRASER: I think that would be a great question to ask the Secretary of State. 18 19 SEN. WEST: But what's your intent, 20 though? I'm just asking your intent. I can't ask the Secretary of the Senate what's your -- I mean, Secretary 22 of State what your intent is. TX 00000950 JA 000949

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 48 of 139
  23
              SEN. FRASER: I intend to --
  24
              (Simultaneous discussion)
  25
              SEN. WEST: You've got to manifest your
  0128
  1
     intent so the Secretary of State will know, have some
     guidance in terms of how this bill should be
  3
     implemented. Don't you agree, as the author of the
  4
     bill?
  5
              SEN. FRASER: My intent is to give the
    Secretary of State the authorization to determine the
     rules, train the poll workers. They would make a
     determination on that.
  8
  9
              SEN. WEST: So the poll worker in this
  10 instance would be the election officer? I have to ask
      the Secretary of State?
              SEN. FRASER: You need to ask the
  12
  13 secretary of State.
  14
              SEN. WEST: Okay. Poll workers, let's
  15 talk about poll workers. How much do we pay poll
      workers?
  16
  17
              SEN. FRASER: That would be a good
  18
      question to ask the Secretary of State.
  19
              SEN. WEST: Okay. What's the minimum
  20
      wage? I would ask the Secretary of State?
  21
              SEN. FRASER: What does that have to do
  22 with this bill?
  23
              SEN. WEST: I mean, I'm just trying to
  24 understand exactly how much we pay our poll workers.
  25
              SEN. FRASER: Again, Senator, you're
  0129
     asking the question. I would suspect probably poll
     workers may be paid different from one county to
     another. And it's an area -- I think that that's a good
  4
     question of the Secretary of State.
  5
              SEN. WEST: Okay. Now, you keep referring
     to the Secretary of State. But in the bill analysis,
     doesn't it also say that this bill does not expressly
     grant any additional rulemaking authority to the state
     office -- to a state officer, institution or agency?
  10 Does it say that? Do I have to ask the Secretary of
      State about that also?
  11
  12
              SEN. FRASER: Senator, I'm sorry. I'm not
      advised. I do not have a bill analysis. Do you have
  13
      one in front of you you would like show me?
  14
              SEN. WEST: I do. Look under "Rulemaking
  15
  16 Authority."
  17
              SEN. FRASER: We don't have it.
  18
              SEN. WEST: You don't have a -- okay. In
  19
      the bill analysis, what it says is that this bill does
      not expressly grant any additional rulemaking authority
  20
  21
      to a state officer, institution or agency?
  22
              SEN. FRASER: Isn't that standard language
  23 that's put on every bill?
  24
              SEN. WEST: I don't know. But what I'm
                                                      TX_00000951
                                                      JA 000950
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

TX\_00000952 JA 000951

0132

TX\_00000953 JA 000952

bipartisan -- Carter-Baker Commission affirms the

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 51 of 139 danger. Elections are at the heart of the democracy. "Americans are losing confidence in the fairness of 5 elections, and while we do not face a crisis today, we need to address the problems of our electoral system." 6 7 The Carter-Baker Commission concluded at 8 the end of the day, there's considerable national 9 evidence of in-person voter fraud. And regardless of 10 whether one believes that voter impersonation is widespread or relatively rare, there can be no serious 12 dispute that it is a real effect, can be substantial because, in a close election, even a small amount of fraud could make a margin of difference. 14 15 SEN. WEST: Okay. 16 SEN. FRASER: That was my reference to the 17 commission. 18 SEN. WEST: Okay. Did they also 19 recommend, though, that we should use some sort of 20 mobile strategy, mobile strategy in order to get vehicles out to different locations to --21 22 SEN. FRASER: I didn't reference that. 23 SEN. WEST: No. I said did they also 24 recommend that, though? 25 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I'm not advised. 0135 1 I didn't reference that. SEN. WEST: Okay. But if they did make a recommendation that we should do everything we can to make certain people are registered to vote, you would 5 support that, wouldn't you? 6 SEN. FRASER: The bill I'm filing, that 7 I'm filing today --8 SEN. WEST: No. That's not --9 SEN. FRASER: -- very clearly says that I think it will be approved by the U.S. Supreme Court and approved by the Department of Justice. 11 12 SEN. WEST: And we need to ask the 13 Secretary of State. Okay. I understand that. But what 14 I'm asking is, you would agree that if we are trying to, quote unquote, purify our election process, that we 15 should do everything we can in order to make certain 17 people are registered to vote. Wouldn't you agree with 18 that? 19 SEN. FRASER: I think probably when the --20 SEN. WEST: Well, you would not agree with 21 that? 22 SEN. FRASER: If you'll allow me to make a 23 statement. 24 SEN. WEST: Sure. 25 SEN. FRASER: I think when DPS comes up, I 0136 think there's going to be a lot of discussion about what can they do in the form of either making it easy for people to sign up and/or even, maybe even a temporary

TX\_00000954 JA 000953

van for an area that Senator Uresti had talked about in

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 52 of 139 far West Texas. Those people that are, you know, 100 miles from the nearest location, maybe there's a way 7 to accommodate that. So I think the answer to your question is, I'm anxious to hear the response of the 9 Department of Safety of what they're either able and/or 10 willing to do. 11 SEN. WEST: And let's assume that they are 12 able and willing to do more than your bill permits. Would you support an amendment that would enable them to do what they're able to do in order to --14 15 SEN. FRASER: Have you prefiled that amendment and have I had a chance to look at it? 16 17 SEN. WEST: No. I'm asking you a question 18 right now. 19 SEN. FRASER: And I'm asking you, have you 20 filed your amendment? SEN. WEST: Well, you basically said, sir, 21 22 that you have to wait -- we have to wait until you hear their testimony before we can make a determination as to 23 whether or not they're --24 25 SEN. FRASER: No, I can't tell you --0137 1 SEN. WEST: Well, let me finish; let me 2 finish, please. Let me finish. 3 What you just said a second ago is, is 4 that you want to defer to the Department of Public 5 Safety to make a determination as to whether or not there are things that they can do in order to make certain they're doing the outreach that's necessary to 8 accommodate just some of the concerns that senator 9 Uresti had. 10 SEN. FRASER: I didn't say that at all. I 11 said --12 SEN. WEST: What did you say? 13 SEN. FRASER: -- I'm anxious to hear their 14 testimony when they're asked and their response of what 15 they are able, capable of doing for that. And then once you do that, if you want to offer an amendment, I will 17 look at every amendment offered. If you'll got one, you need to go ahead and file it. 18 19 SEN. WEST: Let me give you a 20 hypothetical, then. If the Department says that they can do much more than your bill currently allows them to do, would you support an amendment that would give them 23 the resources or give them the rulemaking authority to 24 be able to do the outreach? 25 SEN. FRASER: I'm probably not going to 0138 work in hypotheticals right now. Let's wait until we hear from them. Then we'll determine that. 2 3 SEN. WEST: Okay. Well, I'm going to make sure and I'll put that down. 5 I want to talk about seniors just for one

TX\_00000955 JA 000954

second. How did you come up with 70 years old? Well,

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 53 of 139 7 hold on. Let me ask you this: Is there a definition, a federal definition under any of our laws, U.S. laws or 9 either state laws, that defines a senior citizen? 10 SEN. FRASER: It was really actually a 11 very complicated system that we came up with this. It 12 actually was recommended by a democratic member that 13 said, "If you'll put that in the bill, that would help 14 five or six of us vote for the bill." So that was 15 recommended originally to be put in the bill. But the answer to your question is, I'm 61 years old, and I 17 think you're just about as old as I am. 18 SEN. WEST: No, I'm younger; I'm younger 19 than you are. I'm younger. 20 SEN. FRASER: Oh, you're 60 -- 59? SEN. WEST: I'm younger than you are. 21 22 SEN. FRASER: How old are you, sir? 23 SEN. WEST: I'm 58 years old. 24 SEN. FRASER: Okay. Of the people 25 (laughter) --0139 1 SEN. WEST: Ask the Secretary. We're not 2 going to --3 SEN. FRASER: I want to see your photo ID. 4 SEN. WEST: Ask the Secretary. 5 SEN. FRASER: I need a photo ID. 6 SEN. WEST: Got to ask the Secretary. 7 SEN. FRASER: And here, this is a good 8 observation. I live in an area, a retirement community, and I know a lot of the people in that area. And the people that are my age, that are 61 up to 65 up to 70, I think are still very, very capable. It is not an inconvenience on them. There's a lot of people that are 70 --13 14 SEN. WEST: And what community? 15 SEN. FRASER: You want me to answer the 16 question? 17 SEN. WEST: I just didn't hear. You said you lived in a retirement --18 19 SEN. FRASER: I live in an area where 20 there's a lot of retired people. 21 SEN. WEST: People. Okay. 22 SEN. FRASER: Yes, like myself. 23 SEN. WEST: Yes. 24 SEN. FRASER: Those people that I know, 25 people that are up to that age, it would not be an 0140 1 inconvenience for them, and they're still very, very 2 active. Actually, I've got numerous people that I play golf with often that are above 70 and up to 80. So, 4 actually, the number probably could have been higher, 5 but that number we thought was a fair number and represented a number that we could offer up as a very 7 fair number for an exception to this bill. 8 SEN. WEST: Let me make sure I understand

TX\_00000956 JA 000955

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 54 of 139 your answer to that question. You're saying that the 10 age 70 is predicated on people that you know that live 11 in your community? 12 SEN. FRASER: It is predicated by a 13 democrat member offering me that up as a number, that if we would put that in the bill, there would be five or 14 six Democrats that would vote for the bill. That's the 15 16 answer to my question. 17 SEN. WEST: Okay. But you added a lot of other stuff after that. What was all that other stuff? 18 19 SEN. FRASER: The other stuff was the people that I know that are capable of that. Now, if 20 someone is not capable, we are not changing the mail-out ballot procedures. And that anyone for some reason that could not vote in person would be allowed to vote like 24 they do today. 25 SEN. WEST: Don't you think that a better 0141 1 definition would be 65? Why wouldn't you use 65? And let me give an example. 3 In the Human Resource Code, elderly person means a person 65 years of age or older. Why wouldn't we use that as an age? Our Penal Code uses elderly individual means a person 65 years of age or older. Our Utility Code means an individual who is 60 years or older. Our Human Resource Code means an elderly person, 9 means a person who 60 years or older. And now we're going to have our election code basically saying a 10 person of 70 years or older. Don't you think -- I'm not 11 12 going to vote for your bill anyway, but just in case. 13 SEN. FRASER: You actually were one of the 14 ones that was asking if I would put it in the bill. 15 SEN. WEST: No, no, no, no, no, no, no, 16 no. Let's get it straight. I didn't ask you that --17 okay? -- for the record. Okay? I didn't ask you that. 18 SEN. FRASER: Okay. 19 SEN. WEST: But if you're going to put it 20 in there, it seems as though you should have one of a consistent definition with some of the other statutes. 21 You're making an elderly individual for voting purpose more onerous than it is under these other statutes, like 24 in our Penal Code where it says an individual -- elderly 25 person is 65 years old. 0142 1 SEN. FRASER: I actually believe that the 2 number probably could easily be higher, because --3 SEN. WEST: So you would make it 80 years old? 4 5 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry? SEN. WEST: You would make it 80 years old 6 7 for election purposes? 8 SEN. FRASER: I'm saying when I'm 80, I

and get my ID and be able to vote.

TX\_00000957

still believe I'll be able to get in the car, go down

9

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 55 of 139
  11
              SEN. WEST: But, see, you're assuming that
  12 all elderly people have cars.
  13
              SEN. FRASER: If they don't, they can vote
  14 by mail.
  15
              SEN. WEST: But you're assuming that they
  16 all have cars and that they'll be able to do everything
      that you'll be able to do at the age of 80. And I'm
      pretty certain you will be able to do it given, you
      know, the things that you do to keep yourself in shape
      and everything.
  20
  21
              But I don't think we should be building
  22
      that definition based on how you perceive yourself and
      people in your neighborhood. The fact of the matter is,
      you're more affluent than most other people in the State
  25 of Texas. And if you're going to build a definition, I
  0143
  1
     think what you need to look at is what the average
     elderly person in the State of Texas, you know, is and
  3
     the means that they have.
  4
              SEN. FRASER: And, Senator, I think, you
    know, if you're going to consider that, you've got to
  6
     think about how things have changed. When my parents
     were 65, they were old. Things have changed a lot with
  8
     diet and exercise, and people are changing what they can
  9
     do.
  10
              People that are 70 or 75 or 80 are still
  11 very, very active today, and I think it's a very fair
      number. Now, I feel very comfortable that you're
      probably going to offer an amendment, raising -- or
  13
      changing that number. And I think probably, if the
      members of the body, you know, could help us decide
      that, I think -- myself, I believe that 70 is a very
  17
      fair number --
  18
              SEN. WEST: Let me --
  19
              SEN. FRASER: -- exception.
  20
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator West --
  21
              SEN. WEST: Yes.
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: -- if I might
  23
      interrupt -- and I don't want to -- we can continue with
      your line of questions when we reconvene as a Committee
  25 of the Whole. It's 20 till. We've gone 10 minutes over
  1
     what we previously announced. Would you have any
     objection if we could continue the dialogue after
  3
     session?
  4
              SEN. WEST: No objection.
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Very good. Why
  5
     don't we go ahead and do that. Before we do that, let
  7
     me ask the body if you would, please, if you have
     amendments that you would wish to -- we're not putting a
     deadline on amendments, but it will help us if you can
  10 deliver your amendments as soon as possible to Jennifer
      Fagan who is the State Affairs Committee Director, and
  12 we will try to collate them and make sure that there are
                                                       TX_00000958
                                                      JA 000957
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 56 of 139
13 not conflicting amendments. And if you'll do that as
   soon as possible, that will be helpful.
14
15
            There are a number of people that are on
16 queue to be recognized, and I will recognize them in
17 order that they're on queue. Now we'll record that and
18 then start. Senator Lucio will be first, Senator Van de
19 Putte, Senator Ellis, Senator Seliger, unless you're
20 just -- you're just on for the motion, so we'll take you
21 off center -- Wentworth. He's just for the motion, so
22 we'll take him off. And then, Senator Zaffirini, you
   would be in queue at that point in time. And then we'll
24 just start the queue. Whenever we come back in, you can
25 go ahead and hit your button and we'll have the queue.
0145
1
           Exhibits, too. If you have exhibits that
   you want to enter into the record so that we can make
   sure we have an orderly transition of those exhibits,
4 would you go ahead and bring those forward, at least
5
   during the interim time, so we can go ahead and number
   them and have them available. It's not absolutely
6
   necessary that we introduce them in their chronological
   order, but it does help have a cleaner record.
8
9
           Finally, I want to remind you, we did have
10
   a little talking over, so we've got to make sure we have
    a clear record. So please, in the future, remember to
11
   speak one at a time.
12
13
            Senator Zaffirini is recognized for an
14
   announcement.
15
            (Announcement by Senator Zaffirini)
16
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Thank you, Senator.
17
            The Chair recognizes Senator Seliger for a
18
   motion.
19
            SEN. SELIGER: Mr. President, I move that
   the Committee of the Whole Senate rise and report
21
    progress.
22
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Members, you've heard
   the motion. Is there objection?
23
24
            Chair hears none. It's so ordered.
25
            (Recess: 10:43 a.m. to 12:38 p.m.)
0146
               AFTERNOON SESSION
1
2
            TUESDAY, JANUARY 25, 2011
3
                (12:38 p.m.)
4
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The Committee of the
   Whole Senate will come to order. The Secretary will
5
   call the roll.
6
7
                 ROLL CALL
           SECRETARY SPAW: Birdwell?
8
9
           SEN. BIRDWELL: (Indicated presence)
10
            SECRETARY SPAW: Carona?
11
            SEN. CARONA: (Indicated presence)
12
            SECRETARY SPAW: Davis?
13
            SEN. DAVIS: (Indicated presence)
14
            SECRETARY SPAW: Deuell?
                                                   TX 00000959
                                                  JA 000958
```

TX 00000959

```
SEN. DEUELL: (Indicated presence)
15
          SECRETARY SPAW: Duncan?
16
17
          CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: (Indicated presence)
18
          SECRETARY SPAW: Ellis?
19
          SEN. ELLIS: (Indicated presence)
20
          SECRETARY SPAW: Eltife?
21
          SEN. ELTIFE: (Indicated presence)
22
          SECRETARY SPAW: Estes?
23
          SEN. ESTES: (Indicated presence)
24
          SECRETARY SPAW: Fraser?
25
          SEN. FRASER: (Indicated presence)
0147
          SECRETARY SPAW: Gallegos?
1
          SEN. GALLEGOS: (Indicated presence)
2
          SECRETARY SPAW: Harris?
3
          SEN. HARRIS: (Indicated presence)
4
5
          SECRETARY SPAW: Hegar?
          SEN. HEGAR: (Indicated presence)
6
7
          SECRETARY SPAW: Hinojosa?
8
          SEN. HINOJOSA: (Indicated presence)
9
          SECRETARY SPAW: Huffman?
10
          SEN. HUFFMAN: (Indicated presence)
          SECRETARY SPAW: Jackson?
11
          SEN. JACKSON: (Indicated presence)
12
          SECRETARY SPAW: Lucio?
13
14
          SEN. LUCIO: (Indicated presence)
15
          SECRETARY SPAW: Nelson?
16
          SEN. NELSON: (Indicated presence)
17
          SECRETARY SPAW: Nichols?
18
          SEN. NICHOLS: (Indicated presence)
19
          SECRETARY SPAW: Ogden?
          SEN. OGDEN: (Indicated presence)
20
21
          SECRETARY SPAW: Patrick?
22
          SEN. PATRICK: (Indicated presence)
23
          SECRETARY SPAW: Rodriguez?
          SEN. RODRIGUEZ: (Indicated presence)
24
25
          SECRETARY SPAW: Seliger?
0148
          SEN. SELIGER: (Indicated presence)
1
2
          SECRETARY SPAW: Shapiro?
          SEN. SHAPIRO: (Indicated presence)
3
4
          SECRETARY SPAW: Uresti?
          SEN. URESTI: (Indicated presence)
5
          SECRETARY SPAW: Van de Putte?
6
7
          SEN. VAN de PUTTE: (Indicated presence)
8
          SECRETARY SPAW: Watson?
9
          SEN. WATSON: (Indicated presence)
          SECRETARY SPAW: Wentworth?
10
          SEN. WENTWORTH: (Indicated presence)
11
          SECRETARY SPAW: West?
12
13
          SEN. WEST: (Indicated presence)
14
          SECRETARY SPAW: Whitmire?
15
          SEN. WHITMIRE: (Indicated presence)
16
          SECRETARY SPAW: Williams?
                                             TX 00000960
                                            JA 000959
```

Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 57 of 139

file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION\_OF\_SENATE\_BILL\_14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 58 of 139
            SEN. WILLIAMS: (Indicated presence)
17
            SECRETARY SPAW: Zaffirini?
18
19
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: (Indicated presence)
20
            SECRETARY SPAW: Lieutenant Governor
21
   Dewhurst?
22
           PRESIDENT DEWHURST: (Indicated presence)
23
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Quorum is present.
24
           (Pause)
25
0149
1
       QUESTIONS FROM THE SENATE FLOOR (CONTINUED)
2
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Fraser, are you
3
   ready?
4
           SEN. FRASER: I am ready.
5
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator West, you're
   recognized to continue your questioning with Senator
6
7
   Fraser.
8
           SEN. WEST: Yes, sir. Thank you very
9
   much.
10
           SEN. FRASER: And we're going to try it
11
   without earphones. See how that works. I think I'm
12
   good with you.
13
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: And if I could advise
14 both of you, I had some -- we had some concerns about
   you were both talking at the same time on your last
   dialogue. So if each of you could remember that, and
16
17
   I'll try to help you --
18
            SEN. WEST: Okay.
19
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: -- if you forget.
20
            SEN. WEST: All right. Thank you.
21
            Senator Fraser, I think, then, when we
   were looking -- can I ask that the last question be read
23
   back?
24
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The -- probably not
25 because we have switched court reporter shifts and so --
0150
1
           SEN. WEST: I was just trying not to be
   redundant on it.
3
           And, Senator Fraser, if -- if I am being
   redundant, we talked about --
5
           SEN. FRASER: You are being redundant.
           SEN. WEST: Okay. I need to ask the
6
7
   Secretary of State about that.
8
           (Laughter)
9
           SEN. WEST: Wait a minute. Hold on. I'm
10
   being redundant?
11
            Senator Fraser, I think I was asking you
12 about the $2 million; and you had indicated that those
13 funds may very well come from the federal funds, but
14 we're not certain at this point. And if they don't come
15 from federal funds, they will have to come from general
16 revenue, and we're at least -- the minimum amount is
   about $2 million. And I think that I mentioned to you
18 that the average teacher in the state of Texas makes
                                                  TX_00000961
                                                 JA 000960
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 59 of 139
19 about $48,000.
20
            If we have to appropriate state funds in
21 order to fund this voter ID bill, it will cost a minimum
22 of $2 million, and that's the equivalent of about 40,000
23 teachers. You do understand and appreciate that. Is
24 that correct?
25
            SEN. FRASER: And I very much appreciate
0151
1 how valuable our schoolteachers are to the state of
   Texas. Without a doubt, I'm very, very aware of that.
3
            And, again, the discussion we had prior to
4
   us breaking, we believe very, very strongly that there
   is sufficient funds in the Secretary of State's budget
6 from HAVA funds that would -- that the letter says they
7 have enough funds to cover this. They are going to
8
  request of the federal government. It is not
9 unprecedented. They have allowed that to be used
10 before, so we have every reason to believe it will be
11 done. And so the discussion of whether that money would
   deprive some -- the rest of the budget is speculative us
12
   not knowing because we believe very strongly that --
   that that money is going to be available.
14
            SEN. WEST: And this may very well be a
15
16
   technical question for the Secretary of State.
17
            If for some reason --
18
            SEN. FRASER: I would never refer anything
19
   to --
20
            SEN. WEST: If for some reason the bill is
21
   not precleared by Justice, will those HAVA funds be made
    available?
23
            SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. That one I, for
   sure, do not know the answer to that. That would be a
   great question for the Secretary of State.
0152
            SEN. WEST: For sure?
1
            SEN. FRASER: For sure.
3
            SEN. WEST: Okay.
4
            SEN. FRASER: I do not know the answer to
5
   that question.
            SEN. WEST: Okay. And we need to make
6
7
   certain we do. If -- would you support an amendment,
   though, that basically says that if general revenue,
8
9
   state revenue, had to be used in order to fund this
10
   particular bill, that you would then delay the -- the
   implementation of it?
11
12
            And the reason I'm asking that is, surely
13
   you don't want to take general revenue from our coffers
   to fund voter ID when we may end up having to lay off
15 thousands of teachers. I would assume that you would
16 want teachers -- us to appropriate money to make certain
17
   that we can fund our education system over funding a
18
   voter ID system.
19
            SEN. FRASER: Senator, could I remind you
20 that there was a motion in writing that was entered by
                                                    TX 00000962
                                                   JA 000961
```

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 60 of 139

- 21 Senator Huffman of the -- the testimony of two years
- 22 ago. And I think if you'll go back and read that
- 23 testimony, yourself and several others, one of the big
- 24 arguments you had was making sure that there was
- 25 sufficient money that went forward for the education of 0153
- 1 voters, making sure voters understood and that no one
- 2 would misunderstand this process. So it's difficult for
- me when you're arguing both sides of the issue.
- 4 I think the answer to your question is,
- 5 I'm not going to take a position today about whether we
- 6 should or should not. We are requesting that the
- 7 Secretary of State do sufficient education so that no
- 8 one misunderstands the -- the implementation of this
- 9 bill.
- 10 SEN. WEST: Regard --
- SEN. FRASER: We're going to give -- we're
- 12 going to give them that power. And that without a
- doubt, I would hate for us to be using money that could
- 14 be used for a schoolteacher, and I'm not going to get
- 15 into that debate because I'm a great supporter of
- 16 schoolteachers.
- But I still stand by the letter from the
- 18 Secretary of State. The Secretary of State believes
- 19 very clearly that they have sufficient funds, the money
- 20 is available, and it will be made available.
- 21 SEN. WEST: So the answer to my question
- 22 is, is that if there are no federal funds available, you
- 23 would support an amendment that basically says that we
- 24 should not use general revenue in order to fund this
- 25 bill?
- 0154
- 1 SEN. FRASER: And my position is, is that
- 2 you've taken both sides of that issue. You argued in
- 3 favor of funds last time. You're -- now you're asking
- 4 for amendment saying we're not going to use funds. If
- 5 we don't use funds to educate voters, obviously that's a
- 6 problem.
- And the answer is, no, I believe the
- 8 instruction to the Secretary of State is that we do need
- 9 to educate the voters.
- SEN. WEST: So you'd be -- you'd be in
- 11 favor of cutting schoolteachers using -- and, I mean,
- 12 you agree with me that based on the budget that was
- 13 introduced by the House and the budget that was
- 14 introduced by the Senate, that school districts will be
- 15 under pressure to terminate some of the teachers that
- 16 would otherwise be in the classroom?
- 17 SEN. FRASER: I -- I don't agree with
- 18 anything other than the fact --
- 19 SEN. WEST: Okay. All right.
- 20 SEN. FRASER: -- that your own finance,
- 21 you're going to have to make those decisions; and we've
- 22 got to make sure that we educate voters, making sure

TX\_00000963

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 61 of 139 that they understand the implementation of this law. 23 24 SEN. WEST: All right. Let me ask the 25 question this way, then: Would you agree with me that 0155 1 both the House and the Senate have introduced bills that put pressure on school districts to reduce their budgets that would impact the number of teachers that would be 4 in classrooms? 5 SEN. FRASER: You're a member of the Finance Committee that implemented a draft budget. I am 7 not. I have not advised. And the answer is, I'm sorry, I don't --8 9 I -- I'm not advised on that issue. 10 SEN. WEST: If you were so advised -- if 11 you were so advised that both the House and the Senate 12 by -- if you were so advised by me, the Chairman of 13 Finance, the Chairman of Appropriation, that both the 14 House and the Senate have introduced bills that would 15 require us cutting our commitment to our public schools and our teachers, if you were so advised that both 17 houses introduced the budget that did that, would your 18 position still be the same as it relates to the question 19 I asked you concerning whether or not we should be using 20 general revenue in order to fund voter ID implementation 21 over funding our public schools? 22 SEN. FRASER: I am so advised that you're a member of finance, a very respected member, and you're 24 very capable of making those hard decisions; and I'm sure you'll move forward and make the right decision for 0156 our wonderful schoolteachers across the state. SEN. WEST: What decision would you make? SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry? SEN. WEST: What decision would you make? SEN. FRASER: I made a decision to support

1

2

3

4

you, as a member of finance, to keep you on the

committee. 7

8

9

15

SEN. WEST: So if you had -- if you had to make a decision, though, if you were on finance and had to make a decision, what decision would you make? 10

SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I'm not sitting 11 on finance. I'm not subject to being able to listen to 12 the debates, so it would be -- wouldn't be right for me 13 14 to take a position on that.

SEN. WEST: But if you had to make -- take 16 a position on funding voter ID over schoolteachers,

17 which one would you fund? SEN. FRASER: I'm -- I think the 18

19 position -- because this bill is before us, it is

20 extremely important that -- that we deter and detect

21 fraud and restore the public confidence in the election 22 system.

23 SEN. WEST: So that's your answer in terms of -- is that what you're telling the teachers, that

> TX 00000964 JA 000963

```
25 you'd rather do that than -- to the extent it's there,
0157
1 you'd --
2
            (Simultaneous speaking)
3
            SEN. FRASER: Well, unfortunately, since
  I'm not a member of finance, I don't get to make a
   choice of what I would rather do. I'm laying --
   bringing forward a bill today that would restore the
6
7
   confidence of the public in the election system and --
   today, because I'm sponsoring that bill, that I'm going
9
   to ask that we -- you know, we restore that confidence.
            SEN. WEST: So, I'm trying to -- so let me
10
   make certain I understand your answer to my question.
11
12
            SEN. FRASER: I know you're trying to --
13
            SEN. WEST: Let me -- let me -- hold up.
14
   Now, I'm listening, because if you remember, both of us
    can't talk at the same time because the stenographer's
15
   taking it down, and I'm trying to make certain that I am
16
   reminded of that fact.
17
18
            So your answer to that question is that
   you would prefer to fund the voter ID bill, if need be,
19
20 with state funds than to put extra money -- take that
   $2 million, if we need to, and put it back in the budget
22 for our school districts?
23
            SEN. FRASER: You know, the -- you know,
24 the important thing -- or the good thing with the
25 Legislature is you don't get to make -- answer questions
0158
1 for me, and the -- I did not say that at all.
2
            Today I'm laying -- bringing forward a
   bill that would deter and detect fraud and restore the
3
   public confidence in the election system.
5
            SEN. WEST: How does your bill detect
6
   fraud?
7
            SEN. FRASER: Come back? I'm sorry. I
8
   didn't hear you. What did you say?
9
            SEN. WEST: How does your bill detect
10
   fraud?
            SEN. FRASER: The -- the bill is designed
11
12 to deter and detect fraud and restore --
13
            SEN. WEST: No. I asked you: How does
14
   your bill detect fraud?
15
            SEN. FRASER: The -- I think the easy
16 answer to that would be, is that when you walk into
17
   the -- into your election booth and you show your
18
    driver's license, they know for sure that you're Royce
19
   West and that if you're on the precinct list,
20 registered, you're entitled to vote.
21
            SEN. WEST: And so that's -- that's the
22
   fraud detection provision in it? And so you'd rather
23
    fund --
24
            SEN. FRASER: That's the way the bill
25 works.
0159
```

TX\_00000965 JA 000964

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 63 of 139
   1
              SEN. WEST: Now, let me ask you this: If
     there's empirical evidence that -- in Texas, at least,
     because, you know, we are -- we are Texas. We are the
  3
    Lone Star State. The rest of America can go this way,
  5
     and we'll go that -- the other way. Right? Right.
     Okay. You're good with that. Right?
  6
  7
              SEN. FRASER: I'm sitting here listening.
  8
              SEN. WEST: You don't agree with that?
  9
              SEN. FRASER: No, I'm listening to you.
  10
      You're --
  11
              SEN. WEST: We are Texans.
  12
              SEN. FRASER: You're still answering my
  13
      questions for me.
  14
              SEN. WEST: We're Texans.
  15
              SEN. FRASER: Keep going.
  16
              SEN. WEST: I'm just asking you whether
  17
      you agree with it. And so the question I'm asking you
  18
      is: Is there any indication that we have prosecuted any
  19 fraud associated with identification in the state of
  20 Texas? Is there any empirical evidence whatsoever?
  21
              SEN. FRASER: The bill that I'm bringing
  22 forward today will clearly say that when you walk in the
      voting booth, you identify yourself as who you say you
  24 are, and the bill that we're bringing forward we believe
  25 will pass the Supreme Court of the United States and be
  0160
  1
     approved by Department of Justice.
              SEN. WEST: I notice you keep on saying
     that in terms of you believe that the bill is going to
     pass muster at the Department of Justice and also the
     United -- the Supreme Court of the United States. Are
    you anticipating any -- let me -- let me ask this: If
     the Department of Justice decides not to preclear this
     legislation, are you anticipating any type of court
  9
     challenge by the state of Texas?
  10
              SEN. FRASER: Senator, I'm starting to
  11
      have trouble hearing you. Hold on a second. Let me put
  12
      my earphones on.
  13
              (Pause)
  14
              SEN. FRASER: Are you there?
  15
              SEN. WEST: Yes.
  16
              SEN. FRASER: Would you say something?
  17
              SEN. WEST: Testing, testing, testing.
  18
              SEN. FRASER: Okay. I got you.
  19
              SEN. WEST: One, two, three.
  20
              SEN. FRASER: Okay. Will you ask your
  21
      question again?
  22
              SEN. WEST: You have consistently
      indicated that this particular bill will pass the
  24 Department of Justice and also the Supreme Court. I'm
  25 asking you: Do you anticipate that if the Department of
  0161
     Justice decides not to preclear this particular
     legislation, any litigation concerning it?
                                                      TX 00000966
                                                     JA 000965
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 64 of 139
3
            SEN. FRASER: You're -- you're being
   subjective about me assuming what's going to happen. I
5
   believe the bill that we had -- that we're offering will
   be precleared.
6
7
            SEN. WEST: But I'm asking if it's not
8
   precleared. Do you want to see us go into litigation
9
   with the federal government concerning your bill if it's
   not precleared?
10
11
            SEN. FRASER: I don't -- I don't think
12 that's, you know, my choice. I think we -- we will
   present the bill forward and try to present our best
14
   case that it should.
            SEN. WEST: Okay. So does your bill
15
16 anticipate any litigation at all?
17
            SEN. FRASER: The bill in no way addresses
18
   or thinks about any litigation. It is clearly just a
19
   bill saying this is -- this is what we're asking you to
20
   do, to present a photo ID when you vote, and that's the
   extent of the bill.
21
            SEN. WEST: I know because -- and the
23 reason I ask that question, you continue to make
   reference to the Department of Justice and the U.S.
24
25 Supreme Court or --
0162
1
            SEN. FRASER: Only because the -- the
   bills that have been brought forward by other states,
   which Indiana was cleared by the -- you know, made it
   all the way to the U.S. Supreme Court; and then in
   Georgia, they were precleared from the Department of
   Justice because a bill -- you know, since we're a
7
   Section 5 state, they were precleared.
            SEN. WEST: Okay. In Georgia, not
8
9
   Indiana. Indiana's not a Section 5 state?
10
            SEN. FRASER: No, they are not.
            SEN. WEST: Okay. Has the Legislature or
11
   have you conducted any research on how burdens of the
13
    photo ID requirements may fall disproportionately upon
   racial minorities?
15
            SEN. FRASER: Come back again. I'm sorry.
16 My sound went off.
17
            SEN. WEST: Okay. In drafting your -- in
18 drafting your bill, was there any research conducted on
   how burdens of -- burdens of photo identification
19
20 requirements may fall disproportionately on racial
   minorities?
21
            SEN. FRASER: Probably the best evidence
23
   that I could bring forward, that the latest poll that
   was conducted of Texans, including the people in your
25 area. Of the -- there were 86 percent of the public
0163
   that in favor of that. Of that, 82 percent were black,
   83 percent were Hispanic.
3
            So I would say the answer to your question
   is: If you ask someone that is either African American
                                                     TX_00000967
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 65 of 139 or Hispanic, do they believe that -- "Do you favor/oppose requiring a valid photo ID before a person 7 is allowed to vote?" and you have 82 percent of the 8 public that says that --9 SEN. WEST: Right. 10 SEN. FRASER: -- pretty -- pretty straightforward. 11 12 SEN. WEST: You keep referring to that poll. What poll is that, sir, and who was it conducted 13 by? 14 15 SEN. FRASER: It was conducted -- this is one of many we had. I've got a whole series of polls. 16 This just happened to be the latest one that was 17 18 conducted January the 10th, 2011. This one was by the 19 Lighthouse Opinion Polling & Research, LLC. 20 SEN. WEST: Lighthouse Opinion. 21 SEN. FRASER: Lighthouse Opinion Polling, 22 LLC. 23 SEN. WEST: Okay. And --24 SEN. FRASER: One that was --25 (Simultaneous discussion) 0164 1 SEN. WEST: Were you finished? 2 SEN. FRASER: Yeah. 3 SEN. WEST: Okay. Now, the question, though, that I asked, not -- and I agree with you that 5 most people will say that some form of photo ID is okay. Now --6 7 SEN. FRASER: But what --8 SEN. WEST: Let me -- let me finish. Let 9 me finish, though. Hold on for a second. I would agree with you that, but my 10 question wasn't about their opinion. My question was: 11 12 Have you conducted any research on how burdens of photo ID requirements may fall disproportionately on racial 13 14 minorities? 15 SEN. FRASER: And I think the answer to 16 that, if you look at what happened in Indiana and 17 Georgia is a good example because it is a Section 5 18 state. In those states, to our -- to my knowledge, 19 there has not been a single person that has came forward 20 to identify themself that they were in any way, you know, in -- you know, kept from voting or inconvenienced 21 22 by voting. 23 So the answer to your question is, that I look at the data that has been collected from the states 25 that have implemented, and they're coming forward. That 0165 1 is the case. Plus the fact that if you ask African Americans or Hispanics in Texas, it's a very 3 straightforward question. When you have 82 percent of the public, the people that you represent, saying, you know, "I think that's a good ideal," I'm having a lot of trouble understanding how -- why you don't understand

TX\_00000968 JA 000967

TX\_00000969 JA 000968

has a different demographic of the makeup of people

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 67 of 139 9 within the state. 10 SEN. WEST: Sure. I know that, yeah. 11 SEN. FRASER: Georgia is a -- you know, 12 they're -- they're a Section 5 voter rights state, but 13 their makeup is not exactly like Texas. SEN. WEST: That's the point. That's what 14 15 I'm asking you. You said you weren't advised, so I was 16 just trying to point to you some set of facts that all of us commonly know that we get from the Department of 18 Census, U.S. Department of Census. And if they give different demographic information for the states, then that would probably be controlling, and you would agree that that's the best evidence that we have of what the population is in those various states. That's all I'm 23 asking. Now, let me ask this. 24 SEN. FRASER: But you're trying to answer 25 my question, and I did not say that. 0168 SEN. WEST: No, I'm not. But are the 1 2 forms of identification listed in your bill the least 3 restrictive options in order to achieve the goal of 4 avoiding what you call voter identification fraud? 5 SEN. FRASER: Okay. You're going to have 6 to ask that again. 7 SEN. WEST: Are the forms of identification that you've listed in the bill the least 8 9 restrictive options in order to achieve the goal of avoiding what you have said is voter identification fraud? 11 12 SEN. FRASER: And I think what you're asking, which is going to be the easiest to use? And 13 the -- the data, if you look back at 2006, the number of people that have registered to vote, about -- I think 16 the number now is 91 percent actually use their driver's license when they registered to vote. So the assumption 18 is at least 91 percent of the people that voted -- or 19 that registered since 2006 had a driver's license. So 20 I'd say that's the -- if it's the -- the easiest thing, I'd say a driver's license. 21 22 SEN. WEST: So this -- the list of 23 identifications that you use as the -- is the least restrictive options that you could come up with? 24 25 SEN. FRASER: Well, I don't -- I'm not 0169 sure. Your verbiage you're using, I don't know that that's the intent. 3 SEN. WEST: Well --SEN. FRASER: I'm saying that the thing 4 that the -- the type of identification that is most readily available appears to be a driver's license. 6 7 It -- we think, that is. 8 SEN. WEST: Okay. Now, since there are 9 studies that show that African Americans and Hispanics

TX\_00000970 JA 000969

10

are more affected by poverty and --

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 68 of 139
11
            SEN. FRASER: Ask him, then.
12
            We're trying to figure out if this is a
13 filibuster.
14
            SEN. WEST: Is it a what?
15
            SEN. FRASER: A filibuster?
            SEN. WEST: Oh, no, this is serious
16
   business. This is serious business.
17
18
            SEN. FRASER: I guess I would remind you
19
   that the information that was put into the record this
   morning by Senator Huffman, the questions you've gone
20
    over, I believe we put these --
22
            SEN. WEST: Well, at any -- at any point,
   you can defer to whomever you want to answer the
24
    question.
25
            SEN. FRASER: No, no, I'm saying --
0170
            SEN. WEST: You've been referring to the
1
   Secretary of State.
3
           SEN. FRASER: -- these -- the questions --
4
   the questions you're asking, the question and the answer
   are already in the record from two years ago; that
6
   you're asking the exact same question, and I'm answering
7
   the exact same answer. It's already in the --
8
           SEN. WEST: And it may very well be. I
  just don't remember. I haven't gone back and read that
10 entire record. It was like 26 hours. So if I'm being a
   little bit redundant, please give me -- give me a little
11
   space on that.
12
13
            Let me go back to the questions I'm
14 asking. Studies have shown that African Americans and
15 Hispanics are more affected by poverty and, therefore,
   are more likely to participate in government benefit
   programs. Will the elimination of the government
17
   documents as a form of ID disproportionately affect
19
    African Americans and Hispanics?
20
            SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised.
21
            SEN. WEST: Okay. If in fact -- well, let
22
   me back up and ask you this question.
23
            Do you agree that African Americans and
   Hispanics are disproportionately affected by poverty in
25 the state of Texas?
0171
1
            SEN. FRASER: Not advised.
2
            SEN. WEST: Okay. Do you --
3
            SEN. FRASER: I grew up in a pretty poor
4
   family, so --
5
           SEN. WEST: Well, that's what I know, and
   correct me if I'm wrong because we've had our
7
   conversations. Your father was a minister, too. Right?
8
            SEN. FRASER: Minster and --
9
            SEN. WEST: Okay. He went to a lot of
10 African American churches?
11
            SEN. FRASER: Yes, he did.
12
            SEN. WEST: Did a little singing and stuff
                                                    TX_00000971
                                                   JA 000970
```

TX\_00000972 JA 000971

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 70 of 139
  15
               SEN. FRASER: My response is, is that I
  16 think the people of the state of Texas, which makes
      up -- I think it was 83 percent of -- of African
  17
  18 Americans and 85 percent of Hispanics, said that they're
  19 in favor of it. I'm sorry. It's 82 percent Hispanic --
  20 I'm sorry -- Hispanic, 80 -- 83 percent Hispanic, the
      African American, which is -- it's listed as a black
      vote, is 82 percent say they are in favor of asking for
  23
      a photo ID.
  24
               So it's -- it's -- this is a pretty easy
  25 question for them, "Should you have to show your -- your
     photo ID, your driver's license, when you come in to
     vote?" And they said, "Sure. That's" -- you know,
      "That's fair."
  4
              SEN. WEST: And that's your response to my
  5
     question?
              SEN. FRASER: Yes.
  6
  7
              SEN. WEST: Okay. No more questions at
  8
     this time.
  9
              SEN. FRASER: Thank you, Senator.
  10
               CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Chair recognizes Senator
  11
      Lucio for questions.
  12
               SEN. LUCIO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
  13
               Senator Fraser, under this legislation,
  14 there are no exceptions at all if you do not have a
      driver's license -- and correct me if I'm wrong --
  15
  16 military ID, citizenship certificates, or passports.
      Now, not even Senate IDs are appropriate for the
  17
      purposes of voting. That means the state employee
     working in the building wishing to cast a ballot during
  19
  20 early voting at the Sam Houston Building couldn't use a
  21 combination of their voter registration card and their
  22 Senate ID. Further, this bill's requirements for
      identification are stronger than what's used for new
      employees in obtaining driver's license, the way we
  25 understand it.
  0175
              Now, I know many people don't think it's
  1
     all that difficult to get a driver's license and that
  3
     everyone has one, but that's just not the case.
     Eleven percent of Americans surveyed by the Brennan
     Center for Justice do not have government-issued photo
  5
    ID. Forty percent of those without voter ID are
  7
     disproportionately the -- the elderly, the -- the
  8
     students, women, people with disabilities, low-income
  9
     people, and people of color.
  10
               According to disability advocates, nearly
  11 10 percent of the 40 million Americans with disabilities
      do not have any state-issued photo ID. So I do not see
      how this legislation is going to ensure that they are
  14 not kept from exercising their right to vote. Again,
     it's a right. It's not a privilege. Plus, according to
     that same survey, one of every five senior women does
                                                        TX_00000973
                                                       JA 000972
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

 $\label{eq:JA_000973} \\ \text{file:///CVDOCUME} \sim 1/\text{ssc1/LOCALS} \sim 1/\text{Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION} = \text{SENATE\_BILL\_14.txt} [3/19/2012~5:28:21~PM]$ 

TX 00000974

CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Wait. You're doing it

18

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 72 of 139 19 again, Senator. If we could -- I'm going to stay on this because we do want a good record. 20 21 SEN. FRASER: If you'll just allow me to 22 just answer a couple of them, and then we'll get them 23 out of the way. 24 SEN. LUCIO: I'll take one at a time. 25 What are you going to do when someone has 0178 1 conflicting last names on their ID on the voter rolls? 2 SEN. FRASER: Okay. I'm going to start 3 even further back than that. I -- the -- the first observation you made 4 is that we're making it harder than getting a driver's license. That is totally incorrect. Driver's license is one of the things we're offering, so whatever 8 difficulty it is to get a driver's license, once they get it, that is their identification. So this is not in 10 any way harder than getting a driver's license. No. 2, you made an observation about the 11 12 elderly. We have two different observations that --13 that come into play here. First one is that at -- if 14 they're 70 years old on January 1st, 2012, they are not 15 subject to this bill, so they are -- they are operating under current law. And then, also, we are not in any 17 way impacting the mail-in ballot system that is in place today. Any elderly person that wants to vote by mail 18 19 would -- would have the ability to do it. 20 So, you know, those things, I think, 21 are -- the question you're asking, the third question, about if the name does not match on the -- the ballot, that's the same question that's been asked probably five times already today. My answer continues to be the 25 same, as I've told everyone. We have the Secretary of 0179 1 State coming. I don't -- I don't know the -- the exact ruling of what they -- the Secretary of State, slash, 3 the election administrator is how they determine that; and I would like that question to be asked to the 5 Secretary of State, if possible. SEN. LUCIO: Okay. That's fine, Senator. 6 7 To obtain a driver's license, you could use nonphoto options. Correct? 8 9 SEN. FRASER: Senator, you can ask that of 10 the DPS. 11 SEN. LUCIO: I'm sorry? 12 SEN. FRASER: If you would -- DPS is going 13 to be here. I would ask you that you could ask the DPS their procedures for -- for getting... 14 15 SEN. LUCIO: Okay. Well, I have information to that effect, but it's all right. I'll 16 17 wait for DPS. 18 Let me ask a question on -- on where we 19 have been in this country and this state, and we don't 20 want to go.

TX\_00000975 JA 000974

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 73 of 139
  21
               But do you know what the 24th Amendment
  22 did?
  23
               SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I do not.
  24
               SEN. LUCIO: It ended -- it amended the
  25 constitution to allow -- outlaw poll taxes; and it did
  0180
  1
     so, and it ended in 1964. I was a freshman in college
     at the time, and you must have been junior high.
  3
              SEN. FRASER: I was four or five, then,
     Eddie, I guess. '64, I was 17 years old.
  5
              SEN. LUCIO: All right. I did a little
     research, Senator, on the poll tax in --
  6
  7
              SEN. FRASER: 15 years old.
  8
              SEN. LUCIO: -- Texas history. It's
     something that personally hurts me. After all, my dad
  10
     had to pay a poll tax which wasn't that long ago. I
      went to some of those elections with him because he
  12 wanted to show me and make sure that I got involved in
      the political process. I remember those elections, and
      my -- my mother voted, too. But it was -- it was a
  14
  15
      sacrifice, quite frankly.
  16
               Now, Texas adopted a poll tax in 1902. It
  17 required that otherwise eligible voters pay between
  18 $1.50 and $1.75 to register to vote. Now, $1.75 may not
  19 sound like a lot, but for a lot of families living on
  20 the breadline, it made voting a privilege instead of a
      right. Well, 1.75 -- $1.75 adjusted for inflation today
      is about 40 to $45. That means, Senator, that's a mean
      instrument -- excuse me -- using several ways of
  24
      calculating, including the consumer price index.
  25
               Now, 40 bucks is a symbolic figure. A
  0181
     driver's license or ID today costs $25, even for a
     renewal. And going to the DMV, which is Department of
     Motor Vehicles, can take time. You're going to get
  3
     there, wait in line, return home, take off from work,
  5
     pay for the gas. Now, let's say it takes two hours.
    Minimum wage in Texas is 7.25 an hour. So if you took
  7
     off two hours and paid for gas, you're looking at $40,
     the same amount of the old poll tax would cost today.
  9
     Don't -- don't you find that kind of ironic? I do.
  10
               Under this bill, voters will effectively
      have to pay the same amount to vote that minorities and
  12 the poor had to pay in poll tax in 1902. I'm serious,
      though. Forty dollars is a lot of money for a lot of
      people in my district living paycheck to paycheck. You
  15
      can buy a week's shopping for 40 bucks. You're either
      going to eat or you're going to -- you're going to vote.
  16
  17
      That is the choice many will think about making.
  18
               The poll tax was outlawed in -- in the
  19
      1960s by the 24th Amendment. It was outlawed because
  20
      the nation understood that poll tax -- taxes served as
  21
      one purpose, to --
  22
               (Simultaneous speaking)
                                                        TX 00000976
                                                       JA 000975
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 74 of 139
               SEN. LUCIO: -- disenfranchise minorities
  23
  24 and the vulnerable.
  25
               I'm leading to another question, if I may.
  0182
  1
              Texas has a history, unfortunately, in my
     opinion of voter suppression. Texas used the poll tax
  3
     to suppress voters. In fact, Texas only ratified the
     24th Amendment in 2009, 2009.
  4
  5
              So what is to stop future legislators
     making a driver's license or an ID cost more than $25?
  7
     We've talked openly over the last few months about
     raising fees to cover the back -- the budget hole. So,
  8
     you know, it's -- it's happened with passports.
  10 Passports keep going up and up in price. What if in the
      future, driver's license cost $125 or $300? Would it be
      a poll tax then? And would it be a poll tax then,
  12
  13
      Senator?
  14
               SEN. FRASER: Senator, this bill in no way
  15 envisions a poll tax. It has nothing to do with the fee
      that is charged. You're on finance. You're the one
  17
      that has control over that. The bill we have before us
  18 today -- there's nothing you've talked about the last
  19 five minutes that has anything to do with this bill --
  20 is that this bill is nothing more than showing your
      driver's license or a ID that we will give them free of
      charge that they can pick up after work that -- you
      know, when I was picking cucumbers and -- you know, in
      the afternoon, when I got off work, I could -- I still
      had time before seven o'clock to go down and -- to the
  0183
  1
     driver's license place to get the driver's license. So
     this has -- this bill in no way has anything to do with
  3
     a poll tax.
  4
              SEN. LUCIO: Well, and I -- I appreciate,
  5
     you know, what you're saying. However, I just want to
     make sure that it doesn't get out of hand. And I would
  7
     ask you, possibly, if you would vote, you would be
     prepared to work with me and others to -- in order to
     draft a constitutional amendment that would make any
     raise in fees associated with driver's license or state
      ID only possible by a two-thirds vote of each chamber.
  11
      You think that we could work to that end?
  12
  13
               SEN. FRASER: Senator, I'm -- I'm not
  14
      going to commit on anything. You're on finance. Y'all
      are going to have to work through the issues of
  15
  16
      balancing the budget.
  17
               The bill that I'm laying out today, I
  18 think, is a very fair way for people to identify
  19
      themselves, that they can prove they are who they say
  20 they are when they go to vote. The -- the thing that I
      would let you know that, you know, I want to make sure
  22 that every -- we've -- we've talked to senator -- you
      know, the -- Davis has asked about women. I want to
      make sure that women, men, Hispanics, African Americans,
                                                        TX 00000977
                                                       JA 000976
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

```
25 Anglos, everyone in the state has the same opportunity
0184
1 to go in and make sure that their vote is counted. And
   I don't -- the things you're talking about really are
3
   not part or subject to this bill.
            SEN. LUCIO: Well, a driver's license is
5
   part of it, I believe, and I'll be --
            SEN. FRASER: But -- but the cost of a
6
7
   driver's license is determined by the Finance Committee.
            SEN. LUCIO: When -- when -- when does a
8
9
   driver's license expire? I was going to ask you that
10
    question.
             SEN. FRASER: When does it expire?
11
12
             SEN. LUCIO: Yes, sir.
13
             SEN. FRASER: You know, interestingly, I
14
   was in -- looking at mine just then, in my office. I
    got a new one this year, and it's good for six years.
15
16 So every six years, evidently. I'm -- I'm going to ask
17 DPS that, but my assumption is that a driver's license
18 is renewed to last for six years.
19
             SEN. LUCIO: Well, we talked about senior
20 citizens. There are senior citizens, 60, 70 years old,
   who used an expired driver's license as a form of ID.
    That's where I'm going with my questions and my remarks.
23
    Are they no longer -- they no longer drive, but they
24
   still vote.
25
            Now, under this bill, they will have to
0185
   renew their license in order to vote. Is that correct?
2
            SEN. FRASER: You -- you've given a
3
   hypothetical, and I guess it's one of the things --
   actually, we were in the back discussing a question that
5
   was brought up by Senator Davis about an expired
   driver's license and at what point should it be -- how
7
   long should it be used. I think someone used it for an
   extended period, like the example you're giving, for
   several years. Unfortunately, that's not a valid --
   that would be considered a valid license.
11
             SEN. LUCIO: I was under that impression
   or to renew their passport or -- which are seldom used
12
13
    by seniors.
14
             SEN. FRASER: I disagree with that. I
   travel with a lot of seniors. I think there's a lot,
15
16
   you know.
17
            SEN. LUCIO: Well, the ID. They use this
18 ID for passports.
19
            Well, I obviously have a bunch of other
   questions, but in the -- in the interest of time, I will
20
21
    address these to you in writing because I'm very, very
    concerned about, you know, some of the things that are
    going to be transpiring. I think Senator Davis touched
24
    on marriage -- the marriage -- marriage issues.
25
            Or I'll give you one scenario, if I may.
0186
```

TX\_00000978 JA 000977

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 76 of 139

- Two citizens that are getting married. The woman getting married has decided to change her name. They 3 get the marriage certificate. They get married and so on. But when the newlywed wife tries to vote, there is 5 a problem. The name on her voter ID does not match the name on her voter registration. So maybe she did the 7 right thing and changed her name on the voter ID, but before that, when she registered to vote, she had used her maiden name. Maybe she registered to vote with her 9 10 new married surname but had not had yet changed her voter ID to reflect a change of name. Maybe there is no 12 time to address it because she gets married in October. 13 SEN. FRASER: Senator, these --14 SEN. LUCIO: Those are just scenarios that 15 are coming up. 16 Others that I'm concerned with are the 18 17 year olds that are turning 18 thirty days inside of --18 you know, between a primary and a general election. Many of them will not be able to register to vote. 19 20 There are so many different scenarios, 21 Senator, and I'm very concerned about whether or not they will be disenfranchised. That's all. Thank you 22 23 very much for your time. 24 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Van de Putte? 25 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you, 0187 1 Mr. Chairman. Would author of the bill yield for some 3 clarification? 4 SEN. FRASER: I would yield. 5 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you very much, Senator Fraser. I wanted to have a moment to clarify some of the conversation and the points that we had on 8 our discussion earlier. 9 I thought that I had heard you say that the bill that we had in the 81st Legislature was actually modeled after Georgia. When after comparison, 12 I think that it was actually modeled more closely after the Arizona bill, which is a Section 5 voting rights state as well. And so I wanted to clarify that, but I thought we had talked so much about the Georgia 15 legislation. So the -- the bill, Senate Bill 362, was 16 actually modeled more after Arizona's law. 17 18 SEN. FRASER: Senator --19 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And I --20 SEN. FRASER: I am -- I don't want to 21 disagree with you, but I don't think Arizona's ever came out of my mouth on this floor of the legislature about
- 23 last year's bill or this bill.
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: No. 25 SEN. FRASER: I'm -- the -- the bill that

0188

24

- we modeled last year was a Texas model that we were
- moving forward, and whenever earlier you were addressing

TX 00000979 JA 000978

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 77 of 139 the Georgia bill -- you're a past president of NCSL, and I have the NCSL analysis here. And that's the reason I was confused because you were referencing Georgia, and I've got - SEN. VAN de PUTTE: That's correct. SEN. FRASER: The document that came from

reason I was confused about what you were representing.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, Senator, you
were confused, and I was confused. However, both -- I
think we can both agree that your bill, Senate Bill 14,

13 think we can both agree that your bill, Senate Bill 14 14 is more restrictive than current Georgia and Arizona 15 law; that this is based after an Indiana model, but it

the organization that you chaired and that was the

16 is even more restrictive. I mean, you have a pretty

17 tight vote --

5

6

7

8

9

19

18 SEN. FRASER: I -- I --

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- of the bill.

SEN. FRASER: I disagree with you on that, that there are -- are small things that we're different

on, which basically is the number of things that you can

3 use for identification. But there are a list. I think

24 they have six in Indiana. We have four in Texas. We're

25 under discussion about that four, should it be expanded. 0189

So saying that they're very different is
not a correct observation. There is very small
differences between the -- the Indiana, Georgia, or
Texas. They're actually very, very much alike, and that
also gives us the reason we believe it will be approved
by the Supreme Court and DOJ.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, I wanted the opportunity to correct myself because Georgia only allows for an alternate two forms in a provisional ballot for first-time voters only, and so they do not

1 allow -- and I stand corrected. You are correct that

they don't have two forms of alternate that are not a

photo ID. The only time in the Georgia law that they

14 make reference to two forms -- and that's what I was

15 looking at and they have other things that they can use, 16 a bank statement, a current utility bill, a paycheck --

17 is when they are casting a ballot for the first time and

18 they have -- they are new registrants and they don't

19 have a photo ID.

So I stand corrected. You are correct in that for a provisional ballot, they do not allow two.

The only time they do -- and I'm looking at their
Senate -- their -- their bill -- is on a -- and I stand

24 corrected. So I wanted to let you know that I misspoke.

25 That is not correct. It's only the two alternate forms 0190

1 when they're doing for first-time registrants.

2 SEN. FRASER: Thank you for that

3 correction, and that -- that is -- the documentation I

4 show does show that they require a photo ID.

TX\_00000980 JA 000979

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 78 of 139
5
            SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And so I just want to
  thank you for the ability to clarify this and know that
   this is your -- this is a Texas bill, and it'll probably
7
  be known as the Texas bill. And -- and -- and to your
9
   credit, for every -- all the work that you've done, I
10 believe it is very stringent in small ways in the
    wording. But for the groups of people that I think will
   have a burden, they -- they have no alternate means.
12
13
            So thank you very much for the opportunity
14
   to clarify. And, Mr. Chairman, I don't have any other
    questions of the author.
16
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Ellis?
            SEN. ELLIS: Just a couple questions,
17
18
   Senator. I know you're tired. You've been up a long
   time.
19
20
            From your opening statement, the primary
21 reason for this bill is because of your concern about
    voter fraud. Right? Voter fraud, that's the primary
23
   reason --
24
            SEN. FRASER: The integrity --
25
            SEN. ELLIS: -- for the --
0191
1
            SEN. FRASER: -- of the ballot, making
   sure that the person that is trying to vote is who they
3
   represent to be.
4
            SEN. ELLIS: And if that's the case, why
5
   wouldn't you apply a voter -- photo voter identification
   requirement to mail-in ballots? Don't you think there's
   probably room for more fraud for the mail-in ballots?
8
            SEN. FRASER: I will support you a hundred
9
   percent. You file that bill, you come forward with it,
    and we'll talk about it. But this bill does not in any
    way address mail-in ballots. This is only in-person
11
    voter --
12
13
            SEN. ELLIS: But you -- but you will
14 concede that there's probably room, just from a
   layperson's perspective? Neither you nor I are experts
15
   on it, and I'm just asking you to make the point. Will
17
    you concede that there's room -- there's potential for
   more fraud with a mail-in ballot than with somebody
19
    showing up?
20
            SEN. FRASER: I'm going to concede that
   the bill that I'm laying out today will help a lot with
21
   the in-person, you know, potential of fraud, and it will
   make sure the person there is -- is who they say they
23
24
    are.
25
            SEN. ELLIS: If you just had to guess,
0192
   would you think people who are more apt to do a mail-in
   ballot would be people in the red jersey or the blue
2
3
   jersey?
            SEN. FRASER: I wouldn't be apt to guess.
4
5
            SEN. ELLIS: Do you care?
6
            SEN. FRASER: Oh, I care a lot, but I'm
                                                     TX_00000981
                                                    JA 000980
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 79 of 139 not going to guess. 8 SEN. ELLIS: Okay. You heard the 9 discussion earlier about the concern -- I think even in your district, some of those DPS offices, I think, on that map may be closing a few days a week. So you --12 you did say that you have some concern about access for 13 people to go and get --14 SEN. FRASER: It -- it is a discussion 15 going on, and it's -- you know, there -- I actually was grinning as they were talking about the -- the -- you 16 know, the offices, is that I have the same challenge sometime; and, you know, you've got to work to make sure 19 that they're open. 20 But that's a discussion we're having 21 with -- with Senator Williams. He's having a discussion 22 with DPS, and we're -- we're trying to look at, through his committee, the Finance Committee and communique with DPS, the -- the easiest way to make sure that everyone 25 can -- can comply. 0193 1 SEN. ELLIS: But you'll agree, it's a problem? There's some concerns about it? 2 3 SEN. FRASER: I don't know that I'll agree 4 that it's a problem. Problem implies that, you know, 5 there are -- everyone works through it. I've got a driver's license. You've got a driver's license. 7 Probably, I would love for them to come in my office and take my picture, but it doesn't work that way. I have to go and put out the effort to go and get it. And 10 that's the system we have, and we just need to make it as easy as possible. 11 12 SEN. ELLIS: Well, what prompted you, 13 Senator, to carry this bill? I mean, was it something -- just laying up at night? Did somebody come to you? What -- you're such a handsome fellow, but why 15 you? 16 17 SEN. FRASER: The -- and actually, I'll go 18 back to -- you asked me the same question two years ago, 19 and it's in the record. We just, you know, entered it. 20 Actually, this is over a number of years, 21 just watching and looking at articles of things that 22 happened. Obviously, there's a lot of press about 23 the -- the Carter-Baker Commission of concern, and I 24 watched the issue. And it was being asked a lot, as I 25 was speaking out in the district, is that when are we 0194 1 going to, you know, address it. And I thought -- I thought the issue had matured, and I decided to file it. 3 If you -- if you remember, this is the third session I filed this bill. 4 5 SEN. ELLIS: Well, I've always known you to be a member, Senator, who digs into an issue. You --6 7

TX 00000982 JA 000981

Why would you say a new photo ID? Why

you read a lot.

8

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 80 of 139 9 wouldn't you just make a -- have a bill that has a 10 requirement that we put a photo on the voter 11 registration card? I mean, wouldn't you agree? 12 Probably more people have a voter registration card in 13 Texas than have a driver's license. SEN. FRASER: That -- well, I don't --14 15 SEN. ELLIS: Okay. All right. 16 SEN. FRASER: I don't --17 SEN. ELLIS: You think more people in 18 Texas ---19 SEN. FRASER: -- think that's true. 20 SEN. ELLIS: -- have a driver's license? SEN. FRASER: I don't -- I'm having the 21 22 chairman of the committee that is over it --23 interestingly, I want you to think about what you just suggested, is that driver's license is going to be the 25 easy form of identification. We -- we know that 90-plus 0195 1 percent of the people -- and I think the number is probably higher than that -- have a driver's license in 3 Texas. 4 But if you're going to put a picture on a 5 voter registration, that means that every single person that's registered to vote has to go back in, have a picture made, have the cost of putting it on there. So 8 it's not only the cost --9 SEN. ELLIS: Let me try it a different 10 way. Do you think that more people who are registered 11 to vote -- you think that more people who are registered 12 to vote would have the voter registration card than a 13 driver's license? SEN. FRASER: Say it again. Do it one 14 15 more time. 16 SEN. ELLIS: Do you -- would you agree that more people --17 18 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator? Senator Ellis, y'all are talking over each other. If you --19 20 SEN. ELLIS: Oh, are we? Should I back 21 up? 22 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Yeah -- no. No. 23 Just --24 SEN. ELLIS: I'll talk slower. 25 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: -- when he starts to 0196 answer the question, let him answer it and then ask 2 another question so only one person is speaking at a 3 time. 4 SEN. ELLIS: Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Thank you. SEN. ELLIS: Are you through? 6 7 SEN. FRASER: I'm not even sure what the 8 question was. 9 SEN. ELLIS: The question is, would you agree that more people who vote have a voter

TX\_00000983 JA 000982

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 81 of 139 11 registration card than a driver's license? They'd have to because you've got to -- you're supposed to go get a voter registration to be able to vote. 13 14 SEN. FRASER: Can I answer your question? 15 SEN. ELLIS: Yeah. 16 SEN. FRASER: I'm -- I'm sure everyone at some point were mailed one, but it has been years since 17 I walked in with a voter registration card. I show my 18 19 driver's license when I vote, and I would say probably 20 that is -- do you show yours, or do you show your 21 driver's license? 22 SEN. ELLIS: I show my driver's license. 23 SEN. FRASER: Well, there's -- but you 24 have -- you probably were mailed a voter registration. 25 SEN. ELLIS: I have both. 0197 1 SEN. FRASER: Okay. 2 SEN. ELLIS: Let me ask you this: There's an article in today's paper. It says nearly 650,000 3 Texans who refuse to pay surcharge penalties for drunken 4 5 driving, no insurance, and other violations are being offered a one-time amnesty by the state. Those offered 6 amnesty represent just over half of the estimated 1.2 million Texans in default. It talks about what they owe the state. But all of these folks who are in 10 default, because we balanced the budget in '03 with surcharges for people who have a license, all have had their licenses suspended for not paying. So would that concern you any that, at least, according to folks who 13 go get amnesty, that's 1.2 million. That would be more than that. There's 1.2 million owes the state X amount. 15 That's what this article is about. 17 But would you concede it ought to be a 18 problem because we've got a lot of people who had a 19 driver's license, I assume the one's who owe the surcharges are -- you know, maybe a disproportionate number of them are folks who didn't have the money to pay the surcharges. Maybe some of them were just civil libertarians, didn't like the bill and wouldn't pay it period. But a lot of them are probably working-class 25 people who can't pay it. So at least over 1.2 million 0198 Texans since 2003 have gotten their licenses suspended, 1 so they will no longer have a valid driver's license 3 that they could use to go and vote like you and I do. 4 Does that concern you? 5 SEN. FRASER: Well, first of all, if -- if some reason it's a felony, that -- of the crime that 7 they're not paying for, I'm not sure that they -- I guess I'd question whether they're eligible. I don't 8 9 know the answer. We'd ask the Secretary of State that. SEN. ELLIS: I don't think --10 11 SEN. FRASER: But the easy answer to your question is, we're going to give them an ID free. So if

TX\_00000984 JA 000983

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 82 of 139 13 they've lost their driver's license, all they got to do 14 is go back down and get a free ID. We'll hand them a 15 new one. SEN. ELLIS: So you think the over 16 17 1.2 million people who had their licenses suspended 18 because of the surcharges this legislature put on them 19 in 2003 is not -- they haven't been convicted of a -- of 20 a felony. That's not on their record, but their license has been suspended. They're being offered amnesty, 22 according to the article in today's paper. You think that those folks would go and get this new ID? You don't think they'd be worried about showing up and somebody saying, "Hey, by the way, now that I know where 0199 you are, I want my money. I want some of this 1. --1 \$1.1 billion that you owe to the state"? SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. 3 4 SEN. ELLIS: Okay. One distinction, 5 obviously, is these people still have a constitutional right to be able to vote. 6 7 One last point. On the exemption for the elderly, I don't know if I'm reading this right or not, 8 but in your mind, is that a one-time exemption or would 10 people over -- I think you and Senator West were going 11 through the age deal earlier, and we have to find out 12 from the Secretary of State which one of you hits 70 first. But if you have -- the way I read your bill, if you don't hit 70 before that date in January, I believe, 15 of 2012, then it wouldn't apply. So anybody on this 16 floor who will be over 70 at some point or any of your constituents who will hit 70 after that date in January 17 18 of 2012, would not have that exemption. Is that 19 correct? 20 SEN. FRASER: Yes. 21 SEN. ELLIS: So your intent is that one 22 time. 23 SEN. FRASER: No, it's not a one-time at 24 all. 25 SEN. ELLIS: Continuous for people who are 0200 already 70 after January of 2012? 1 SEN. FRASER: If you're 70 on January 1, 2012, you will be subject to current law the rest of 3 4 your life. 5 SEN. ELLIS: Okay. I want to make sure 6 that's clear, because some folks have --7 SEN. FRASER: If you're 70 on that --8 SEN. ELLIS: -- called my office from 9 AARP ---10 SEN. FRASER: Yes. 11 SEN. ELLIS: Okay. 12 SEN. FRASER: Yes. 13 SEN. ELLIS: So it's not for all people

TX\_00000985 JA 000984

over 70. Just those who will hit 70 by January of 2012.

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 83 of 139
15
            SEN. FRASER: If you're 70 on January 1,
16
   2012, you will be subject to the -- the -- not be
    subject to these provisions. You basically will be
17
   operating under current law for the rest of your life.
18
19
            SEN. ELLIS: Are you confident, Senator,
20 that your bill would not have a disparate impact on the
    elderly, on women, on those that are physically
21
22
    challenged, on racial ethnic minorities?
23
            SEN. FRASER: I am --
24
            SEN. ELLIS: Are you confident?
25
            SEN. FRASER: -- absolutely sure. I would
0201
   not have filed the bill if I had thought it -- I want to
   make sure that every person in the state has a right to
   vote. The -- not -- you know, the right that we extend
4
   them, they should have that, and I do not believe that
  in any way we're impacting that and that -- that -- you
  know, I want to make sure that the groups you're talking
6
   about, you know, women, minority, elderly, that they all
7
   have the right to vote; and I believe my bill does that.
8
9
            SEN. ELLIS: Okay. And I know that's your
10 intent.
11
            SEN. FRASER: Yes.
12
            SEN. ELLIS: But you're confident that it
13
   will have no impact?
14
            SEN. FRASER: I'm very confident.
15
            SEN. ELLIS: Okay. To that end, would you
   have a problem with putting a provision in this bill so
16
17
    that the Secretary of State would do an annual report on
18
    whether or not this bill has had a disparate impact?
19
            SEN. FRASER: I think we're going to get
20
   our report back from the -- assuming it gets to the
   Supreme Court and Department of Justice, I believe we'll
   get our report card from that. And then through time,
   if there are -- and I'm going to go back to the examples
    of Indiana and Georgia. To my knowledge, there has
25
   never been a person that has reported that had a
0202
   problem -- came forward because they had a problem with
1
   the laws they've, you know, implemented. We're doing
3
   exactly the same thing.
4
            So I think you and I, as legislators, if
5
   there's a problem, will hear about it. And I would not
   want to put the burden on an agency. You know, if we
   hear about it, then we can do that in the future.
7
8
            SEN. ELLIS: Maybe I'm just at a loss. If
9
   you -- I know your intent, and you are confident your
   bill will not have a disproportionate impact on certain
    groups. I mean, were the concern be the methodology,
   you could design that. But what would be wrong with the
    Secretary of State doing an annual report on whether or
   not this bill has a disproportionate impact on any
14
    groups of people so that we know? What -- I mean, you
   know, we -- oftentimes we pass -- I think we even have
                                                     TX 00000986
                                                    JA 000985
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 84 of 139 17 a -- I think it might have been Shapleigh who put it in some time ago, when we do a tax bill as a requirement, that we have LBB do a disparate impact statement just so 19 20 we know because as you know, I mean, we're tinkering 21 with a constitutional right. 22 And, Senator, I might add, we're in a 23 state -- well, you know the history. I mean, initially, you had to be a property owner to vote or you had to be 25 a male to vote, had to be a certain color to vote. Now, 0203 over time, that has gotten better; but in our southern states, in particular, it has not been an easy journey to get to where we are. So what -- what would be wrong with just simply coming up with some simple methodology and let the Secretary of State do that? SEN. FRASER: We have a simple 6 7 methodology. It's called going into a session on the 8 second Tuesday of every -- you know, every odd year. And you, as my desk mate, sitting beside me, I feel very 10 comfortable that we'll -- we'll get that -- you know, we'll look at it every couple of years. So I -- I think 12 the fact that we come back in, we're going to be given the opportunity every two years to -- to re-examine. 14 And there will be discussion about this, of whether it's 15 working or not. 16 SEN. ELLIS: To implement your bill, 17 you're going to use federal money to be able to do it. Where would that money be used if it was not going to be 18 19 used to implement this new system? 20 SEN. FRASER: Well, obviously -- and, 21 again, I don't want to speak for the Secretary of State's office. When they're here, they can give you an 23 ideal. But if there's a pretty good-sized pot of money 24 that's sitting there that we haven't spent yet and 25 we're -- you know, we're pretty good about being 0204 creative about, you know, where you spend money. So I'm 1 assuming that money is restrictive about where they can spend it, and I think probably this is a -- an 3 application where it fits. 5 And I guess to answer to your question, I don't know. You can ask them, but I think this is a 6 7 good place to spend it. 8 SEN. ELLIS: Would a new change go into 9 effect in the next cycle? 10 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. Do that again. 11 SEN. ELLIS: With a new election change, a major requirement going into place for the next cycle 12 13 with new districts, you and I have new districts, do you think it would make sense to give people the ability to 14 15 register on that day with the photo ID you're requiring? 16 SEN. FRASER: No. 17 SEN. ELLIS: So could you go in and

TX\_00000987 JA 000986

register on that day because some people are just maybe

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 85 of 139
19 confused about this new requirement we're putting in
20 place?
21
            SEN. FRASER: We're going to spend a lot
22 of time and hopefully dollars educating both the public
23
   and the -- the workers, and I think the system will work
24 very well like it is.
25
            SEN. ELLIS: Your bill looks -- I mean,
0205
1 it's obviously a bit more stringent, bit more onerous
   than the bill you had last session. And based on the
   questions with you and, I think, Senator Van de Putte
   earlier, it looks like this bill is also more stringent
5
   than the Indiana bill that you modeled it after.
            SEN. FRASER: That -- you missed the
6
7
   conversation we just had with -- with Senator Van de
8
   Putte. That is not the case. It actually is -- is a
   very, very small change between --
10
            SEN. ELLIS: They take student --
            SEN. FRASER: Huh?
11
12
            SEN. ELLIS: They take student IDs --
13
            SEN. FRASER: Well, I --
14
            SEN. ELLIS: -- in Indiana?
            SEN. FRASER: We -- we have four forms of
15
16 IDs in this bill that we're accepting, but we're also
17
   listening to the debate. Indiana has six forms.
   Georgia I think expands it to about eight. So it's the
18
19
   number -- the type of, but they're all photo --
20
   government-issued photo IDs.
21
            SEN. ELLIS: Okay. So I guess when I say
22 it's more onerous, there are more people in Texas who
23
   would have a student ID than a passport.
24
            SEN. FRASER: Not advised.
25
            SEN. ELLIS: Okay. Do you know how many
0206
1
   Americans have a passport?
2
           SEN. FRASER: Not advised.
3
            SEN. ELLIS: Well, I know from the press
   counts, you and I have one. But -- but I'll just tell
5
   you --
            SEN. FRASER: We don't -- we don't talk
6
7
   about that.
8
           (Laughter)
9
            SEN. ELLIS: We've gone to a few places
10 together.
11
            Six percent of the people, I think, in
   America have passports. I think about the lowest
   percentage for most nations in the top 20, 6 percent of
14 the people in America have passports. So I guess I'm
   saying, why would you choose that as one of your forms
16 of ID as opposed to a student ID when you know we have
   problems getting young people sometimes to focus for
18 more than a week? But folks who have a passport, you've
    got to be fairly worldly, shall we say, to go get a
   passport. And if the number is 6 percent in America,
                                                    TX 00000988
```

JA 000987

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 86 of 139 21 I'm just guessing less than 6 percent of the people in 22 Texas have a passport. 23 SEN. FRASER: We know the people that are 24 issuing the passports. We don't know where all the 25 student IDs are coming from because not all student IDs 0207 1 are issued with, you know, our -- our input. So the easy answer to that is that we want to make sure that we have something that is easily recognizable to the poll worker, and we can verify that it is -- it is valid. 5 SEN. ELLIS: What if we tried to put in a student ID from a state institution so at least we did 6 7 that. 8 SEN. FRASER: Senator, if you want to offer amendments, as I told Senator Gallegos, I draw 10 them up, get it to you where I can look at it and get plenty of time to look at it. There's -- you know, 11 we're going to look at every amendment. If you -- you 12 know, you can throw anything out. We'll discuss it. 13 But, I mean, the thing we're trying to do 14 15 is we're trying to make it easy as possible on the Secretary of State and the poll worker as we implement, 16 17 making sure that it's easily identifiable but also, you 18 know, is good public policy. SEN. ELLIS: Well, I'm just asking -- now, on the fast track. I mean, I -- I'd like to be working on the budget or something else, but --SEN. FRASER: I didn't put it --SEN. ELLIS: -- since you put it on the 25 fast track.

19 20 I hate to take your time, but, I mean, you -- you put it 21 22 23

24

0208

6

1 SEN. FRASER: I didn't put it on the fast track. I'm -- you know, I did not put it on the fast track. I think the -- the person in the center office 3 put it on a -- as an emergency bill and --5 SEN. ELLIS: So you really don't want to

do this, do you? SEN. FRASER: I am standing here 8 explaining it to you because I think it's good public policy. 9

10 SEN. ELLIS: I'll leave you alone after 11 this one.

12 But based on the election results of the 13 last cycle, what fraud will your side of the aisle be 14 worried about? Senator Whitmire raised that with me the 15 other day. I'm saying this: As well as your side did, seems like my side ought to be a little bit more worried 16 17 about if there was some fraud.

18 SEN. FRASER: I think if you look at the 19 polling in your district, your district is worried 20 because they're telling you you need to vote for it; and 21 I'm telling you, you're on the wrong side of this issue. 22 SEN. ELLIS: I respectfully would say you

TX 00000989 JA 000988

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 87 of 139 ought to be a little careful with that notion of what 23 24 polling data says. I'm willing to bet you, Troy, when 25 our predecessors stood on this floor and sat in these 0209 1 seats and passed most of the restrictions, that at some point were in state law, the polling data indicated they were on the right side of history; but you and I know 3 they were on the wrong side of it. 4 5 SEN. FRASER: All I can tell you is the question's pretty straightforward. It said -- they asked the people in your area, "Should you have to show 7 a photo ID when you vote?" And the number across, 8 Republican, Democrat, Hispanic, African American, 10 others, were overwhelming. SEN. ELLIS: Well, let me ask you this: 12 If I come up with some polling data that says they would support same-day registration, recognizing student ID, 14 exempting people over 70 forever, not just for those who 15 hit 70 before the next election cycle, to what extent 16 would you be voting based on what the polling says? 17 SEN. FRASER: Well, come -- come forward 18 with your data. But I can tell you the things you've 19 mentioned, the only one that is applicable to this bill 20 is the -- the elderly because the same-day voting, those other things, that's another issue for another day. Doesn't fit on this bill. 23 SEN. ELLIS: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Chair recognizes Senator 25 Zaffirini. 0210 1 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. President -- or Mr. Chairman.

Senator Fraser, my first questions will

focus on the criminal justice impact, if you have a copy 5 of that.

SEN. FRASER: Well, excuse me, before you -- what your first question should be, do I still 8 have my thick book that you were impressed with last 9 time. My --10

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Yes. I was --

SEN. FRASER: I reread the data last night 11 that you were going to instruct your staff asking them 12 why you didn't have one. 13

14 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, good. I wish you 15 had it again.

16

SEN. FRASER: I do have it. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Good.

SEN. FRASER: Right here. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Good.

SEN. FRASER: I was -- oh, go ahead,

21 please.

17

18

19

20

22 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But do you have a copy of

23 your criminal justice impact statement? 24

SEN. FRASER: I do now.

TX 00000990 JA 000989 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: My first questions will

25 0211

10

12

13

14

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

16

18 19

20

0213

1 focus on that.

2 In the first paragraph, you'll see that it 3 states very clearly that the punishment for attempting to vote illegally would be enhanced from a Class A 5 misdemeanor to a state jail felony, and the punishment for illegal voting would be enhanced from a third degree 6 7 felony to a second degree felony. What would be the 8 impact on our state budget of increasing those 9 penalties?

SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I'm not advised as the impact on the budget, as you know. You're on finance, I'm not. You would know that.

The second question I'm assuming you're asking is, why we would consider doing this? Actually, these suggestions were brought forward by Democratic members of your delegation that said, "Why don't we go ahead and increase it?" So we increased the penalties for fraud. So the recommendations on doing this, it actually was across the board. We had people on both sides, but there was recommendations that we increase these penalties.

The impact of the cost to the budget, I'm sorry, I'm not advised. My job is to make sure the public is well served, and if someone commits fraud 25 by -- by voter impersonation, that the penalties are 0212

1 strict.

2 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But I am concerned about 3 this, Senator, and I don't know what Democrat or what Republican asked you to make those changes. I was not 4 5 privy to that conversation.

6 But if you look at the last paragraph, it 7 says: Increasing the penalty for any criminal offense is expected to result in increased demands upon the correctional resources of counties or of the state due 10 to longer terms of probation, of longer terms of confinement and county jails or prison. And then it also states: When an offense is changed from a misdemeanor to a felony, there is a transfer of the burden of confinement of convicted offenders from the counties to the state. 15

So earlier there was senators who talked about unfunded mandates for the counties, but in this 17 case, we are -- we seem to be relieving the county of some of its burden but then increasing the burden to the state. And my question remains: At what cost?

21 Now, this bill, were it before the Finance Committee, we would have a fiscal note; but because it's not, it's because it's before the Committee of the Whole. We are restricted to the fiscal note that we 25 have here, and it's strange that we don't have a

> TX 00000991 JA 000990

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 89 of 139

- connection between the cost of the criminal justice impact and the fiscal note. It seems that there's two 3 independent documents, as they should be, but it seems to me, that the fiscal note should reflect the cost that 5 is defined or, at least, specified in the criminal justice impact statement.
- 7 SEN. FRASER: Well, and I think the easy answer to that -- I'll -- if someone else, if -- we may 8 9 defer to Senator Williams, if he wanted to comment, or another member. 10

11 But I think the easy answer to this is 12 that if we implement the photo ID, it's pretty straightforward, that someone -- if they're going in, if they have a driver's license and they're -- you're attempting to vote, that there's a good assumption that the driver's license is valid, that they are who they say they are. So I'm -- we're hoping that the deterrent 17 will be that people will not try to vote fraudulently, that the ones that are voting will be valid voters, and 19 20 we don't have a lot of people going to prison because 21 hopefully, they won't try to vote illegally. I --22 that's the hope.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, actually, Senator, that is why some of us are opposed to this bill because we don't understand the problem that has been defined. 0214

1 For example, in that same paragraph, it says: In fiscal

- year 2010, less than five people were under parole or
- 3 supervision for illegal voting. In fiscal year 2010,
- five offenders were placed on community supervision, and
- less than five offenders were released from community
- supervision for illegal voting or attempting to vote
- 7 illegally; and then more important, in fiscal year 2010,
- less than five people were arrested for illegal voting
- 9 or attempting to vote illegally.

10 So it seems to me that this criminal 11 justice impact statement makes the point that there 12 isn't a problem, especially if you look at the last sentence: It is assumed the number of offenders convicted under this statute would not result in a 15

significant impact on the programs and workload of state corrections agencies or on the demand for resources and

services of those agencies. So if they don't see an 17

18 increased demand in this area because they don't see

people being arrested, then where's the problem? 19

SEN. FRASER: Well, and I guess I just

21 disagree with your analysis of this, is that voter

- fraud, under current law, that our laws are so weak,
- it's virtually impossible to -- to catch one and
- convict; and that's the problem we're trying to address. 24
- 25 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, okay, Senator.
- 0215

20

23

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 My next questions will focus on the fiscal

TX 00000992

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 90 of 139
   note. Do you have a copy of the fiscal note?
3
4
            SEN. FRASER: I do. Somewhere.
5
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: I'll wait till you get
6
  it.
7
            SEN. FRASER: Yes.
8
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: You have it?
9
            SEN. FRASER: I have.
10
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: So the fiscal note shows
   $2 million but all in fiscal year 2012. Why aren't
11
   there recurring costs? Is that because the photo ID
    card is issued in perpetuity, or it doesn't have to be
   renewed?
14
15
            SEN. FRASER: Senator, again, you're --
16 you're a member of finance who would know. You know,
   this comes from LBB which did consultation with the
18
    affected parties, which are Secretary of State, DPS.
19
    We're going to have expert witnesses who will come up in
20
   a minute --
21
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Okay.
22
            SEN. FRASER: -- and they will explain how
23
   they delivered that data. I think probably what you're
24
    going to hear from them is that a lot of the initial
25
   cost would be in the education of the -- the -- the
0216
1
   Secretary of State educating both voters and poll
   workers and any initial -- the free cards that we're
3
   giving out, there will be more, probably, the first year
   than other years. I'm -- I'm assuming that's it, but I
5
   think I'd ask that question of the Secretary of State
6
   and DPS.
7
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, but, again, the
  fiscal note is submitted to the chair of the committee
   that hears the bill. You'll notice at the top of
10 Page 1, it is directed to Robert Duncan, chair of the
11 Senate Committee of the Whole, not to Senator Ogden,
12 chair of Finance. And so it is not for the Finance
13 Committee to consider the costs and the implications of
   these policy changes, but it's up to the Committee of
15
   the Whole; and we are the ones who have this fiscal
16
   note.
17
            And I challenged the fiscal note last
18 time. Remember it was zero, and I couldn't believe it?
    And I asked you questions about that, and I just
19
20
   couldn't believe it. And so now, all of a sudden, it's
21
    a fairly similar bill. Many would say more restrictive,
22
   but now it has a fiscal note of $2 million.
23
            And did you say earlier, Senator, that
24 this cost would be covered by HAVA funds?
25
            SEN. FRASER: And -- and the difference
0217
   between this year and two years ago, I think the
   assumption last year -- two years ago is that they would
   just be able to use the HAVA funds. And, again, I think
   you probably should ask the Secretary of State.
                                                     TX 00000993
                                                    JA 000992
```

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 91 of 139
5
            I believe since then, they have made a
  request of HAVA requesting that, and HAVA's response, I
   believe, is that they will wait until the bill is
7
   passed. And when the bill is passed, then they will
   make a determination on whether you could use the -- the
10 money. But we're also looking at history of other
    states. They have been allowed to use HAVA money.
12
            But, again, I think I'd ask the Secretary
13
   of State that question.
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, as the author of
14
15 this bill, would you prefer that the state pay this
   $2 million in costs, or would you prefer that we use
   federal funds?
17
            SEN. FRASER: I would prefer the money
18
19 that's sitting over here in a pot at the Secretary of
20
   State -- that has not been spent; obviously, I'd much
   rather use that.
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Do you know, Senator,
23
   what the HAVA funds are used for?
            SEN. FRASER: For educating -- it's the --
24
25 help America vote. It's to encourage voting.
0218
1
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: So basically, if we use
   the HAVA funds for this purpose, we are repurposing the
   HAVA funds that are already there and intended for
   things like new equipment and ongoing training programs?
5
            SEN. FRASER: Senator, I don't think -- I
6
  think the decision will be made by the federal agency
   that sent us the money, the HAVA people; and if they've
   already authorized other states to use this for voter --
9
   it's for voter education, and this would fall in the
   area of voter education, I would assume.
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, it's my
11
12 understanding, Senator, that it is for the state to
   submit a plan. The federal government doesn't tell us
   what to do in that area, not that it doesn't tell us in
   other areas.
15
16
            SEN. FRASER: And, Senator, I hate -- it's
17
   the same answer I've given multiple people before, is
   that the Secretary of State will be coming up. I think
19
   that's the person to address this.
20
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Do you have any
   suggestions regarding the training that is referred to
21
22
   on Page 2 of the fiscal note, local government impact?
            SEN. FRASER: I do not. That, again,
23
   will -- it is the job of the Secretary of State to
25
   administer that, recommend the training, and I believe
0219
   they have the authority under current law.
2
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: And you have no
3
   information, then, about any recurring costs that we
   should worry about?
5
            SEN. FRASER: I have none.
6
            SEN. ZAFFIRINI: And to whom would you
                                                     TX_00000994
                                                    JA 000993
```

file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 92 of 139 7 refer us on that issue? 8 SEN. FRASER: On recurring costs? 9 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Uh-huh. 10 SEN. FRASER: Could you give me an example? I don't -- I don't think I --11 12 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, the fiscal note shows all the expense in fiscal year 2012, and then it 13 14 doesn't show any other expenses --15 SEN. FRASER: I --SEN. ZAFFIRINI: -- beyond that. 16 17 SEN. FRASER: I would ask the Secretary of 18 State or DPS. 19 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: It just seems to me, 20 Senator, that there will be recurring costs because one example would be the State's responsibility to provide free photo ID cards on a recurring basis to the significant portion of our population that moves regularly. They move from one part of the state to another, and they might need a different card in that 0220 1 area. And that would be a recurring cost, would it not? SEN. FRASER: Senator, since 2006, there 2 have only been 37,000 people that registered to vote 3 that did not have a current driver's license. That --5 that's in the last five years. So the assumption is, the number that is coming into the system that would not 7 have a card, the number is very low. The cost of that card is not a huge number. So actually, the amount that it would cost to take care of them is a -- not a large number. 10 11 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: What I'm worried about, Senator, as a member of the Finance Committee, is unintended consequences and unexpected costs. Not unexpected because we don't foresee them and can't identify them, but because of the criminal justice 15 impact statement and because of the fiscal note that we 17 have that simply don't address these issues. 18 For example, Line 12, Page 12 of the bill, you refer to the cost of the get-out-the-vote efforts; 19 and basically, the fiscal note states: The analysis is incomplete because, quote, it is not known how many voter registration drives or other activities designed to expand voter registration would occur. So we don't even have an estimated cost of one voter registration 25 drive. And if it is our intent to ensure that we have 0221 1 more, we're not considering the cost, it seems to me that we are being irresponsible in terms of identifying 3 the exact cost or the best estimated cost of this bill. 4 SEN. FRASER: And we are -- have the 5 benefit of not being the first one to implement this. We don't have to reinvent the wheel. We can look at the 7 history of states that have implemented, like Indiana, Georgia, and others, look at common things that have

TX\_00000995 JA 000994

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 93 of 139 happened there. We're going to have a person from 10 Indiana here. I think it -- that would probably be a question you might ask, is the reoccurring cost, because they've had this in effect. I believe they passed it in

2006. 13 14 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But, of course, when we 15 talk about other states, including Indiana, we -- Texas is much bigger and much more diverse; and so our problems will be very different, our challenges will be very different, and I believe our costs will be 18 significantly higher. But, again, I'm concerned as a 20 member of the Finance Committee.

21 But speaking of costs related to other 22 states, are you aware, Senator, that in many, if not 23 all, of the states that have implemented photo ID bills, including those with less restrictive laws than the one 25 that you propose, they have been challenged in court. 0222

What costs are we anticipating regarding being 1 challenged in court because of this bill?

SEN. FRASER: I'm -- I'm not advised, that 3 you're making an assumption we'll be challenged, and 5 I'm -- I do not -- I'm not advised.

6 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: I think it's a pretty safe assumption. Indiana was challenged, and as I said, 8 many, if not all, of the states that have implemented 9 these bills have been challenged.

10 So I think, again, as members of the Finance Committee, as members of the Senate, even those 11 12 who are not members of the Finance Committee, should 13 look at that as a possibility and certainly should

consider the costs. Is this where we want to spend our

15 money? Even the \$2 million. What if HAVA funds are not

used for this purpose? Is this where we want to spend the \$2 million and significantly more in defending the 17

bill instead of addressing the other issues that we are 19 facing right now because of economic crisis in Texas?

20 SEN. FRASER: Was that a question?

21 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Yes. Is it?

SEN. FRASER: Is what? Should --

23 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Is this where we want to

24 spend our money?

25 SEN. FRASER: It's -- the decision on

0223

6

10

22

12

that, you know, I'm not on Finance, you are. You're -you're -- you're asked to make those hard decisions. So

3 that, I would -- you know, that'll go back to the

Finance Committee.

5 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Okay.

SEN. FRASER: But you're also making an

7 assumption that there's going to be an expense, which I 8 don't think there will be one because I think we'll be

9 able to spend the HAVA funds.

SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. Well, we

TX\_00000996 JA 000995

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 94 of 139 disagree on those. I think those assumptions are fairly 12 safe. 13 Senator Fraser, Senator Van de Putte 14 distributed this map earlier. Have you seen this map? 15 SEN. FRASER: I have not. 16 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Basically, it shows 17 her -- if my -- Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Zaffirini? 18 19 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: If I may direct a 20 question to Senator Van de Putte? 21 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Pardon? 22 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: If I may direct a 23 question to Senator Van de Putte? 24 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Van de Putte 25 doesn't have the floor. 0224 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: That's why I'm asking. 1 2 SEN. FRASER: And -- and I won't yield. 3 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: You won't yield? 4 SEN. FRASER: No, I will not yield. 5 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. SEN. FRASER: You -- I'll be glad to 6 7 answer the question. 8 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. I simply wanted to ask if she planned to distribute this, and if so, I wasn't going to address it. 10 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: If you want to introduce 11 12 the exhibit, you're welcome to do so. We've marked it, 13 I think. 14 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. Then I would 15 like ---16 SEN. FRASER: Senator, I'm sorry. I have 17 a map in front of me. I had not seen it, so --18 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. Well, Senator Van de Putte has indicated that I can request permission 19 to introduce this as an exhibit. 21 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. I think it's been 22 marked, and would you -- would you bring it down, please? 23 24 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: I believe Senator Van de 25 Putte has a clean copy. And this is a map that Senator Van de Putte had developed, and it's titled, "Counties With Department of Public Safety Driver's License Office 3 Closures." 4 My question, Senator Fraser, would focus 5 on my district. For example, in my district, which comprises 16 counties and part of Bexar, Northeast 7 Bexar, there is one county that has wheelchair accessibility barriers; there are two counties that have absolutely no driver's license offices; there are four 10 that have offices that are temporarily closed; and there 11 is one that has an office that is open three days or 12 fewer each week. And so you can see the accessibility TX 00000997 JA 000996

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 95 of 139 13 issues that we're dealing with, and you can -- when you 14 get the map -- oh, you do have a copy of the map. You 15 can see the difference throughout the state. There are 16 some states that you can see have a lot of pink, a lot 17 of blue, a lot of green, and then -- counties, rather --18 and there are others that are just white, that have 19 absolutely no barriers. 20 So, Senator Fraser, looking at this map, 21 are you concerned that this bill would impact certain counties that have a problem related to the accessibility to driver's license offices? CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Zaffirini, if I 24 25 could -- before you get an answer to that question, 0226 let's get it in the record so everybody knows what we're 1 talking about. 3 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: All right. 4 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: It's Exhibit --5 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: It's Exhibit 6, I 6 believe. Is that correct? It's not the two that you've 8 previously submitted. 9 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: No. 10 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Is that correct? 11 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: It's Exhibit 6, then, 12 according to --13 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. 14 SEN. NELSON: Mr. Chairman? 15 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: And for what purpose? 16 SEN. NELSON: It's me, and to ask Senator Zaffirini a question or to point out that some of us do 17 18 not have a copy of this map. 19 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Well, that would 20 be a parliamentary inquiry and --21 SEN. NELSON: Then I would like to make 22 that. 23 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: That's what I'm trying 24 to clear up, is I'm trying to get the exhibit in so that 25 we can distribute it so that everyone can understand 0227 what the questions are. 1 2 Would you identify it, please? What's the 3 title of it? 4 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Yes. It is titled, "Counties with Department of Public Safety Driver's 5 6 License Office Closures." It is a map of Texas showing 7 this -- these issues, and it was developed by Senator Van de Putte. I had assumed that she had introduced it 9 into the record or had planned to, but I'm happy to do 10 it. 11 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Do we have 12 copies? 13 SEN. NELSON: We don't. Only the 14 Democrats do.

TX\_00000998 JA 000997

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 96 of 139
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Well, here's what
  15
  16 I would suggest so that other members have an
      opportunity to follow your questions and the answers,
  18 that we at least get copies of that exhibit and
  19
      distribute it, if we could do that. And then, so if we
     could defer on that until we get that done, Senator --
  20
  21
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Certainly.
  22
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: -- that would be
  23 helpful.
  24
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Absolutely. No problem,
  25 Mr. Chairman.
  0228
             Moving right along. I do have exhibit --
  1
    I guess it's 4 --
  3
             CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: We do have --
             SEN. ZAFFIRINI: -- and Exhibit No. 5 that
     I'd like to enter into the record --
             CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay.
  6
  7
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: -- at this time. And
    I'll wait until they're distributed, if you -- if I may
  8
  9
     be permitted.
  10
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Would you identify
  11 Exhibit 4, please?
  12
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Certainly. Exhibit 4 is
  13
     a copy of a driver's license with personal information
     obliterated.
  14
  15
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Thank you. And
  16 Exhibit 5?
  17
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Exhibit 5 is a letter
  18 directed to me, which I received today, from Spencer
     Overton, professor of law at the George Washington
  19
     University Law School and a member of the Carter-Baker
     Commission on federal election reform.
  22
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: All right. Those
  23
     exhibits will be received in the record and distributed
  24 to the members.
  25
              (Exhibit Nos. 4 and 5 marked and admitted)
  0229
             CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator, you're -- you
  1
     can --
  3
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Thank you.
             CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: -- continue on those
  5
     exhibits.
  6
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Senator Fraser, thank you
     for your courtesy and for your patience and your
  7
     stamina. I'm impressed, as always.
  8
  9
             On Page 4 of your bill, Senator Fraser,
  10 Line 8, it states that "and the voter's identity can be
  11 verified from the documentation presented under
  12 Subsection (b), the voter shall be accepted for voting."
  13 Can you describe what training the poll workers would
  14 receive to ensure that they are trained in
  15 identification verification?
  16
              SEN. FRASER: Senator, you're moving
                                                     TX 00000999
                                                    JA 000998
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 97 of 139 17 faster than I can. I'm on Page 4. Where are you 18 referring? 19 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Line 8 of the bill. 20 Well, basically, that's all it says, that if the 21 voter's -- that "If the voter's identity can be verified 22 from the documentation presented, the voter shall be accepted for voting." That's the only part that I'm 24 quoting, and then I'm asking what kind of training the 25 poll workers would undergo in identification 0230 verification. 1 SEN. FRASER: Great question to the 3 Secretary of State. SEN. ZAFFIRINI: To the Secretary of 4 5 State. 6 Do you worry at all, Senator, and I know -- I believe it was Senator Davis who asked this 8 question earlier: Do you worry at all about people who don't look like their driver's licenses at all? 10 SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry. I -- there's so 11 many things to worry about in life, that's -- you know, 12 the -- the question you're asking, I think, is covered 13 by the Secretary of State; and I believe they would make 14 a determination. 15 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, Senator Fraser, I 16 have distributed Exhibit 4. Would you take a good look 17 at that, please? 18 And, Members, I ask you to please look at 19 my Exhibit 4 and look at the photograph of this driver's license. Has anyone of you ever seen this person 20 before? He looks familiar? 21 22 SEN. FRASER: Yes. 23 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Can you identify this person? I'd like to ask this person to stand. 25 (Unidentified person stands) 0231

1 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Take a good look. Look at that picture. Look at him. That's right. That -and this picture was taken in 2006. Now, if I didn't 3 know Ray, who is my chief of staff, and I were to look at this picture, I would say, "You're not verified. You 5 can't vote. You're an imposter." Look at the 7 difference. Total difference, and yet this photograph was taken in 2006, and so it's current, it's valid. And

you can see if we who know him and have seen him, see 9 10 him every day, don't recognize his picture, imagine what

a poll worker would do with a driver's license like

12 this.

13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Mic off) 14 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: He's not a Laredoan, so 15 don't worry about it. 16 (Laughter) 17 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Senator Fraser, do you

understand why we worry? TX 00001000

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 98 of 139
  19
               (Senator Shapiro speaking without mic)
  20
               SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, it's a very good
      point to make, Senator Shapiro, that we should look at
  21
      our composite photos; and most of us don't look like
  23
      them, and yet they have the dates like 2008.
  24
               SEN. WEST: We keep using those pictures.
  25
               SEN. ZAFFIRINI: 2009. We sure keep using
  0232
     those pictures, so what would happen?
  2
              My next question, Senator Fraser, focuses
     on Exhibit 5.
  4
              And, Members, you have a copy of
     Exhibit 5.
              And it is a letter directed to me from
     Spencer Overton, professor of law from George Washington
  7
     University. And basically, I received this letter from
  8
     Professor Overton today, and it directly addresses
     Senate Bill 14's inconsistency with the Carter-Baker
      Commission.
  11
  12
              Specifically, the letter states that
  13 Professor Overton wrote this letter to, quote, Refute
      claims that Senate Bill 14 is consistent with the
  15 recommendations of the Carter-Baker Commission. And
  16 according to Professor Overton, quote, The Commissioners
  17 recommended requiring photo ID of voters only if state's
  18 assumed the responsibility to seek out citizens and
  19
      provide them with an ID free of charge, if states assume
  20 the responsibility to seek out unregistered citizens and
  21 register them and automatically update the registration
  22 of citizens when they move, and if states allow citizens
      without a photo ID to vote by signing an affidavit under
      penalty of perjury for the first two federal elections
  25 following adoption of the photo ID.
  0233
  1
              Now, Senator Fraser, this bill does not
     meet any of these criteria. Is that correct? Under
     your bill, the state would not assume any of these
  3
     responsibilities?
  5
              SEN. FRASER: Not advised.
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, I assure you,
  6
     Senator, that it does not. But Professor --
  8
              SEN. FRASER: I disagree.
  9
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Could you show me it
  10
     does, where in your bill it would allow this?
               SEN. FRASER: I'm not advised. This --
  11
  12 there's been no representation made that we are modeling
     this bill after the -- the Carter-Baker recommendations.
      This bill is moving forward as a bill that when someone
  14
     votes, they will present an ID to show they are who they
      say they are. The bill that I'm passing we think will
      be approved by the Supreme Court and will be approved by
  17
      Department of Justice.
  18
  19
               SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, then, let me ask
  20 you a question. Where in your bill does it specify that
                                                       TX 00001001
                                                      JA 001000
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 99 of 139 21 the state would assume the responsibility to seek out citizens and provide them with an ID free of charge? 23 SEN. FRASER: I would think it would be 24 your responsibility to show in the bill, you know, 25 your -- the bill speaks for itself. 0234 1 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: So you can't tell me if 2 your bill does that? 3 SEN. FRASER: The bill speaks for itself. The language of the bill is very clear as to what the --5 the issues we're addressing. 6 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Okay. Do you know, Senator Fraser, if this -- under your bill, the state would assume the responsibility to seek out unregistered citizens and to register them and automatically update 10 the registration of citizens when they move? 11 SEN. FRASER: I don't believe that is 12 covered in my bill. 13 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: It is not. 14 And do you know, Senator Fraser, if your 15 bill -- under your bill, the state would allow citizens 16 without a photo ID to vote by signing an affidavit under 17 penalty of perjury for the first two federal elections 18 following adoption of the photo ID bill? 19 SEN. FRASER: Every person that votes will 20 be required to have a photo ID. 21 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, basically, it seems 22 to me, my analysis is that Senate Bill 14, as introduced, does not meet these specifications of the 24 Carter-Baker Commission. 25 And what's more, in this letter that you 0235 have, Members, Professor Overton states that, quote, Even President Carter and Secretary Baker rejected the strict photo ID requirement initially adopted in Georgia 3 after concluding it was discriminatory because it was 5 costly or difficult for poor Georgians to obtain the identification for voting, unquote. But according to 7 Professor Overton, quote, It devotes insufficient resources to address the burdens it would impose on 9 Texas voters who lack photo ID. 10 SEN. FRASER: That is absolutely 11 incorrect. The original observation -- the bill that 12 was filed in Georgia was changed, and the bill that 13 originally -- that is in law now, that was not their 14 observation. And that was written in 2005. The bill

15 was replaced 2008. That was not their observation. 16 SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well --

17 SEN. FRASER: That it was -- I saw that

18 comment made in a 2005 comment, but you're also making

19 sure you don't take it out of context. And the -- the

20 law that had been passed by Georgia was revisited. They

passed a different law, and then that law was -- that

bill was precleared by Department of Justice.

TX 00001002 JA 001001

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 100 of 139
  23
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But it still required --
  24
              SEN. FRASER: So the bill he's --
  25
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: -- photo ID.
  0236
  1
              SEN. FRASER: -- addressing is not law --
     current law in Georgia.
  3
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: But the Georgia law still
  4
     requires a photo ID.
  5
              SEN. FRASER: Yes, it does.
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: It does.
  6
  7
              And finally, Professor Overton closes with
     his statement that the current proposal for a photo ID
  8
     law in Texas is inconsistent with the recommendations of
     the Carter-Baker Commission.
              SEN. FRASER: I disagree with that.
  11
  12
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Why, Senator?
  13
              SEN. FRASER: I just disagree with that.
  14
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Are there any specific
      points that you disagree with that he made or that I
  15
      quoted in his letter?
  16
  17
              SEN. FRASER: I'm -- you know, the letter
  18 that you're laying out is -- the first time I've seen it
  19 is just then. We're -- our bill is not -- we're not
  20
      trying to model it after that, but the Carter-Baker
  21
      Commission very clearly recommended a photo ID.
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, Senator, the reason
      that we asked for this letter, we followed up on your
      early statement when you laid out the bill. And you
  25 referred to the Carter-Baker Commission, and it was
  0237
     based on your statement that we followed up and did this
     immediate research and got this letter written to us.
              SEN. FRASER: Will you show me where I
     referred to it in my opening statement?
  5
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Well, I don't have the
  6
     transcript yet; but as I recall, you referred to it in
  7
     your opening statement.
  8
              SEN. FRASER: Do you want me to read what
  9
     I said again from the opening statement?
  10
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Yes, would you?
  11
              SEN. FRASER: I read two --
  12
              SEN. ZAFFIRINI: Your copy to the -- your
  13
      reference to the Carter-Baker Commission report.
  14
              SEN. FRASER: I said, "The Carter-Baker
  15 Commission reaffirms the dangers. Elections are at the
  16 hard democracy. Americans are losing confidence in the
  17
      fairness of elections, and while we do not face a crisis
      today, we need to address the problems of our electoral
  18
      system. At the end of the day, there's considerable
  20 national evidence of in-person fraud; and regardless of
     whether one believes that voter impersonation is
     widespread or relatively rare, there can be no serious
      dispute that -- that real effect can be substantial
  24 because in a close election, even a small amount of
                                                       TX_00001003
                                                      JA 001002
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

12 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Members, we've been going for a while, and I think it would be -- we're kind 13 of at a -- maybe getting close to a breaking point. Why don't we go ahead and take a ten-minute break and then 15 16 reconvene, give the court reporter and staff a minute or two to rest. So a time certain, we'll stand at ease 17 18 until 2:30. 19 (Recess: 2:21 p.m. to 2:34 p.m.) CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senate Committee of the 20 21 Whole will come back to order. Senator Hinojosa? 22 SEN. HINOJOSA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 Senator Fraser? 24 SEN. FRASER: These are actually pretty 25 good. 0240

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 102 of 139
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Can you hear me?
   1
  2
              SEN. FRASER: Yes, this is -- these are
  3
     much better. Yes, I do. I can hear you.
              SEN. HINOJOSA: I just have a few
  4
  5
     questions that I'd like to follow up on.
              Do you know how many people are registered
  6
  7
     to vote here in the state of Texas?
              SEN. FRASER: Oh, I do -- I'm sorry, I do
  8
  9
     not know.
  10
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Approximately, 13 million.
              SEN. FRASER: Okay. 13, yeah. Okay.
  11
  12
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Yeah. And do you know how
  13
      many voted in the last election?
  14
              SEN. FRASER: No, I'm not advised on that
  15 either. I'm sorry.
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Close to 5 million voters
  16
  17
      voted this last election. And do you know how many
      people were arrested or prosecuted or indicted for
  18
      trying to use somebody else's voter registration card?
  19
  20
              SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry, not -- no, I do
  21 not have that number.
              SEN. HINOJOSA: None?
  22
  23
              SEN. FRASER: I don't -- I don't have the
  24
      number, I'm sorry. I'm not advised.
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, do you have any
  25
  0241
  1
     evidence?
  2
              SEN. FRASER: I'm sorry?
  3
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Do you have any evidence?
  4
              SEN. FRASER: Evidence?
  5
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Yeah, evidence to support
     your bill about voter fraud when they go to vote?
              SEN. FRASER: Senator, you know the thing
  8
     that we're trying to address here is that, as you know,
     it's virtually impossible to defect voter fraud because
  9
  10 our current law makes it impossible not only to -- to
  11 verify that they're voting illegally, but even if you
  12 catch them, we don't have the ability to stop them from
  13 voting. So the -- the ability to stop someone today
  14 voting illegally is almost impossible in Texas. That's
  15 the thing that I'm trying to address with my bill, is
  16 that we believe if we make them show a voter ID, then we
      will know that they are who they represent themselves to
  17
  18 be.
  19
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Actually, Senator Fraser,
  20
      back home, most of the election judges know who the
  21
      voters are in their precincts.
  22
              SEN. FRASER: Well, that's interesting.
      Back home, in the area you're from, most of the -- or a
     lot of the stories that I've seen reported to the
  25 media -- and actually, you've got two voter registrars
  0242
  1 through your area that have endorsed this concept
     because they are -- they are having a problem with voter
                                                      TX_00001005
                                                     JA 001004
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 103 of 139 fraud, and I -- that actually -- I'm -- I'm responding to things I've read they've said in the media. But I believe there are numerous registrars that believe this 6 is a -- a large problem. SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, I hear what you're saying, but I don't see any evidence. There's a lot of anecdote, a lot of rumors and guessing and speculation, which I don't think it's a way to make good public 10 policy. Are you familiar with the Carter-Baker 13 Commission on federal election reform? SEN. FRASER: Senator, what are you -- I'm 15 sorry. What ---SEN. HINOJOSA: Are you familiar with the Carter-Baker Commission on federal election reform? 17 SEN. FRASER: Yes, I am. SEN. HINOJOSA: Okay. Are you aware that 20 by putting a requirement of having a photo ID to be able to vote, that there are approximately 3 million registered voters in the state of Texas that do not have 23 voter ID? SEN. FRASER: I don't know where you get 25 that number. SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, if you look at 3 million people who are going -- who will be kept from voting as compared to you cannot show anybody getting prosecuted -- getting prosecuted and convicted voter there's voter fraud. SEN. FRASER: One second, Senator. My -my iPhone is interfering with my microphone. The 3 million number, where do you get that?

0243 1 fraud, that's one big difference, one big price to pay for a bill that you don't have any evidence to support 7 8 9 10 11 12 SEN. HINOJOSA: That's the estimate by the Carter-Baker Commission on federal election reform that 13 here in Texas ---

5

7

8 9

12

14

16

18

19

24

15 SEN. FRASER: Can you -- can you show me 16 where it says in that Commission report? I don't 17 remember. 18 SEN. HINOJOSA: Yes, sir, it's a letter 19 dated January 24th, 2011, from Professor Spencer Overton 20 addressed to Senator Judy Zaffirini where he states that 21 approximately 3 million Texas voters do not have photo 22 ID.

23 SEN. FRASER: Senator, that is --24

(Simultaneous speaking)

25 SEN. FRASER: -- pure speculation by that 0244

- gentleman. He has nothing to base that on, and that is
- not in reference to the Carter-Baker report. That is a estimation by some, you know, political hack that --
- that y'all have asked to write a letter.

TX 00001006 JA 001005

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 104 of 139
  5
              SEN. HINOJOSA: Well, actually, I thought
  6
     it was the opposite. I thought your side was pure
  7
     speculation. Thank you.
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The chair recognizes
  8
  9
     Senator Williams.
  10
               SEN. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
               Would Senator Fraser yield for some
  11
  12
      questions?
  13
               SEN. FRASER: I will yield.
  14
               SEN. WILLIAMS: Senator Fraser, there's
  15
      several things that I wanted to clear up for the record.
  16
              The first, I'd like to make a reference
      back to the Secretary of State has recently sent this
  18 letter -- she sent it over today -- that indicated that
  19 there would be probably $2 million of the HAVA funds
  20 that would be available for voter education, to help
      fund the voter education efforts that we would have in
  22 connection with this bill. And it would be -- normally,
      it would be the Secretary of State's office who would
      develop what those problem programs are with taking into
  25
      account our legislative intent about what we're trying
  0245
  1
     to accomplish. Is that right?
  2
              SEN. FRASER: Yes.
              SEN. WILLIAMS: The other thing that I
  3
     wanted to correct, for the record, Senator Watson opined
  5
     earlier that a lot of this funding for these items had
     been struck in the budget, and actually, I went back and
     pulled a copy of the budget. I had not looked at this
  8
     part, and so there were some budget riders that had
  9
     expired and that were no longer relevant in the current
  10 budget. Those were struck. And under Strategy B.1.4,
      under elections improvement, administer Federal Help
  12 America Vote Act, we actually have, it looks like, a
      total of about $43 million over the next biennium that's
      been appropriated in the budget that Senator Ogden laid
  15
      out for us earlier. So I just wanted to clear that up
      for the record because that's kind of been a moving
  17
      target.
  18
               Another question that I had for you was
  19
      the -- I wanted to go back, if I could, and -- and just
      touch on what my understanding after hearing all this
      questioning that's gone on, what your -- the purpose of
  21
  22
      your bill is -- really is to deter and detect fraud
      in-person voter fraud at the polls. Is that correct?
  23
  24
               SEN. FRASER: That is correct.
  25
               SEN. WILLIAMS: Okay. And has the United
  0246
     States Supreme Court -- I believe they've stated that
     it's been documented throughout our nation's history by
  3
    respected historians and journalists, and they
     demonstrate not only that the risk of voter fraud is
     very real, but they could affect the outcome in a close
     election. Does Senate Bill 14 provide the kind of
                                                        TX_00001007
                                                       JA 001006
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 105 of 139 safeguard against that fraud that might be crucial in an 8 election? 9 SEN. FRASER: Yes, it does, Senator. 10 SEN. WILLIAMS: Now, we've had some close 11 elections, even in the Texas Legislature. I know over in the House right now, there is an election contest 13 that's been -- for Senate, State House District 48. 14 It's being contested. The last numbers that I saw from 15 the Secretary of State showed that Donna Howard had won 16 her seat by 12 votes, which amounts to .02 percent of all the votes cast in that race. And, of course, back 18 in 2008, Linda Harper Brown up in Dallas County defeated her opponent by 19 votes, or .05 percent of the total 20 votes cast in that race. Are those the kind of close elections you 22 think that the Supreme Court might have been referencing 23 when they said in Crawford 533 U.S. at 11-12 that 24 it's -- the threat's not only real, but it's actually --25 you know, it demonstrates it's not real, but it could 0247 1 affect the outcome of a close election? SEN. FRASER: The answer is absolutely, 2 3 yes, and it actually the -- it's even closer to home. 4 Senator Jackson, when he was elected to the Texas House, ended up winning by seven votes. 5 6 SEN. WILLIAMS: Landslide Jackson --7 SEN. FRASER: Landslide Jackson. 8 SEN. WILLIAMS: -- I think they called 9 him. 10 SEN. FRASER: So if -- fraud, in an election like that, could have changed history. 11 SEN. WILLIAMS: Senator Fraser, Senate 12 Bill 14 provides safeguards to protect the reliability 13 and integrity of our voting system, especially those in 15 close elections like we've just talked about? 16 SEN. FRASER: Yes. 17 SEN. WILLIAMS: Okay. I believe in this 18 Crawford v. Marion, on Page 10, the Supreme Court brief, 19 they quoted -- the United States Supreme Court quoted 20 the Carter-Baker report that has been referenced here. And in that report, their quote was, "There's no 22 evidence of extensive fraud in the U.S. elections or of multiple voting, but both occur, and it could affect the 24 outcome of a close election. The electoral system 25 cannot inspire public confidence if no safeguards exist 0248 1 to deter or detect fraud or to confirm the identity of voters. Photo identification cards currently are needed 3 to board a plane, enter federal buildings, and cash a check. Voting is equally important." 4 5 Is that your understanding? Is Senate Bill 14 designed to inspire that public confidence in 7 close elections like --8 SEN. FRASER: Yes, it is.

TX\_00001008 JA 001007

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 106 of 139
  9
              SEN. WILLIAMS: -- we talked about?
  10
               Senator Fraser, do you recall the
  11 testimony and exhibits that we provided in 2009 -- now
      it's been admitted earlier today as Exhibit 1 -- that
  13
      detail the extensive voter fraud in Harris County and
      other areas of the state?
  14
  15
               SEN. FRASER: Yes, I'm very familiar with
  16 it.
  17
               SEN. WILLIAMS: Okay. Senator, having
  18 listened to what I heard and just read a minute ago from
      the Carter-Baker Commission and the language that was
      adopted from them in the Supreme Court brief, are you
  20
      aware of how difficult it is to not only to discover but
      to prosecute voter fraud?
  23
               SEN. FRASER: Yes, it is very difficult.
  24
               SEN. WILLIAMS: And having said that,
  25 do -- do you think that that's one of the reasons we
  0249
  1
     don't see many of these cases that are prosecuted
     because if someone is voting deceptively as someone
     else, it's going to be very difficult to discover that
  4
     if they're successful?
  5
              SEN. FRASER: And that was recognized by
  6
     the U.S. Supreme Court in their decision.
  7
              SEN. WILLIAMS: So are you offering Senate
     Bill 14 as a tool for the state of Texas to detect and
  9
     deter this type of voter fraud and further inspire
      confidence in our voters and the voting system, to make
      sure that all Texans and all of our elections are
      conducted with the upmost integrity and equity to all
  13
      Texans?
  14
               SEN. FRASER: Absolutely. That would be
  15 my reasoning.
  16
               SEN. WILLIAMS: Okay. Couple of things
      that I just think that it was important to get back into
  17
      the record again about what the Supreme Court actually
      said in Crawford v. Marion; and all of this, of course,
  19
      was included in the record last time.
               I thought it was interesting that Justice
  21
  22 Stevens comments about this. He said first, the state
      has an interest in deterring and detecting voter fraud.
      They have a valid interest in participating in a
  25 nationwide effort to improve and modernize the election
  0250
  1 procedures that have been criticized as antiquated and
    inefficient, and the state, in that case, also argues
  3
    that it has a particular interest in preventing voter
  4 fraud in response to a problem that is, in part, the
  5
     product of its own maladministration; namely, that in
     the case -- in this case, Indiana's voter registration
  6
  7
     roles included a large number of people who were either
  8
     deceased or no longer live in Indiana.
  9
              Now, Senator Fraser, when I look back at
  10 the record that we had introduced as Exhibit 1 today,
                                                        TX 00001009
                                                       JA 001008
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

# 

- 11 didn't that record include many, many instances where we
- 12 had people who were registered at fictitious addresses
- 13 who had been voting or people who were deceased? I
- 14 think my own brother came and testified that our
- 15 grandfather had voted for 62 years after his death, and
- 16 my grandmother had a very difficult time trying to get
- 17 him taken off the voter roles and, in fact, had not been
- 18 able to do so.
- 19 SEN. FRASER: Yes, I'm -- I'm -- remember
- 20 that very well.
- 21 SEN. WILLIAMS: Okay. And so, you know,
- 22 there's been a lot of talk about the burden on people,
- 23 and Senator Davis made some very compelling and
- 24 interesting remarks in her comments. But I would say
- 25 that, you know, wouldn't you think that especially for 0251
- 1 the elderly, which we've had a big focus on here today,
- 2 of the inconvenience on elderly voters, people who are
- 3 age 65, don't they have an opportunity to use a mail-in
- 4 ballot and they completely bypass any restrictions that
- 5 your bill or inconveniences that it might cause them?
- 6 SEN. FRASER: I'm actually surprised at
- 7 the percentage now of people that do mail in ballots.
- 8 That percentage continues to increase, and so someone
- 9 that did have a problem getting to the polls -- and, you
- 10 know, I gave the example last year of my -- my mother in
- 11 the retirement center, that she couldn't get to the --
- 12 it was too much -- it's too hard for her to get to the
- 13 polls, but she voted by mail. And there's -- there are
- 14 people in that category, and we have that safeguard in
- 15 Texas.
- SEN. WILLIAMS: Well, and -- and I think
- 17 we all care about everyone being able to exercise their
- 18 constitutional right to vote, and along with the
- 19 provisions that you have for people that are 70 and over
- 20 plus the mail-in ballots and the fact that provisional
- 21 ballots can be cast and allow people with expired
- 22 licenses and that sort of thing the opportunity to prove
- 23 up who they are, don't you think that addresses many of
- 24 the concerns that have been raised here today?
- 25 SEN. FRASER: Absolutely. They -- and
- 0252
- 1 that was our intent, is that obviously, we want to make
- 2 sure everyone is afforded the -- the ability to vote,
- 3 and we think we have those provisions in place so that
- 4 all Texans, every Texan, will be allowed to vote.
- 5 SEN. WILLIAMS: Well, I -- I think it's
  - also interesting, and you've noted several times today,
- 7 that so far as we could determine from our research,
- 8 there isn't a single voter in Indiana or Georgia who's
- 9 raised the issue that they've been disenfranchised since
- 10 those laws have been enacted. Is that true, to the best
- 11 of your knowledge?
- SEN. FRASER: To the best of my knowledge.

TX\_00001010

# 

- 13 And we have asked that question repeatedly, and to the
- 14 best of our knowledge, we have -- not a single person
- 15 has come forward in either state.
- SEN. WILLIAMS: And I think it's -- you
- 17 know, when I look at the syllabus of the Crawford v.
- 18 Marion County election board case that went to the Texas
- 19 Supreme Court, they note in the syllabus that there's no
- 20 question about the legitimacy or importance of the
- 21 State's interest in counting only eligible votes. And I
- 22 think they go on to say that -- that requiring that and
- 23 the fact that the cards in the Indiana case, as we're
- 24 doing, they make those cards free. The inconvenience of
- 25 going -- of gathering the required documents, posing for 0253
- 1 a photograph, does not qualify as a substantial burden
- 2 on most voters' right to vote or represent a significant
- increase over the usual burdens of voting. And I think
- 4 that's interesting that that was noted.
- 5 And those provisions that we have are
- 6 essentially -- in your bill, there are very similar
- 7 provisions with respect to those matters. Correct?
- 8 SEN. FRASER: They -- yes, and I want to
- 9 clarify. The Crawford case went to the U.S. Supreme
- 10 Court, and those observations were made in the -- the
- 11 majority opinion.
- SEN. WILLIAMS: Now, they go on to say
- 13 that it's generally applicable, nondiscriminatory voting
- 14 regulation, it's universally applicable, it's imminently
- 15 reasonable because the burden of acquiring, possessing,
- 16 and showing a free photo identification is not a
- 17 significant increase over the usual voting burdens, and
- 18 the State's interest are sufficient to sustain whatever
- 19 those minimal burdens are.
- 20 So we know there's some inconvenience, but
- 21 we've done everything we can to make that inconvenience
- 22 as insignificant as possible. Is that --
- SEN. FRASER: I will actually go with that
- 24 in the -- the Crawford/Indiana case.
- 25 SEN. WILLIAMS: Just in closing, in my
- 0254
- 1 final comments as -- before we go to take testimony, I
- 2 just think that it's noteworthy to look back at what the
- 3 opponents of this legislation have said on the floor
- 4 thus far today, and what I've heard is very little
- 5 debate about the actual content of your legislation.
- 6 And I think that speaks to the fact that it's
- 7 unequivocally a good idea that people ought to be able
- 8 to be positively identified as who they say they are
- 9 when they come to vote.
- What I've heard today is a lot of talk
- 11 about procedures, even though what we're doing is very
- 12 normal for a Committee of the Whole, and it's the same
- 13 procedure that we used the last session when we
- 14 considered this. Is that correct, Senator Fraser?

TX\_00001011 JA 001010

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 109 of 139 15 SEN. FRASER: It is, and I think it's very 16 difficult for a member to argue the merits of the bill when it's so straightforward when you ask someone in 17 18 their district do they think that someone should --19 should have -- be required to show a photo ID when they 20 vote, that you've got near 90 percent of the population across the state of Texas. Again, every one of these members, it's hard to argue of the merits -- argue the 23 merits of the bill. 24 SEN. WILLIAMS: Yeah, the other thing that 25 I've heard that I think is interesting is fiscal notes. 0255 A lot of talk about fiscal notes, even though we have a letter from the Secretary of State that states that there are going to be HAVA funds that will be available 4 to help with the voter education, and I think we're going to have testimony in a few moments. 6 And I tried to clarify that early on that 7 the cost of issuing for the state these free ID cards is less than \$2. It's a very minimal cost, and with almost 8 16 million people that we have who have a driver's 10 license or -- or an ID card now, it seems unlikely that there's going to be a whole lot of people out of that 12 13 million that actually don't already have a driver's 13 license or a state ID card. 14 In fact, Senator Fraser, I spoke last 15 night with the Department of Public Safety and today 16 with the Secretary of State and just asked them if it 17 would be possible for us to target those voters who are 18 below age 65 and have -- don't have an ID card, a driver's license or an ID card issued by the state; and 19 they said, yes, it would be possible for us to direct our voter education to those people specifically so that we could step it up and let them know before your bill takes effect -- not till, when, in January? Is that --24 am I remembering that correctly? 25 SEN. FRASER: January, 2012. 0256 SEN. WILLIAMS: So a year from now. So 1 we've got a lot of time to let these people know what's coming. 3 And then the other thing I've heard a lot about is current law, and, you know, there's been a lot 5 of discussion. In fact, a lot of what we've talked 7 about is what's actually on the books right now, and your bill is not touching any of that top side or 9 bottom. Really, most of what you do is very limited by changing what the requirements are when you come to the 10 polls. Is that correct? There's not any other real substantive change to election law here. 12 13 SEN. FRASER: We're only addressing the --14 the actual in-person voting and the identification required when somebody votes in person. We're not addressing mail-in ballots or any of the other

TX\_00001012 JA 001011

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 110 of 139
   provisions. It's just that one section.
17
18
            SEN. WILLIAMS: Well, thank you for
19
   allowing me to question you about this and I appreciate
   you bringing this issue before us and I especially
   appreciate the fortitude that you've shown during this
22 long debate. Thank you.
23
            SEN. FRASER: Thank you, Senator.
            CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Chair recognizes Senator
24
25 Shapiro.
0257
1
            SEN. SHAPIRO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
           I would just like to ask one question
   because we're getting mixed signals, and I just want to
   make sure. It's just going to take a yes-or-no answer,
   and I think that will be the easiest.
6
           In Section 7 of your bill, which is
   actually on Page 5, the requirements for identification
8
   prescribed for people who do not have to have a vote --
   a photo ID, where it references their age, does the bill
10 require that people 70 or older present a voter
11 registration card and that they be at least 70 years of
12 age on January 1st, 2012?
13
            SEN. FRASER: My understanding and this
14 is, again, something probably the Secretary of State
15 will address, but I believe your age is -- is on the
16 card. So if someone is 70 on January 1, 2012, they will
17
   not be asked to show a photo ID.
18
            SEN. SHAPIRO: Okay. And this is
19
   something that the Secretary of State has put into this
20 bill?
21
            SEN. FRASER: No. No. I --
22
            SEN. SHAPIRO: This is something that you
23 have --
24
            SEN. FRASER: -- inserted it into the
25 bill. It'd be your interpretation --
0258
1
            SEN. SHAPIRO: I got you.
2
            SEN. FRASER: -- to -- to make sure --
3
            SEN. SHAPIRO: Identify whether it's at
   hand?
5
            SEN. FRASER: -- that they can identify
   themselves --
6
7
            SEN. SHAPIRO: Okay.
8
            SEN. FRASER: -- but it's not intended
9
   that they would -- I believe they're --
10
            SEN. SHAPIRO: Separate.
11
            SEN. FRASER: Yes.
12
            SEN. SHAPIRO: It's not intended to be
13
   separate. It's intended ---
14
            SEN. FRASER: No.
15
            SEN. SHAPIRO: -- to be the same document.
            SEN. FRASER: Yes, as long as they're --
16
17
            SEN. SHAPIRO: Okay.
18
            SEN. FRASER: -- you know, 70 on
                                                    TX 00001013
```

JA 001012

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 111 of 139
19
   January 1, 2012.
20
            SEN. SHAPIRO: And the date of birth is on
21 our current voter registration card?
            SEN. FRASER: You need to ask that of the
22
23 Secretary of State.
24
            SEN. SHAPIRO: Okay. And my recollection
25 is it is. Thank you.
0259
1
           SEN. FRASER: Okay.
2
           CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Chair recognizes Senator
3
   Huffman.
           SEN. HUFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4
5
           Senator Fraser, will you yield for a
6
   couple of questions?
7
           SEN. FRASER: I would love to yield.
8
           SEN. HUFFMAN: Thank you, sir. I'd like
   to commend you, too, for a long day of answering a lot
10
   of tough questions.
            SEN. FRASER: Thank you.
11
12
            SEN. HUFFMAN: But I think it's important,
13
   as we kind of wrap this part of the procedures up today,
14 that -- that we circle back to -- to the idea and the
15 concept that -- that we got here today. But there is a
   line of Supreme Court cases that have brought us here.
16
17
    Would you agree with that?
18
            SEN. FRASER: Yes.
            SEN. HUFFMAN: And certainly, the Crawford
19
20
   v. Marion case gives us guidance on how to do what we're
    doing here today properly. Would you agree --
            SEN. FRASER: I think that's the one --
22
23
            SEN. HUFFMAN: -- with that?
24
            SEN. FRASER: -- was referenced, I think,
25 in the Indiana case, I believe.
0260
1
           SEN. HUFFMAN: Okay.
2
           SEN. FRASER: And that's yes.
3
           SEN. HUFFMAN: And did you, as you sat
   down with your staff and so forth in, you know,
5
   pre-session, in the interim, and you started thinking
  about this bill and so forth, did you and your staff
7
   take into consideration Crawford v. Marion and try to
   follow the law and the rules the Supreme Court has laid
9
   out for us?
10
            SEN. FRASER: Yes, without a doubt.
11 That's already been approved by the Supreme Court, and
12
   obviously, we wanted to make sure we stayed within those
13
   parameters.
14
            SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. Now, you know,
15 the Supreme Court, I think -- we know that the Supreme
   Court has told us that there is a balancing test, and we
   understand that the right to vote is sacred. And so we
   know that the law tells us that if there is a burden
    placed upon a voter, that they're going to look very
   carefully at that; and it's going to have weight, but
                                                   TX_00001014
                                                  JA 001013
```

- 21 it's going to be balanced against legitimate state
- 22 interest. And so I think what we need to explore, just
- 23 briefly, is that, in fact, we -- we have legitimate
- 24 state interest. The state of Texas has an interest to
- 25 make sure that our elections are done with -- well, as 0261
- 1 perfect as we can get them but with integrity, right,
- 2 and with voter confidence.
- 3 So as you prepared the bill and as you
- 4 look at the bill -- and the Supreme Court has told us
- 5 that there are legitimate interests, and they define
- 6 those for us. So as you prepared the bill and you look
- 7 at Senate Bill 14 today, do you think that it addresses
- 8 the relevant and legitimate concerns of deterring and
- 9 detecting voter fraud? And I know you've been asked
- 10 this question a lot.
- 11 SEN. FRASER: Absolutely.
- SEN. HUFFMAN: Right. Do you think that
- 13 it -- that it's important in that the bill will help to
- 14 improve and modernize the election procedures of Texas?
- 15 SEN. FRASER: Yes.
- SEN. HUFFMAN: Do you think that there's a
- 17 larger scheme nationwide through the Help America Vote
- 18 Act and the National Voter Registration -- Registration
- 19 Act to do just that, to make elections come up to modern
- 20 times?
- 21 SEN. FRASER: Absolutely.
- 22 SEN. HUFFMAN: Do you think that Senate
- 23 Bill 14 will help to prevent voter fraud and actually
- 24 help to ensure that only the votes of eligible Texas
- voters are counted in these crucial elections that 0262
- 1 happen in the state of Texas?
- 2 SEN. FRASER: That is our intent, and we
- 3 believe the bill does that.
- 4 SEN. HUFFMAN: And do you believe that
- 5 once we have established these safeguards, that the
- 6 voters will feel more confident about their vote being
- 7 counted and only the votes of registered Texans who can
- 8 vote to be counted?
- 9 SEN. FRASER: Yes, that is our belief.
- SEN. HUFFMAN: Do you think that once
- 11 that's established, that it will actually encourage the
- 12 democratic process and that it will encourage more
- 13 voters to go to the polls?
- SEN. FRASER: The thing we've seen in
- 15 other states that have implemented photo ID, the -- the
- 16 voter turnout actually increased. And so, yes, we
- 17 believe the confidence in the voters will increase, and
- 18 we believe it will actually increase the voting
- 19 percentages.
- SEN. HUFFMAN: Now, we've heard comments
- 21 today from many senators, Senator Whitmire, Senator
- 22 Davis, Senator Uresti, about hypothetical burdens that

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 113 of 139

- 23 may be placed on some hypothetical voter. But taking 24 that into account and looking at and trying to balance 25 it, do you feel like we have a bill here that -- that 0263 1 presents and moves forward our legitimate interest in Texas as it regards voting? 3 SEN. FRASER: Without a doubt. 4 SEN. HUFFMAN: All right. 5 SEN. FRASER: We believe it does. SEN. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much, Senator Fraser. SEN. FRASER: Thank you, Senator. 8 9 SEN. HUFFMAN: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Chair recognizes Senator Wentworth. 11 12 SEN. WENTWORTH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 Will the gentleman yield? 14 SEN. FRASER: I will yield. 15 SEN. WENTWORTH: Senator, I want to compliment you on your long hours of being on your feet 16 17 in responding to these questions. I just wanted to touch on a couple of things. 18 One is we had -- we had some testimony 19 20 here two years ago on a very similar bill, and I just 21 wanted -- since it's been raised earlier today, the 22 issue about whether or not maybe passage of this bill would reduce voter participation. There are only a 24 couple of other states, Indiana and Georgia, where these 25 sorts of bills have been passed. One of the witnesses 0264 1 in March of '09 said to us: Not only does voter ID help prevent fraudulent voting, but where it has been implemented, it has not reduced turnout. There is no evidence that voter ID decreases the turnout of voters 5 or has a disparate impact on minority voters, the poor, or the elderly. The overwhelming majority of Americans 7 have photo ID or can easily obtain one.
- 8 Now, this is in the record from the 2009
- hearing, which we've already adopted, but I just wanted 9
- 10 to recall some of the testimony that we had.
- Another quote was: Recent election 11
- 12 results in Georgia and Indiana also confirmed that the
- suppositions that voter ID will hurt minority turnout 13
- 14 are incorrect.
- In addition -- and I'm not sure whether 15
- 16 this was part of the record in '09, but there is a study
- 17 of Indiana's photo ID law that was conducted by a
- 18 University of Missouri professor. He found that
- 19 requiring identification doesn't have much impact on
- 20 voter turnout rates. His name is Jeffery Milyo. He's
- professor of economics and public affairs at the
- University of Missouri, a part of the Institute of
- Public Policy of the Harry S. Truman School of Public
- 24 Affairs.

TX 00001016 JA 001015

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 114 of 139

And his conclusion is -- if I can find it 25 0265 quickly -- it's a many-page study, and his conclusion is 1 that the findings that emerge from his analysis are that photo ID is associated with an overall county level turnout increase of almost 2 percentage points -- and 5 this is just in Indiana. This isn't Georgia as well -an insignificant increase in relative turnout for 7 counties with a greater percentage of minority and poor population; no consistent or significant impact on 8 9 relative turnout in counties with a greater percentage of less educated or elderly voters; and finally, a 10 significant relative increase in turnout for counties 12 with a higher percentage of Democrat voters. I was just wondering if you remembered 13 14 those things that were testified to two years ago or 15 whether you were familiar with this university 16 professor's study. 17 SEN. FRASER: Thank you for bringing that 18 forward. It -- yes, I -- now, as you mention it, I do 19 remember it. The other thing that comes to mind that was through the testimony two years ago is in the '09 --20 I'm sorry -- the '08 president election for '09, that even though the president was from Illinois, the adjoining state, Indiana, had doubled the increase of 24 voting next door in the state -- in Indiana where they 25 had put in photo ID. Illinois did not have it, but the 0266 increase was double the amount of increase next door. So it certainly didn't show that they were hurt by the 3 implementation of the --4 SEN. WENTWORTH: Where Indiana has a photo ID law --SEN. FRASER: Illinois does not. 6 7 SEN. WENTWORTH: Thank you very much, 8 Senator. 9 SEN. FRASER: Thank you, Senator. 10 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Members, we have -- that completes all of the Members who want to 11 ask questions of the author. You can sit down for a 12 second, Senator, if you want to. Take a rest. 13 14 We have a little bit of housecleaning. There's a few witnesses that -- or a few exhibits that 15 16 may want to go in that we have now made copies of. I think, Senator Van de Putte, you had -- Senator 17 Zaffirini had Exhibit 6 which was a map of the DPS, and 19

we've now had that copied and available to distribute. Do you want to go ahead and offer it into the record? 20 21 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Yes, I will.

22 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. It'll be 23 received.

(Exhibit No. 6 marked and admitted)

CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: And then I believe we

0267

24

25

TX 00001017 JA 001016

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 115 of 139 had -- Senator Davis had a chart that -- excuse me. 2 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Mr. Chairman, do -- I 3 move to add to Exhibit 6 the counties with Department of Public Safety Driver's License Office Closures prepared 5 by legislative counsel. CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Thank you. That 6 7 will be received in the record. 8 Senator Davis, you had an exhibit that you 9 wanted to offer. SEN. DAVIS: Yes, Mr. Chair. I'd like to 10 add that as -- I guess it would be Exhibit No. 7 to the record. 12 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: And I think we have 13 14 copies to distribute to the Members? Would you describe 15 it, please? 16 SEN. DAVIS: Yes, I'm sorry. It's the 17 chart that I displayed and talked about earlier in my questions of Senator Fraser. It's exact -- an exact 19 replica of the chart that was displayed on the Senate 20 floor. 21 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: It has a -- it's a 22 graphic that has a -- at the top, a title that says, "DL/State ID." Okay. Exhibit 7 will be received in the 24 record. 25 SEN. DAVIS: Thank you. 0268 1 (Exhibit No. 7 marked and admitted) 2 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Are there any other exhibits that --SEN. FRASER: Mr. President? 4 5 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: -- were discussed that we'd like to include? Senator Fraser? 7 SEN. FRASER: And I had one that I 8 mentioned that I was going to enter in that I have not yet. It is the Lighthouse Opinion Poll. This is the 10 most current poll that is taken and has a very good 11 breakout of not only across the state, the regions, but also has a breakout, Republican, Democrat, and it breaks out for the African American, Hispanic, and --13 14 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Do you have copies of 15 that to distribute? SEN. FRASER: I have one copy. 16 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Okay. Well, Exhibit 8 17 18 will be received, but if you'll go ahead and get copies so that we can distribute those at this time. 19 (Exhibit No. 8 marked and admitted) 20 21 SEN. GALLEGOS: Mr. President? 22 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Gallegos, for 23 what purpose? 24 SEN. GALLEGOS: I have also some diagrams, 25 but I wasn't going to present them until the time of my 0269 amendments. I mean, do they need to be entered now or at the time of the amendment? TX 00001018 JA 001017 file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 116 of 139
  3
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: I don't see any problem
     with entering them at the time when it's relevant to
  5
     what you're trying to do.
              SEN. GALLEGOS: Yeah.
  6
  7
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: You can put them in the
  8
     record at that time --
  9
              SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay.
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: -- when we're --
  10
              SEN. GALLEGOS: Then I'll wait till --
  11
  12 till the time of the amendment. Thank you,
     Mr. President.
  14
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: All right. Members, the
  15 next phase is the invited testimony.
              And Senator Van de Putte and Senator
  16
  17 Fraser, if you could come up to the -- make sure we've
  18
     got everybody in the right order.
  19
              And while they're coming up, I want to
  20 announce that it's my intention to -- we have about
  21 17 -- last check, 17 registered witnesses for public
  22 testimony, and I would like to accommodate those
     witnesses, if we could. So remember that when you're
      questioning and -- that we have some folks that would
  25 like to testify here later on.
  0270
  1
              All right. Members, let's go ahead and
     move into the invited testimony.
  3
              The first witness will be Jerry Bonnett,
  4
     general counsel, Indiana Secretary of State.
    Mr. Bonnett? Mr. Bonnett, you'll have ten minutes the
    timer is right before you. You'll get a yellow light at
  7
     30 seconds, I think. And then we'll strictly hold you
     to the time, and then open it to questions at that time.
     You'll not be interrupted during your testimony.
  9
                  INVITED TESTIMONY
  10
               TESTIMONY BY JERRY BONNETT
  11
  12
              MR. BONNETT: All right. Thank you,
  13
      Chairman Duncan. I want to thank Senator Fraser and
  14
     supporters of Senate Bill 14 for inviting me to be here
  15
     today.
  16
              CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Would you state your
  17 name and --
  18
              MR. BONNETT: Yes. My name is Jerry
  19 Bonnett. I've served as general counsel for the Indiana
  20 Secretary of State Todd Rokita from 2005 to the end of
  21 2010 when he completed his second term in office. I am
  22 currently serving as general counsel to Indiana's next
     Secretary of State and chief election officer, the
  24 Honorable Charles White.
  25
              Since 2005, my duties as general counsel
  0271
     have involved assisting with the implementation of
  2 Indiana's photo ID law, including working with multiple
     players in Indiana's election process, which is included
  4 the Bureau of Motor Vehicles, county election boards,
                                                      TX_00001019
                                                     JA 001018
file:///C/DOCUME~1/ssc1/LOCALS~1/Temp/A9RE300.tmp/3-CONSIDERATION OF SENATE BILL 14.txt[3/19/2012 5:28:21 PM]
```

poll workers, our Help America Vote Act, and support
 agencies in coming up with the procedures and rules to
 administer our photo ID law.

I've also been responsible for statewide
monitoring of election day activity in elections in our
statewide and primary -- primary general elections in
2006, 2007, 2008, and 2010. I've reviewed every
complaint from the -- regarding voting submitted to the
Secretary of State, the Indiana Election Division, the
Indiana Election Commission, the Department of Justice,
our Help America Vote Act, and other county election
boards.

17 I've also assisted the Indiana Attorney
18 General and the Indiana Solicitor General with the
19 discovery trials appeals and ultimately Supreme Court
20 review in the state courts and in the U.S. Supreme Court
21 of Indiana's photo ID law.

Prior to the first statewide election in
Indiana under the photo ID law, there was no shortage of
organizations claiming that we smell a rat of some sort
and that the law has some illegal discriminatory effect
0272

or political subtext. My job has been to look for exactly any application of the law that was illegal or overburdensome.

Despite the intense scrutiny of the law that has been locally -- local, state, national, and even international, in my impression, Indiana has

7 been -- and our courts who have been very open to giving

8 a fair and complete hearing to anyone feeling agreed or 9 disenfranchised by our voter ID laws. In the five years

10 and eight statewide primary general elections I've been

11 involved with, there's been scant evidence of

12 disenfranchisement or discrimination in Indiana. If the

3 naysayers and conspiracy theorists and armchair social

14 scientists were correct in their prognostications,

15 Indiana would have experienced hundreds of thousands of

disenfranchised voters after the laws passed in 2005,

17 but hardly any group or individual or circumstance has

18 been found that has genuinely disenfranchised or

19 inconvenienced a voter beyond what the Supreme Court has

20 held to be the reasonable, orderly regulation of

21 elections.

Did Indiana fix something that wasn't

23 broke? Was it a law in search of a crime? Admittedly,

24 there's been little evidence of in-person voter fraud in

25 Indiana, but that's been of little consolation to 0273

1 citizens who have come to Secretary of State's office

2 with concerns about the confidence in our elections.

What Indiana has experienced were

4 manipulation of voter registrations with thousands of

5 voter registrations submitted just prior to the closing

6 of registration which have confounded the orderly

TX\_00001020 JA 001019

- 7 registration of voters. Indiana has experienced issues
- 8 with voter list maintenance where -- where partisan
- 9 activists have refused to update voter registration
- 10 lists, insisting the U.S. Department of Justice to
- 11 intervene and require voter list maintenance in Indiana.
- 12 Indiana has seen inconsistent agency-to-agency
- 13 cooperation in the sharing of information. There have
- 14 been times when the Social Security office was unable to
  - 5 provide verifications of voter registrations, times when
  - 6 other state agencies were not able to exchange
- 17 information that would assist in verifying voter
- 18 registrations.
- There have been reports of people in urban areas being bussed around from poll location to poll
- 21 location. There is evidence, after the fact, of dead
- 22 voters having registered or of dead voters having voted.
- 23 There was also a report of a well-intentioned high
- 24 school civics teacher who was intent on having every 17
- 25 year old that came into her class register to vote and 0274
- 1 every 18 year old vote even though there were some
- 2 students of hers who are not students -- who are not
- 3 U.S. citizens, but they were shamed into going through
- 4 the registration process and that resulted in illegal --
- 5 an illegal vote being cast and also confounded those
- 6 students eventual efforts to become naturalized U.S.
- 7 citizens.
- 8 In my position, I am in a position to say
- 9 that the law has not been applied -- has been applied in
- the strict and unbending manner that the dissenters havesuggested.
- 12 After the 2005 photo ID law was enacted
- 13 but before the first election was held, the Secretary of
- 14 State and the election division and interested groups
- 15 developed procedures to deal with the issue of the
- 16 conformity of names on an ID to voter registration
- 17 lists. Rules were developed that would allow for a
- 18 voter named Mary Ellen Smith to -- who might appear on
- 19 the voter registration in as many as ten different ways
- 20 to -- to proceed to vote.
- Also, Indiana -- rules were adopted by the
- 22 Election Division in Indiana so that if a voter had
- 23 married between the time of the voter registration, at
- 24 the polls on election day, they could update their voter
- 25 registration by signing the poll book and proceed to
- 0275
- 1 vote. In Indiana, voters can conform their voter
- 2 registration up through election day to the IDs that
- 3 they have.
- 4 Other state agencies have reached out to
- 5 anyone identified who has been experiencing problems of
- 6 obtaining photo IDs. In Indiana, it's not a money
- 7 issue. And an ID is reviewed by social service agencies
- 8 in Indiana as key to other social services and other

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 119 of 139

- 9 benefits of citizenship, and there's been an interest in 10 working with individuals who had difficulty obtaining
- 11 photo ID. Also, in the Indiana Election Day Handbook,
- 12 in bold print, it says, on -- in several locations, that
- 13 lack of ID or problems with an ID is not a cause for
- 14 someone -- for a voter to be turned away.
- 15 After five busy years of monitoring
- 16 primary general elections in Indiana, working with
- 17 deputies, reviewing complaints, I can say that Indiana's
- 18 photo ID law is not only constitutional as it is written
- 19 but as it has been applied in routine use -- is applied
- 20 and become routinely used in good faith and in -- and in
- 21 an accommodating matter in the state.
- Now, keeping to its principal and intent,
- 23 Indiana's law, subject to all matter of partisan,
- 24 nonpartisan, state, national scrutiny, has not been
- 25 applied with the rigid inflexibility and consequences 0276
- 1 predicted by detractors. After exhaustive review in the
- 2 state and federal courts involving the application of
- 3 the law as applied -- instance of the law and in -- and
- 4 in Indiana's informed public opinion, Indiana photo ID
- 5 has earned broad acceptance, even from skeptics, as
- 6 become -- as having become integral component of voter
- 7 confidence and law that honors the privilege and the
- 8 dignity of American's right to free and equal
- 9 participation in elections.
- So I honor you for the difficult work
- 11 you're doing here today. I assure you that the work
- 12 won't be done if and when you pass Senate Bill 14. I
- can certainly tell you that the sun came up in Indiana after Indiana's photo ID was passed. It continued to
- 15 come up and continues to come up after each election
- 16 that we have. So I'm ready to address your questions,
- 17 please.
- 18 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Thank you, Mr. Bonnett.
- 19 So we'll have our first questions.
- 20 Senator Van de Putte, you're recognized.
  - QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you very much,
- 23 Mr. Chairman.
  - And thank you very much, Mr. Bonnett, for
- 25 traveling from Indiana. I know on such short notice.
- 0277

21

24

- 1 We appreciate you being here to help us with your expert
- 2 testimony as we deliberate this very, very important
- 3 issue.
- 4 I had a few questions that -- that I
- wanted to ask because here in Texas, we looked at the
- 6 Indiana law, and we're looking toward -- this is -- as
- 7 our bill author has said, it's kind of a Texas bill,
- 8 which we think is more restrictive than yours. And we
- 9 have heard from testimony that there is increased
- 10 turnout, and you haven't found any instances in where

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 120 of 139

- 11 the burden of the new Indiana law was placed upon
- 12 anybody. And -- and I think in your testimony and in --
- 13 said that it is working and even in your Supreme Court
- 14 case, no party or amicus cited -- well, of course, there
- 15 were no cases of impersonation at the polls in Indiana.
  - So I have some confusion because in the
- 17 bill that you passed, we had reports in Marion County in
- 18 2007 that 32 vote -- voters cast ballots that could not
- 19 be counted because of the voter ID law, and I want to
- 20 ask you about them because just a couple of them really
- 21 bring to mind some difficulties, and yet you cited that
- 22 there were no problems.

16

- In South Bend, Indiana, ten retired nuns
- 24 were barred from voting in the 2008 Indiana democratic
- 25 primary. Some of them were in their 80s and 90s. They 0278
- 1 no longer had driver's license. They lived at the
- 2 convent, and the convent actually had the polling place
- 3 in their convent. The irony was that I believe in that
- 4 case, the election judges were actually other nuns who
- 5 lived with these nuns, but they were barred from casting
- 6 a ballot even though they had previously voted in at
- 7 least ten elections in Indiana but that these nuns were
- 8 not able to because they did not have an ID, even though
- 9 the election judges live with them. And so can you
- 10 comment? This was in the newspapers, but it was also in
- 11 Catholic Digest magazine and so wanted to know because
- 12 we heard --
- 13 MR. BONNETT: I can't --
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- that there were no
- 15 instances, yet we had these reports. And so could you
- 16 tell us? I mean, what happened to these nuns?
- MR. BONNETT: I can't speak to the -- the
- 18 Marion County voters that you referenced to, although I
- 19 think that was covered in the Indiana League of Women
- 20 Voters v. Rokita case that was heard by the Indiana
- 21 Supreme Court.
- In the case of the -- the nuns, in
- 23 Northern Indiana, the -- it's my understanding and
- 24 that -- that situation was also discussed in -- in the
- 25 Crawford case -- the -- the nuns did have passports. 0279
- 1 They did have a form of ID that was acceptable, but they
- 2 refused to present that. They were eligible for other
- 3 exceptions under the law, absentee voting exception, and
- 4 it was really a media event because the media had been
- 5 brought to the scene before. And they also refused to
- 6 go provisionally. I did not -- I believe they were
- 7 brought in a van to a polling location that was not --
- 8 not, I understand, any time that they were voting at the
- 9 place that they lived.
- 10 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So --
- MR. BONNETT: That incident was -- seemed
- 12 to be discredited as a -- as a legitimate case of

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 121 of 139 disenfranchisement. 13 14 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: So you're saying these 15 nuns organized in a -- in a fraudulent matter, that 16 these nuns all got together? But even though they're --17 from the report what was reported, they lived at the 18 convent, and they were all in their 80s and 90s. So I don't know. You know, maybe they had passports, maybe 20 they didn't. But you're saying that this was orchestrated by these devious nuns to actually prove up Indiana law, and really, they intended to mess you up 23 purposefully or --24 MR. BONNETT: Yes, Your Honor. 25 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Oh, thank you. 0280 1 (Laughter) 2 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, let me ask you 3 about another case. One of them was Lauren McCallick who was an 18-year-old freshman at Saint Mary's College 4 in South Bend and who was not able to cast her ballot 5 that was due because of the law, and so that was one of 6 the cases that was there. And can you tell me about her? Was she devious, as well, or was it orchestrated? 8 9 I mean, from the reports and from what was 10 happened, she was crying and she -- it was the first time that she was going to be able to vote, and she 12 tried to -- she did do a provisional ballot. But since she couldn't get the documentation that she needed within the time requirement, she didn't have a chance to 15 vote because she was in class and she couldn't go back to her -- so can you tell me what happened to Ms. Lauren McCallick in this case? 17 18 MR. BONNETT: Unfortunately, I'm not 19 familiar with -- with that case. 20 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Oh. 21 MR. BONNETT: So --22 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, then what happened in -- again, in Marion County, when we had some senior citizens that weren't able to? Now, they weren't 25 devious nuns. These were seniors who were living in 0281 1 a -- some sort of a -- it wasn't a senior assisted, but it was some sort of a temporary, like rehab, where people go after strokes or after a motor vehicle 3 accident, and that they weren't allowed. I mean, I can 5 understand your story about the nuns because, you know, 6 I'm a Catholic and sometimes they get really organized, 7 and they make their point. But what --8 MR. BONNETT: I am too. 9 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: What -- what about the people who were living in the -- again, in Marion 10 11 County, in a -- not their full residence --

TX\_00001024 JA 001023

12

13

MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh.

SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- but for a certain

period of time because they had a disability, they had

- 15 had a stroke, and they were living in this. What
- 16 happened to those folks?
- MR. BONNETT: Well, and I don't know the
- 18 specifics without more information, but every -- every
- 19 reported case has been investigated and reviewed. The
- 20 state election division, the state social service
- 21 agencies, and Bureau of Motor Vehicles Division have
- 22 been quite willing to assist voters who have -- have had
- 23 difficulties obtaining an ID.
- The largest group of voters in Indiana had
- 25 some form of ID, a -- a -- of what was left over, the 0282
- 1 exceptions covered many of those voters, and the --
- 2 ultimately, you know, anyone registered to vote who
- 3 didn't have the ID, that group was small and has not
- 4 been identifiable in such a way that the state has been
- 5 able to even identify them, and certainly efforts have
- 6 been made in litigation to try and identify a group.
- 7 But I -- I believe the state would develop
- 8 administrative procedures to assist anyone having
- 9 trouble with an ID faster than the litigation would
- 10 proceed through the courts to try and validate the law.
- SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, I appreciate
- 12 your answer, but you can understand my concern.

  13 Particularly in the district that I represent we have
- 13 Particularly in the district that I represent, we have,
- 14 that I know of, six convents, the Sisters of Divine
- 15 Providence with their mother house with over 120 retired
- 16 nuns living there from orders all over; the Society of
- 17 Mary, which they're Marianist priests, and after they
- 18 finish at the University of Dayton and other Marianist
- 19 schools, they come to San Antonio and live right there
- 20 at Saint Mary's University. We have over 56 nuns from
- 21 Incarnate Word and that community, and they're, you
- 22 know, in their 80s, 90s. In fact, we even have a couple
- 23 that are over a hundred, and while on and on, you see my
- 24 problem.
- And when I read things about Indiana and 0283
- 1 having the religious who don't live outside in homes but
- 2 who all have the same residences and who come back to
- 3 that convent or retirement home run by the nuns or run
- 4 by the priests, it's -- it's very difficult, and so I
- 5 have some concerns because I have so many voters that
- 6 are retired religious, and that's why I wanted to clear
- 7 that up.
- 8 But let me ask you about something else.
- 9 MR. BONNETT: If I may, before you change
- 10 the subject, I will note that Indiana, for example, has
- 11 a Mennonite population that objects to being
- 12 photographed, and our law provided an exception for
- 13 individuals for religious reasons who objected to being
- 14 photographed; and there is an exception for disabled
- 15 individuals who live within a state licensed
- 16 convalescent or care center. So Indiana has developed

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 123 of 139 exceptions for identifiable groups. 17 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Oh, well, I think 18 19 that's wonderful that Indiana did that, but you may not know that that's in our Texas bill. 20 21 MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh. 22 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: And so why this is more restrictive than Indiana is we don't have the protections that you do in Indiana under this bill, for 25 those who live in religious communities, for those who 0284 are disabled. 1 And that's the other thing I wanted to talk to you about because I understand that, you know, 4 this is about the other thing that you said about 5 increased turnout. But I really wanted to talk to you about -- because, I mean, let's face it, isn't the 6 turnout from 2008 November election because we had a 8 highly contested primary season, but it was because we had this wonderful, wonderful, very, very active 10 electorate in electing between now President Obama and John McCain. I mean, it was fabulous. I mean, so you 12 really can't compare turnouts to turnouts because the turnout was wonderful in November, and we're all very 14 excited about that. So I wonder a little bit because, I 15 mean, everybody's turnout went up. 16 MR. BONNETT: May I respond to that? 17 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Absolutely, please. 18 MR. BONNETT: If you compare the turnout 19 in the 2006 election, which was a nonpresidential off-election year but -- for statewide primary and general elections to the 2010 election last year, there was also an increase between 2006 and 2010 in -- in voter turnout in Indiana, which would be more comparing the apples -- apples to oranges. 25 And you're right, in 2008, Indiana voted 0285 it's electoral votes for a Democratic presidential candidate for the first time in over 30 years. Also, in the 2006 state Congressional races after the photo ID 3 was enacted, three of Indiana's nine Congressional seats 5 switched from Democrat -- or from Republican to Democrat, which tended to dispute the theory of the 6 7 political subtext of the law. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you. There --8 there are a couple of other questions that I wanted 9 10 to -- to --11 MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: -- check on Indiana 12 law. Can you tell me -- your law has a free voter ID card issued by the state or the county. Are there 14 15 restrictions or affidavits or a means test for access to a free voter identification card from Indiana? 16 17 MR. BONNETT: I don't want to misstate --18 misspeak that. It's on the Bureau of Motor Vehicle TX\_00001026

JA 001025

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 124 of 139 19 Regulations. I -- I believe that the person applied for 20 that ID needs to sign an affidavit that they don't 21 have -- have another ID with the state. But beyond 22 that, I'm not -- I can't say about the issue of the 23 means --24 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well --25 MR. BONNETT: -- test. 0286 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, I looked at it, 1 and I didn't think so. It just says that they have to 3 state that they don't have a driver's license and they don't have another. 4 5 MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh. 6 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: But there is no affidavit of indigency or -- or anything required, at 8 least from what I looked at your law. But I'm not sure. That's why I wanted to ask. 10 MR. BONNETT: That's my understanding. 11 Now, a related issue is when a provisional ballot is 12 verified, if a voter who has voted provisionally within 13 the ten days after the election signs an affidavit that 14 they don't have an ID and cannot get one without expense and possibly can't afford one -- I'm not sure of the exact language on the affidavit -- then they're entitled 16 17 to have their vote counted. 18 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, one of the 19 things that I wanted to look at, and I have the Indiana 20 law and I -- because ours is a little bit more 21 restrictive. But under your section of the -- of the 22 Indiana bill, it has something in here because -- that has me a little troubled because we don't, and I'm going 24 to read it. 25 But the voter prescribed by -- and it has 0287 Indiana code that has not complied with -- and I think that Indiana code 3-7-33-4.5 -- on election day must 3 present one of the following documents to the -- and it says, "A current and valid photo ID," or it says, 5 "current utility bill, bank statement, government check, paycheck, government document that shows the name and 7 address of the voter." And yet we've been told that 8 Indiana only has a photo. 9 So what -- what is this section referring 10 to? Is it a provisional ballot or is it a first-time voter or -- or does Indiana allow for the photo ID, but 12 if they don't have the photo ID, can they use other 13 forms? 14 MR. BONNETT: I'm not aware that Indiana 15 accepts any alternative than a photo ID. That might --16 I'd have to look at the law carefully on that. Might 17 refer to the verification of address or the residency 18 with respect to voters who have moved, but I do not 19 believe that Indiana has any requirement other than --20 than that of a -- of a government-issued photo ID with

TX\_00001027 JA 001026

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 125 of 139 an expiration date for in-person voting. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Well, I think that 23 is -- it was very hard to follow, but I think it's under 24 a provisional ballot that has a state's licensed care 25 facility or something. But there is at least that 0288 protection for those who are at a temporary facility; that even if they don't have a photo ID, the exception is not that they're just exempted but I think that they can utilize other forms of ID, which our Texas bill doesn't have. To your knowledge, was -- since this was from the Indiana Legislature, do you remember any discussions on why they -- they put that in? Was this put in at the same time as the original voter ID 10 legislation, or was this only done after the mess up with, you know, the nuns and the -- the other folks who were at this temporary facility? MR. BONNETT: I didn't live in Indiana 14 during the time that this legislation was -- was discussed and deliberated, but it's my understanding 16 that the -- the exceptions to the provisions for individuals who live in state-licensed assisted living state-licensed facility, which is then an exception if the polling place is located in that facility. SEN. VAN de PUTTE: Thank you. I really

facilities has always been part of the -- of the 19 scheme -- or the bill, and I think the -- the utility bills and the other documents you refer to might go to the issue of establishing their residency in the

24 25 appreciate your candid answer; and, again, we thank you 0289

very much for your travel here to help us on this important deliberation. Thank you. MR. BONNETT: Thank you. 3

4 SEN. VAN de PUTTE: I don't have any other

questions, Mr. Chairman.

6 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Chair recognizes Senator

7 Davis.

21

22

6

9

12 13

15

8 SEN. DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

9 Mr. Secretary, thank you so much for

10 traveling to be with us today and to help inform us

about the work that you've done in Indiana on this 11

issue. I just want to make sure that I clearly

understand because today there's been a great deal of

14 discussion about your bill, as you can imagine, as the 15 reason why the bill that's being proposed in the Texas

16 Senate today would be able to withstand constitutional

scrutiny. So I want to make sure that we have a clear

18 record in terms of how the bill that Indiana has

introduced, or the law that you've introduced, mirrors

or does not mirror what we are -- are discussing on the 20

21 Senate floor today. 22

So I think I heard you say that you do

TX 00001028 JA 001027

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 126 of 139

- allow women who have been married or divorced to come in 23
- 24 to vote and to provide some affidavit that they -- their
- 25 name has changed and is different than is on the ID that 0290
- 1 they have because of marriage or divorce. Is that 2 correct?
- 3 MR. BONNETT: That's correct. That's by
- 4 administrative directive. It's not part of the statute.
- And I'll say that when the -- when the Indiana courts
- and the -- the federal courts reviewed the law, it was
- reviewed in the context not just of the statutory
- language but other administrative procedures that were 8
- 9 developed after the law was passed, after the framework
- 10 of the law was passed, for the -- the view of -- as
- 11 applied, how the law was applied.

12 SEN. DAVIS: Absolutely. And I think

13 that's terribly important, and I'd like to invite you

to -- to please inform us as much as possible both 14

statutorily what was reviewed in terms of what you've 15

done to try to preserve the integrity of someone's right 16

17 to vote and administratively what's been done.

So you said on that particular one, that

19 was a rule that was instituted administratively, but it 20

was part of what was reviewed by the court system in

21 terms of the implementation of that law?

MR. BONNETT: Yes. That -- that directive

23 clarified procedures for poll workers in -- in viewing

24 IDs for conforming names.

25 SEN. DAVIS: And then I believe you said

0291

18

22

- that in the -- the language that's presented to a voter
- in terms of their right to vote in Indiana, in bold
- language, you have clarified for voters there that not
- having a photo ID will not in and of itself be
- 5 sufficient cause for them not to be able to vote. Is
- 6 that correct?
- 7 MR. BONNETT: Yes. I'll -- I'll just look
  - up the exact -- the exact bold language, one example's
- are referred to on Page 10 of the Indiana Election Day
- Handbook. This is the 2008 copy. In bold, "No voter 10
- should be turned away from the polls for failing to 11
- provide photo ID." That's -- that's instruction to all 12
- 13 poll workers.
- 14 SEN. DAVIS: And does that wording go to
- 15 instances where, for example, as you -- as you talked
- about earlier, if a person comes to the poll and they do
- 17 not have a photo ID, they can vote a provisional
- balance -- or ballot -- excuse me -- on the condition 18
- 19 that they attest that they do not have a photo ID
- because there would be a cost to receiving that ID
- 21 either through having to get the underlying
- documentation that would qualify them to receive the ID
- or some other cost that would be associated with
- receiving the ID?

TX 00001029 JA 001028

10 state doing that research. Certainly the -- there are 11 interest groups that have -- have made a concerted 12 effort to identify individuals, groups, or characteristics, identify them and locate beyond the 14 theoretical basis; and generally, it's not been something that's been accomplished. There's been a 15 16 tremendous separation between the theoretical concerns and what's actually been experienced in our elections 17 18 over the last five years.

19 SEN. DAVIS: When you started your 20 comments this afternoon, you began by saying that there had been scant evidence of disenfranchisement, and scant to me means that there must have been some. So can you talk a little bit with us about what that's looked like 24 for Indiana? 25 MR. BONNETT: There -- there was a single

0294

TX 00001030 JA 001029

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 128 of 139

- media report prior to the 2010 election, a few days
- prior to the election. It was not officially reported
- 3 to the state, but the media account was a gentleman
- 4 of -- in a particular county of 40-something years, due
- 5 to some unusual circumstances did not have a birth
- certificate. I think it involved him having been
- 7 discharged to foster care through state programs,
- et cetera. But it also was evident that the Bureau of 8
- 9 Motor Vehicles Division has a special group -- team that
- 10 works with individuals who have particular problems to
- address those needs. And the -- the report did not
- result in -- in a complaint, and there was no indication
- the state got that the person wasn't able to vote
- 14 under -- under one of the exceptions.

15 There have been some reports, also, that 16 generally upon investigation, the individuals were able

to vote under -- under some provision. 17

18 SEN. DAVIS: And you mentioned that -- a

19 moment ago, that through both administrative rule and

through the statute itself, in the state of Indiana, 20

- you've tried to be cognizant of this disenfranchisement
- issue through some of the -- the rules that you've
- enacted along with it. We talked about the fact that
- you have a religious exception for -- for people who
- 25 cannot be photographed or refused to be photographed for 0295
- 1 religious purposes. We talked about the fact that if a
- person comes to the polling location without an ID, they
- can vote a provisional ballot so long as they attest as 3
- one of the -- the reasons for voting that provisional
- ballot, that they had to pay a fee in order to -- to get
- a photo ID and they were unable to pay that fee. You
- talked about the special rule that's been created to
  - handle the situation where women have been married or
- 9 divorced and their -- their name would be different than
- what is on their ID.

Are there other -- and excuse me -- you

also talked about the expiration of a driver's license 13

not being a reason to immediately turn that -- that

14 voter away so long as it's within that --

MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh.

SEN. DAVIS: -- period of time that you

17 described earlier.

18 Are there any other conditions that were implemented, either through the statute or through 19

administrative rule, that you feel we should know about 20

21 in terms of reflecting a sensitivity to trying to

preserve the enfranchisement of your voters as much as

23 possible?

MR. BONNETT: Yes. College -- college

25 students at some state universities have -- it came 0296

24

11

15

16

- about, they have IDs that don't have an expiration date,
- and through arrangements with -- with state colleges,

# Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 129 of 139

- 3 the universities provided through some type -- I believe
- 4 it was a secure online facility -- a way for the polling
- 5 locations to -- to verify the expiration date
- 6 independent of the actual ID. And so arrangements were
- 7 made, then, for students with -- with a university ID
- that did not have the expiration date but where the
- 9 college was able to provide that information
- 10 independently to go ahead and vote on election day.
  - SEN. DAVIS: Very good. Are there any
- 12 other?

11

- 13 MR. BONNETT: Not that come to mind.
- 14 SEN. DAVIS: Okay. Thank you,
- 15 Mr. Secretary. I appreciate it.
- 16 MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh.
- 17 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: There being no further
- 18 questions, Mr. Bonnett. Thank you for your testimony
- 19 today and for traveling here.
- 20 SEN. WEST: Mr. Chairman?
- CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator West, you're a 21
- 22 little late on the light there.
- 23 SEN. WEST: I thought it was on. I
- apologize. And I just have a couple of questions, 24
- 25 anyway.
- 0297

15

- 1 And it may have already -- you may have
- already addressed this, and I just may not have heard
- 3 it. As it relates to the provisional ballots in your
- state --
- 5 MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh.
- 6 SEN. WEST: -- an individual can, in fact,
- 7 cast a provisional ballot. Is that correct?
- MR. BONNETT: Yes. 8
- 9 SEN. WEST: Okay. And they have to --
- 10 what's the process? Once they cast the ballot, in order
- for the ballot to count, they have to come back within a 11
- certain number of days? 12
- 13 MR. BONNETT: Within ten days.
- SEN. WEST: And what do they have to do? 14
  - MR. BONNETT: They can correct any -- any
- 16 issue with -- with voter registration. For example, if
- a person appears at a poll and they're simply not 17 18 registered at all, they can still cast a provisional
- 19 ballot. You know, there may be some administrative
- 20 issue in the -- with kind of registration board about
- 21 why they didn't show up in the precinct where they
- 22 believe they needed to vote. That -- that can be
- corrected, and the Election Board is free to correct
- 24 that through and beyond the election. They can bring in
- 25 the identification, and they can certainly seek 0298
- 1 assistance with obtaining the identification that's
- 2 required. They can also come and execute an affidavit
- that -- obviously, we talked about the exception to
- being photographed for religious reasons. They can

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 130 of 139 execute an affidavit that says they -- they don't have the ID. They cannot get one without cost, and then 7 they're eligible to have that vote counted. 8 SEN. WEST: Okay. So there's a process in 9 place. 10 Does the state keep any tally or report on the number of provisional ballots that are cast in the 11 12 state of Indiana? MR. BONNETT: Yes. The county election 13 boards submit that information with their -- with their 14 poll results data to the Election Division, and it's -it's published online for every election. The -- the number of provisional ballots and the disposition and 18 the counties actually are also required to send copies of the affidavits to the Secretary of State's office, which then can be examined for the reason. For example, how many didn't show up on a poll book or there was a challenge raised of some sort so that we can -- we've been able to investigate the status and disposition of provisional ballots. 24 25 SEN. WEST: Is that information 0299 1 disaggregated by ethnicity? 2 MR. BONNETT: No. I'm not -- I do not 3 believe that there's an indication on the provisional ballot affidavit. SEN. WEST: So you really don't -- I mean, 5 6 in terms of the provisional ballots, the tallies, in terms of provisional ballots, is it disaggregated by 8 ethnicity; that is, the number of Hispanics, African 9 Americans that are voting? 10 MR. BONNETT: It's not, although the copies of the affidavits are -- are public 11 information, and there have been some social scientists who have been studying and attempting to write about provisional ballots and determine if there's any -- any 15 meeting or conclusions that can be gathered --16 SEN. WEST: Has there been any -- any such studies done in Indiana? 17 18 MR. BONNETT: I have looked at one study 19 from a adjunct law professor. It did not appear to provide any -- any academic or statistically sound conclusions. It was more a discourse on the issue of 21 photo ID, in general, but it made some reference to some 23 statistics that were, you know, tallies of the number of 24 provisional ballots. 25 The provisional balloting started at the 0300 1 same time as the photo ID, so we don't have a calculus of the provisional balloting before Indiana's photo ID. 2 3 SEN. WEST: Do you happen to have the cite for that particular professor's study or article? 5 MR. BONNETT: I'll be most happy to --6 SEN. WEST: Okay. TX 00001033 JA 001032

```
Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 131 of 139
7
            MR. BONNETT: -- to get it to you or get
8
   it to the committee.
9
            SEN. WEST: To the chairman and the staff?
10 I'd appreciate that.
11
            As it relates to provisional ballots, is
12 there any type of report on the number of individuals or
   the percentage of individuals that come back and go
   through the process to make certain their vote count?
    Is it less than 10 percent of those individuals that
16 cast?
17
            MR. BONNETT: I'm not aware of an
   aggregated report. The data exists, and it's -- it's
18
19
    online. I'm not aware of an aggregated analysis of how
20
   many.
21
            Some provisional ballots, you know, there
22 are some instances where a person would go to a precinct
   and not be registered to vote a provisional ballot and
   then be directed to, and the poll workers are advised to
25 have someone go ahead and vote that provisional ballot.
0301
1 But then they may later in the day be directed to the
   right precinct, and then the -- you know, so there can
2
   be more than one provisional ballot.
            There's also some of the scholarship
4
5 related to the issue that if the outcomes of elections
  have been determined by substantial margins, then
7
   individuals may not feel a need to go back and verify
  the provisional ballots if the election were not so
9
   close that --
10
            SEN. WEST: Right.
            MR. BONNETT: -- that the votes were
11
12 meaningful. So it's not really what -- what -- clear
   what the meaning of the numbers of provisional ballots
    and the ones that are verified means, as far as I'm
15
   aware.
16
            SEN. WEST: But there's no statewide study
17
    or report that's done on an annual basis that looks at
   the percentage of individuals that cast provisional
    ballots that actually go back and verify their ability
19
20 to --
21
            MR. BONNETT: That data is reported in --
22 but I'm not aware of analysis of it. The number by
    county of provisional ballots, probably by precinct,
24
   that were cast and their ultimate disposition is -- is
25 reported in -- in election return statistics. Analysis
0302
1
   of it is not something that I'm aware of, though.
2
            SEN. WEST: Okay. You indicated that the
3
   affidavit was -- is public. Is it a --
            MR. BONNETT: Yes.
4
5
            SEN. WEST: -- public record?
            And that's the -- when you say "the
7
   affidavit," what do you mean by that?
8
            MR. BONNETT: That's a document that is
                                                     TX 00001034
                                                    JA 001033
```

#### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 132 of 139 9 initially executed by the voter at the time of casting 10 the provisional ballot --11 SEN. WEST: Okay. 12 MR. BONNETT: -- and then later, handled 13 by the Election Board within that ten days to determine 14 whether or not that vote -- the vote is sealed. The 15 vote is sealed in an envelope. SEN. WEST: All right. I just wanted to 16 17 make certain we were on the same page. 18 Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. 19 MR. BONNETT: You're welcome. 20 SEN. WEST: I would like to get that cite 21 for the study, though. 22 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Gallegos? 23 SEN. GALLEGOS: Mr. Secretary, let me ask you one question. Is your Indiana driver's license, is 25 it a valid form of ID under Indiana law? 0303 1 MR. BONNETT: Yes, it is a state-issue ID. 2 SEN. GALLEGOS: It is valid? 3 MR. BONNETT: Uh-huh. SEN. GALLEGOS: And any supplements of that Indiana license, is that also a form of ID? What I 5 mean supplements is, if it -- if a driver's license is 6 7 confiscated and you get a temporary, is that also a 8 valid -- a valid form of ID? 9 MR. BONNETT: If the -- I don't believe 10 that the state driver's license is specified in the legislation. It's specified more generically, a state-issued ID with photograph with an expiration date or government-issued ID. 13 It's my understanding when a driver's 14 15 license is confiscated that the Bureau of Motor Vehicles will immediately issue another form of ID that is a -for example, driving is restricted. 17 18 SEN. GALLEGOS: And that is a valid form 19 of ID? 20 MR. BONNETT: Yeah. 21 SEN. GALLEGOS: Okay. 22 MR. BONNETT: I don't believe the -- I remember when I lived in Texas and in Louisiana sometimes the police would take away your ID and give you a piece of paper that was sort of -- to cover for 25 0304 1 you, and that type of document would not be. SEN. GALLEGOS: Yeah. But that is a valid 2 3 form of ID in Indiana? MR. BONNETT: It would be required to 4 5 have -- the ID would be required to have a picture and have the expiration date. And so something other than 7 that would not be allowed for voting. It would still be 8 required to have a picture. 9 SEN. GALLEGOS: Thank you. 10 MR. BONNETT: Does that answer your

TX\_00001035 JA 001034

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 133 of 139 question? SEN. GALLEGOS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Thank you, Mr. Bonnett. We appreciate your appearance here today. TESTIMONY BY LUIS FIGUEROA CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The Chair calls Luis Figueroa -- Figueroa, Mexican American Legal Defense Education Fund, or MALDEF. Would you state your name, please, and who you represent? MR. FIGUEROA: Absolutely. My name is Luis Figueroa. I'm the legislative staff attorney with the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, MALDEF. Thank you very much for this opportunity to

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

25

4

6

7

8 9

17 18

19

23

0306

3

5

6 7

10

the other states.

0305 1

TX 00001036

testify before the Senate on this important legislation.

rights of Latinos, including voting rights and in the state of Texas and across the nation. We have an extensive history in the Voting Rights Act and in protecting voting rights across the nation and, of

course, here in Texas, going back to the case of White v. Register and most recently in LULAC v. Perry

challenging the redistricting implementation from the

10 lacks the safeguards to ensure that eligible voters will not be disenfranchised at the polls. If SB 14 was enacted, it would be the most restrictive photo ID requirement in the nation, more restrictive than

Indiana, more restrictive than Georgia, more restrictive than Arizona. They would allow for viewer identity documents, less time to cure for provisional ballots, and it would be even more difficult to cure than any of

Studies after studies have shown that 20 voter ID and additional identification requirements at the polls do have an impact on minority voters and on other protected classes. The study from voter ID

requirements and disenfranchisement of Latino, black and

Asian voters by Barreto, Nino & Sanchez states 25 "Controlling for age, income and education, we find the

significantly less likely to be able to provide multiple forms of identification such as a copy of their original

Respondents were asked about their ability to provide approximately six unique forms of identification, and

identification. Data reflects identification trends of actual voters, not just adult citizens, the findings go

far to suggest that photo -- that voter identification laws could immediately disenfranchise many Latino,

naturalized citizens and minority voters are

birth certificate or recent bank statements.

naturalized citizens and minority voters were consistently less likely to have each form of

We stand here opposed to SB 14 because it

last decade for last -- from the last session.

MALDEF works to promote and protect the

- 13 Asian, African-American citizens."
- 14 From the Rutgers study, protecting the
- 15 enfranchised or restricting it, the effects of voter
- 16 identification requirements and turnout, by Vercellotti
- 17 and Anderson, states that "The predicted probability
- 18 that Hispanics would vote in states that required
- 19 nonphoto identification was about 10 percent points
- 20 lower than in states where Hispanic voters gave their
- 21 names."
- In the Wisconsin study, the driver's
- 23 license status of the voting age population was counted
- 24 by John Pawasarat. It stated that "Minorities in poor
- 25 populations are the most likely -- are the most likely 0307
- 1 to have driver's license problems. Less than half,
- 2 40 percent, of Milwaukee County African-American adults
- 3 and 43 percent of Hispanic adults have a valid driver's
- 4 license compared to 85 percent of white adults in the
- 5 balance of the state."
- 6 The Brennan Center, in their report
- 7 Citizens Without Proof, A Survey of Americans'
- 8 Possession of Documentary Proof of Citizenship and Photo
- 9 Identification, stated, "Citizens with comparatively low
- 10 incomes are less likely to possess documentation proving
- 11 they are citizens. As many as 11 percent of United
- 12 States Citizens, more than 21 individuals, do not have
- 13 government-issued photo identification." It goes on to
- 14 state that "25 percent of African-American voting-aged
- 15 citizens have no current government-issued photo ID
- 16 compared to 8 percent of white voting-aged citizens."
- 17 Study after study has shown that Latinos,
- 18 African-Americans, elderly, the poor, students are less
- 19 likely -- the disabled community are less likely to have
- 20 the photo identification requirements required under
- 21 SB 14.
- 22 It's important to note that Texas under
- 23 current law has a voter ID requirements. It has an ID
- 24 requirement that -- it requires that you bring a voter
- 25 registration certificate or additional forms of
- 0308
- 1 identification. The question is, how much stricter can
- 2 we make the voter identification requirement? The
- 3 question is not whether to have a voter identification
- 4 or not have it. The question is, how restrictive do we
- 5 want to make it?
- The current legislation presented before
- 7 us does not provide for any alternative photo
- 8 identification. It does not allow for student ID cards,
- 9 for Medicaid, Medicaid cards, for expired driver's
- 10 license, for expired military cards or for state-issued 11 employer identifications.
- We know that in our other states that have
- 13 implemented strict voter identification laws that the
  - 4 ability to cure and to come back day to day and fix

- 15 their provisional ballot, it does not happen with much
- 16 frequency. Voters do not return within the allotted
- 17 time period to fix their voter identification.
- 18 It's also worth noting that in SB 14 it
- 19 actually lowers the amount of time from what Indiana
- 20 requires, from ten days to six days -- six days to
- 21 return and fix their voter identification. In Arizona,
- 22 739 ballots were not counted where -- conditional
- 23 provisional ballots were not counted, and only 158 were
- 24 counted after voters cured their identification
- 25 requirements.

0309

- 1 What we found in Arizona when we litigated
- 2 was that the most common problem was a driver's license
- 3 that did not match an address, that did not match a
- 4 voter registration certificate. We know that Latinos,
- 5 African-Americans and low income are the most mobile
- 6 populations often moving from a rental apartment, moving
- 7 from home to home, and as a result are most likely to
- 8 have matching -- most likely have identification that
- 9 doesn't match their voter registration certificate.

  10 We know that providing a free personal
- We know that providing a free personal identification certificate does not solve the problem if
- 12 the documents needed to get a personal identification
- 13 certificate are the same ones that the study show the
- 14 minorities don't have. And we know that if people are
- 15 required to bring birth certificates and other
- 16 documentation that they are unable to get a current
- 17 driver's license, that they are not likely to get the
- 18 free personal identification if they lack those same
- 19 documents.
- We believe that there are ways to
- 21 create -- there are ways to ensure that people who are
- 22 voting are who they say they are. There are ways to do
- 23 it without disenfranchising voters. What we need are
- 24 appropriate safeguards in a photo identification law.
- 25 We need to expand the current list of documents that are 0310
- 1 provided in SB 14, and most importantly we should
- 2 incorporate a signature affidavit similar to Michigan
- 3 and Florida to ensure that people who lack the
- 4 identification requirements are still able to cast a
- 5 ballot and have their vote count. It's about finding
- 6 the right balance between security and access.
- 7 SB 14 only focuses on voter impersonation
- 8 fraud while ignoring voter intimidation, deceptive
- 9 practices and poll worker error. There are ways to
- 10 ensure that voters who say they are -- are who they say
- 11 without disenfranchising voters. Finding that right
- 12 balance includes including two forms of nonphoto
- 13 identification, requiring signature affidavit attesting
- 14 to name, address and eligibility, including voter
- 15 integrity task force, allowing for same-day election
- 16 voter registration with an ID requirement, free ID that

### Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 136 of 139

17 is not limited to the current requirements that require the same documents that are required to get a driver's 19 license right now, training for poll workers and voter 20 education.

When we find the right balance between 22 access and security, we will ensure that we have the voter confidence in our system, a system that is not predicated on trying to disenfranchise voters, a system 25 that is not so unsecure that we don't have faith in our 0311

electoral system, but a system that provides for access 1 and security to ensure that all votes are counted, and that is what we are aiming for. 3

4 With that, I'm open for questions, and I 5 thank you again for this opportunity. 6 SEN. ELTIFE: Members any questions?

(No response) SEN. ELTIFE: Thank you, sir, for being

9 here today. Hold on one second.

QUESTIONS FROM SENATE FLOOR

SEN. ELTIFE: Senator Rodriguez?

SEN. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

13 Mr. Figueroa, first of all, thank you for coming here to 14 testify this afternoon, particularly on such short

15 notice. I am familiar with MALDEF's work. In fact, I

serve on its board. So I know the great work that the

17 organization does to protect the rights of citizens,

18 particularly in the area of voting rights. 19

I want to ask you just a few questions and 20 mainly for clarification. I believe you started out by comparing the legislation in Senate Bill 14 with the Arizona -- the Indiana law, and I believe you even mentioned the Arizona law. Could you give us specifically in which ways SB 14 is more restrictive 25 than these other laws?

0312

21

21

7

8

10

11

12

1 MR. FIGUEROA: Absolutely. Senate Bill 14 has a very limited scope of identification requirements.

It only allows for a driver's license, a passport, 3

citizen certification and a military identification.

5 Arizona, which at the time was considered a pretty

restrictive identification requirement, allows for photo

7 ID, but also allows for two alternative nonphoto

8 identification. It was most similar to the proposal

9 that was introduced last session.

10 In Indiana where they do have a photo ID 11 requirement, they do allow for -- when you cast a provisional ballot, you can come back within ten days and you can attest to being indigent or you can attest that you were unable to get the identification, and 14 15 they'll allow you an opportunity to have your vote 16 counted.

17 This law in SB 14 does not have any such assertion to ensure the voters are counted. In fact, it

> TX 00001039 JA 001038

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 137 of 139

- 19 lowers the amount of time for you to cure that 20 provisional ballot. We're going from ten days to six 21 days -- to six days. 22 And in Georgia, again, there are also 23 other forms of identification that were allowed that are not allowed under Texas. Even in Indiana, the 24 25 expiration date, you are allowed to have an expiration 0313 1 date if you're within the last -- within the last election. If your driver's license was expired within the last election, they'd still allow you to vote. This would be by far the most restrictive ID requirements in the nation. SEN. RODRIGUEZ: So given that, is it your opinion that this law, this bill that we're considering, 8 would be much more likely to disenfranchise voters than these other states' laws? 10 MR. FIGUEROA: I do think the more -- the 11 more requirements you put, the more limits on identification, the more likely you are to have an 12 13 impact on the working poor and Latinos and African-Americans and other -- and other voters. 14 SEN. RODRIGUEZ: Could you go over some of 15 16 the implementation challenges or issues that were 17 confronted when the Arizona law was being implemented to 18 give us a sense of what we might expect? And 19 particularly you might -- if you could focus on how it 20 may have impacted the Latino voters in Arizona. 21 MR. FIGUEROA: Absolutely. Our studies 22 did find -- our expert did find a disparate impact in Arizona on Latino voters, and I grant you this was even with more broader identification requirements than this 25 bill. So this bill would even have a stronger impact 0314 1 significant impact were poll workers who tried -- who 3 claimed that the address had to match the voter 4 registration certificate to the driver's license. Poll worker training has got to be a key 5
- than Arizona. What we found in Arizona was -- the most

component of this. It's not clear from SB 14 about what to do if a driver's license doesn't match the voter --

the voter registration certificate, whether that's

7

- 9 because a recently married woman has a different last
- 10 name, because there's a misspelling on the voter
- 11 registration certificate, because the address doesn't
- 12 match or the date of birth doesn't match. There's any
- 13 numerous possibilities of a mismatch between the voter
- registration and the licenses that are going to be 14
- required. Are poll workers going to use that to
- disenfranchise voters? Well, we would hope not, but 16
- 17 we -- in our experience, it has had that impact.
- SEN. RODRIGUEZ: All right. Thank you. 18
- 19 You stated the Latino voters are less likely to have the
- identification required by the bill. Can you tell us

TX 00001040

```
21 why?
22
            MR. FIGUEROA: Yeah. I mean, a lot of
23 this has to do with the difficulty in obtaining a
   driver's license right now. So we know that getting a
25 driver's license has become increasingly more difficult
0315
   by DPS under the current rules. Obtaining the -- having
   the birth certificate, the documentation that you need
   to get a driver's license is sometimes difficult to get.
   The cost involved is also somewhat of a problem.
5
            It's also a lot of people live in these
6
   rural counties or don't live near a DPS station and
   don't have a driver's license. Also, students who live
   with their -- with their parents and don't have a
   driver's license or they only have a student ID card may
10
   not have a driver's license or the funds or the time
    necessary to go get a driver's license.
11
12
            SEN. RODRIGUEZ: I see. Finally, let me
   ask you just for the record here -- I think we know the
13
    answer -- but have Latino voters generally experienced,
14
15
   in the history of this state, disenfranchisement when it
    comes to exercising their right to vote?
16
17
            MR. FIGUEROA: Yes. Texas has a long
18
   history of voter discrimination in Texas, which is why
19
   we're a Section 5 state under the Voting Rights Act. It
20 is precisely because of our history and the all-white
   primaries, poll tax, disenfranchising voters through
    cracking and splitting and redistricting that we are a
    Section 5 state. And I believe that there's going to be
24
    a witness here today that's going to talk about that.
25
            SEN. RODRIGUEZ: Do you feel that this
0316
   long-standing history has engendered mistrust on the
   part of the Latino voters as far as coming out and
3
   voting?
4
            MR. FIGUEROA: Yes. And ironically the
  voter ID or the photo ID legislation has been touted as
6
   a way to install voter confidence in our electoral
   system, but it's only confidence on the security side.
7
  It's not confidence on the access side. And from the
9
   Latino community, there needs to be stronger confidence
10 on the access side. There's a long history of
   discrimination on the voting side of Latinos, and there
12 is this feeling among many Latinos that there is a
    continual effort to prevent our ability to elect our
    candidates of choice and our ability to vote. So we
15
   need to work on our confidence on the access side,
    particularly with the Latino community.
16
17
            SEN. RODRIGUEZ: Could you tell us whether
    on this last point even those Latinos with the required
18
19
    ID feel a distrust in participating?
20
            MR. FIGUEROA: Yes. I mean --
21
            SEN. RODRIGUEZ: And if so why?
22
            MR. FIGUEROA: Texas has one of the lowest
```

TX 00001041 JA 001040

## Case 2:13-cv-00193 Document 725-6 Filed on 11/17/14 in TXSD Page 139 of 139 23 voter turnouts in the nation. I believe it may actually 24 be the lowest in the nation. Latino voter turnout is 25 dismal in comparison to other states. And those are 0317 1 people who are eligible -- who are eligible to vote, have registered to vote and in many cases may have the 3 identification. But there is this feeling that there's going to be a systematic way for their vote not to count, that their vote won't make a difference. And so we need to make efforts in this state to encourage voting, not discourage it. SEN. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: I think there's another -- Senator Huffman was wishing to ask questions, and I don't know that she's on the floor. Can you hold on just a minute? (Brief pause) SEN. ELLIS: Mr. President? 16 Mr. President, parliamentary inquiry. CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: State your inquiry. SEN. ELLIS: You know the time of the State of the Union Address tonight? CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: It's this evening. SEN. ELLIS: This evening? I was hoping I could hold hands with some of my Republican colleagues and watch it. So I'm wondering how long are we going to CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: We have two televisions in the lounge, Senator.

24 be here tonight?

25

0318

7

8

9

10

12 13

14

15

17

18

19 20

21

1

SEN. ELLIS: Well, if I really want to feel the love, I'd like to be -- I'd like to be at home. 4

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Huffman -- have 5 you finished your inquiry, Senator Ellis?

SEN. ELLIS: (No audible response)

8 CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: Senator Huffman, you are 9 recognized.

10 SEN. HUFFMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you. Just a couple of questions. 11

Sir, you said that this legislation

13 conveniently disenfranchises minority voters. Is that

14 correct?

12

15

18

MR. FIGUEROA: I don't think I used the

16 word "conveniently," but disenfranchises voter -- could

17 potentially disenfranchise voters.

SEN. HUFFMAN: Didn't MALDEF also claim in

19 the Crawford litigation that the Indiana photo ID law

disenfranchises minority voters? 20 21

MR. FIGUEROA: We actually didn't litigate

22 the Crawford litigation. We did submit an amicus brief

related to our Arizona litigation, and we were concerned

about the impact of Crawford as well as the Arizona